#### **AGENDA**

# Executive Committee Meeting CSUSM Academic Senate

Wednesday ~ October 9, 2013 ~ 12–2 p.m. ~ Kellogg 5207

- I. Approval of agenda
- II. Approval of minutes of 10/02/2013 meeting
- III. Chair's report, <u>Vivienne Bennett</u> Referrals to committee
- IV. Secretary's report, Linda Holt The following Senate resolution has been distributed/published:
  - EC Resolution Endorsing the Sonoma State University Academic Senate's "Resolution Recommending the Appointment of a Faculty Trustee and the Addition of a Second Faculty Trustee to the Board of Trustees"
- V. Provost's report, Graham Oberem
- VI. Brief committee reports: all committees
- VII. Discussion items
  - A. Resolution endorsing CSULB Senate resolution re presidential search attached
  - B. SAC Field trip policy attached
  - C. BLP Resolution on restructuring attached
  - D. NEAC Changes to UCC's charge attached
  - E. GEC GE program mission statement attached
  - F. GEC GELOs placement on syllabi attached
  - G. Directors as eligible faculty attached
  - H. Permanent standing committee meeting times
- VIII. EC members' concerns & announcements

Coming soon to EC & to Senate						
EC	10/16 – Lecturers issues	10/23 Integrated co-curricular program	11/20 — Student Union update			
Senate	11/6 — Integrated co-curricular program	12/4 – Student Union & GISC updates				

EC 10/09/2013 Page 1 of 11



### CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY LONG BEACH

#### OFFICE OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE

# RESOLUTION ON PRESIDENTIAL SEARCH (Adopted September 19, 2013)

WHEREAS, the Academic Senate of the California State University, Long Beach (ASCSULB) recognizes that the CSU Board of Trustees' Policy for the Selection of Presidents of September 20-21, 2011 states that "the Chancellor and the Chair of the TCSP [Trustees Committee for the Selection of the President] determine whether to schedule campus visits, which are optional, or to schedule campus visits on a modified basis, depending on the circumstances of the search";

WHEREAS, the CSU Board of Trustees will be conducting a search for a new president of California

State University, Long Beach (CSULB) in the academic year 2013/14;

8 9 10

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

WHEREAS, that same Policy affirms a "deep commitment throughout the process to the principles of consultation with campus and community representatives";

11 12 13

WHEREAS, the omission of the official campus visits would mean less transparency in the search and hence possibly less trust from the University and the public in the outcome of said search;

14 15 16

17

WHEREAS, the presidential candidates' official campus visits give the CSU Board of Trustees and the TCSP as well as the University and the public important insight into the candidates' knowledge of, and ability to lead, the students, faculty, staff, and administration of CSULB; and

18 19 20

WHEREAS, the ASCSULB wishes to affirm that the incoming president of CSULB will of course ultimately be judged *not* on the procedures by which he or she was selected *but* on his or her performance as president;

22 23 24

21

be it therefore

25 26

27

RESOLVED, that the ASCSULB strongly encourages the Chancellor and the TCSP to schedule official campus visits for the finalists in the search for a new president of CSULB in the academic year 2013/14; and

28 29 30

31

RESOLVED, that the ASCSULB strongly encourages the CSU Board of Trustees to revisit their Policy for the Selection of Presidents of September 20-21, 2011 and once again make official campus visits for finalists in presidential searches mandatory.

32

EC 10/09/2013 Page 2 of 11

1	Resolution Endorsing the California State University, Long Beach, Academic Senate's
2	'Resolution on Presidential Search' (adopted 9/19/13)
3	
4	WHEREAS, On September 19, 2013, the Academic Senate at California State University, Long
5	Beach, adopted a 'Resolution on Presidential Search,' now, therefore, be it
6	
7	RESOLVED, That the Academic Senate of California State University San Marcos endorse the
8	CSULB 'Resolution on Presidential Search' as well as the Rationale; and be it further
9	
10	RESOLVED, That this resolution be sent to Governor Brown, the CSU Board of Trustees,
11	Chancellor White, the ASCSU, all CSU campus Academic Senates, and to the CSUSM campus
12	community.
13	

EC 10/09/2013 Page 3 of 11

1 SAC: Field Trips

**Rationale:** Per Executive Order 1062, campuses are required to establish policy and procedures designed to maximize the educational experience, mitigate risk to participants and minimize the university's liability exposure.

**DEFINITION:** A policy governing any university course-related, off-campus activity led by a

faculty or staff member and designed to serve educational purposes.

**AUTHORITY**: Executive Order 1062

**SCOPE**: This policy applies to all employees involved with field trips, as such term is

defined herein.

### I. **DEFINITION**

A field trip is a university course-related, off-campus activity led by a faculty or staff member and designed to serve educational purposes. The travel must occur concurrently with enrollment in the course. A field trip would include the gathering of data for research (such as at a geological or archaeological site), museum visit, participation in a conference or competition, or visits to an event or place of interest. The duration of a field trip may be a class period or longer, and could extend over multiple days. This definition does not apply to activities or placements in the context of a teacher preparation program, intercollegiate sports, internships or service-learning placements, all of which are governed under separate policy.

### II. REQUIREMENTS

The appropriate CSUSM administrator(s), faculty and/or staff shall:

- 1. Identify all courses that involve off-campus field trips.
- 2. Require the use of the approved liability waiver. See Executive Order 1051.
- 3. Ensure student emergency contact information is obtained prior to the field trip. The campus must have emergency contact information readily available. Emergency contact information will be kept by the sponsoring faculty member and provided to a designated department contact and the University Police Department.
- 4. Provide students with an instructional agenda, health and safety information, emergency procedures, and the student code of conduct prior to the field trip.
- 5. Require a pre-trip evaluation that might include a site visit, review of online materials, and research on travel logistics to and from the site that demonstrate and document sufficient knowledge of the field trip site.
- 6. Include a plan to accommodate students with special needs.
- 7. Provide training for any equipment that may be used on the activity.

EC 10/09/2013 Page 4 of 11

8. Provide for an alternative assignment for students unwilling to accept the risk of participation.

- 9. Comply with the California State University Use of University and Private Vehicles Policy Guidelines and the California State University student travel policy, where applicable. See Executive Order 1041.
- 10. Retain documents related to the field trip consistent with system-wide and campus document retention guidelines. See Executive Order 1031.
- 11. Administer regular reviews to monitor and document compliance with the field trip policy and update requirements as necessary at regular intervals.

EC 10/09/2013 Page 5 of 11

56

2

# 1 3

4 5 6

7

8 9 10

11 12

13 14 I. Principles

19 20 21

22 23 24

25

26 27 28

29 30 31

33 34

32

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43 44

45

### **BLP:** Resolution on restructuring

WHEREAS, An institution's relevance to its constituencies sometimes dictates that its structure must adapt to meet changing needs; and

WHEREAS, The goal of any such structural change must be to enhance the institution's ability to fulfill its mission, vision, and values and to meet the needs of its constituents, now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Academic Senate expresses its commitment to the principles and guidelines provided below.

The goal of Academic Affairs' organizational structure is to facilitate employees' performance of their duties and responsibilities in an effective and efficient manner in achieving the overall mission of Academic Affairs. These principles were originally presented to the campus in the Final Report of the Academic Affairs Structure Task Force (January, 2009). We continue to view these as the criteria against which any restructuring proposals should be evaluated.

- 1. Any change in the organizational structure needs to be consistent with the mission, vision, core values, and goals of Academic Affairs.
- 2. The organizational change needs to be consistent with the Division's human, fiscal and physical resources. There must be sufficient resources to sustain the new unit(s), and the change should produce a net positive benefit for the entire division.
- 3. The organizational change should result in more effective and efficient decision-making and operation in terms of effective communications, coordination and integration of efforts across and within units.
- The organizational change should provide for clear authority, responsibility, and control/accountability.

## II. Recommended Process

We urge a collaborative consultation process to ensure that any restructuring is carried out in a manner consistent with the principles of shared governance. We would anticipate that any proposals for reorganization or new structures would include consultation with the relevant Departments, Schools, and Colleges as well as with the Academic Senate, including the Senate's Budget & Long Range Planning committee.

We include the following flow charts simply as examples of consultative processes. These flow charts were also first put forward by the Final Report of the Academic Affairs Structure Task Force (January, 2009), which was endorsed by the Senate in Spring, 2010.

EC 10/09/2013 Page 6 of 11

## **Academic Affairs Structure: Recommended Process for Structuring Academic Units**

Create <sup>1</sup> The appropriate administrator may hire an outside consultant to prepare the prop	posal when sufficient expertise i	n the subject matter	· is deficien	t	
internally.					
→ To AALC  \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					
Initiator To Provost					
\[   \sum To Senate BLP → To Academic Senate \[   \sum   \]					
Merge					
	↗ To AALC	To Provost demic Senate ⊅			
Initiator $\rightarrow$ To Schools or Colleges affected $\rightarrow$ Faculty Vote $\rightarrow$ To Deans affected	\[   \sum To Senate BLP → To Acade   \]				
Split					
	To AALC		7		
Initiator $\rightarrow$ Faculty in splitting units vote $\rightarrow$ aggregate School or College vote recor Provost	ded →To Dean			То	
	↘ To Senate BLP –	→To Academic Senat	e 7		
Transfer					
Initiator $\rightarrow$ To Schools or Colleges affected $\rightarrow$ Faculty Vote $\rightarrow$ To Deans affected $\rightarrow$	To Provost				
Abolish					
	To AALC	7			
Initiator <sup>2</sup> → Faculty in affected units vote → School or College faculty vote → To De	n ゝ To Senate BLP →To Academic Senate ↗		To Pro	To Provost	

EC 10/09/2013 Page 7 of 11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the process requires a curriculum change, the proposal is sent to the University Curriculum Committee (UCC) concurrent with Budget and Long-Range Planning (BLP) review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Program Assessment Committee (PAC) of the Academic Senate may initiate the formation of an Ad Hoc Program Review Committee (AHPRC) when "the PAC finds that the Program Review report fails to document satisfactory program viability." Thus the PAC may be the initiator, and the process outlined in Appendix C of the PAC policy on Program Review will be followed.

### **NEAC: UCC Duties – Constitution language**

**Rationale**: UCC requests this change since the reference to developing new programs with Extended Learning is no longer appropriate as part of the committee's charge.

### **Article 6.14.1: University Curriculum Committee Duties**

The University Curriculum Committee shall have general oversight of all issues related to the review of proposed curriculum. The committee shall review <u>stateside and self-support</u> proposals for new and revised curricula, courses, and degree programs, and teacher preparation programs and it shall make recommendations to the Senate regarding their approval. Through the review of proposed curriculum, the committee is charged with oversight for the academic soundness and quality of the curriculum. As directed by the Senate, the committee shall review articulation agreements with community colleges for consistency with established graduation requirements. The UCC, in collaboration with the Dean of Extended Learning, shall originate and review proposals affecting Extended Learning. In pursuit of these duties, the committee may create ad hoc subcommittees.

EC 10/09/2013 Page 8 of 11

### **GEC: GE Program Mission Statement**

The GE Program has been developed in the context of the University's Mission, Vision and Values, and American Association of Colleges and Universities' Essential Learning Outcomes from the LEAP initiative, as per Executive Order 1065.

The General Education curriculum supports the development of CSUSM students as effective communicators, critical thinkers and life-long learners. It also promotes their development into responsible adults and informed citizens capable of functioning in, and contributing to, a rapidly changing world. The University encourages students to examine moral and ethical issues; the historical past and its relationship to the present; human behavior, culture and language, values and institutions; modern sciences and technology; human diversity and issues that are both global and local. To this end, the GE program has been designed to facilitate students' interactions with these fundamental values.

The General Education program at CSUSM has four foundational goals. First, students will develop competency in the basic skills characteristic of an educated person: critical thinking, quantitative reasoning, information literacy, and communication, with an emphasis on developing clear, coherent, and effective writing skills. Second, students will cultivate their knowledge of human cultures and the natural and physical world. To this end, students will be exposed to and think critically about diversity; the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national and global contexts; the interaction of science, technology and society; and how organisms interact with their environments. Third, the GE program will foster students' growth in personal and social responsibility. Fourth, students will integrate this knowledge through their exposure to both disciplinary and interdisciplinary approaches to academic fields of study.

The aim of CSU San Marcos is to instill in its students the enthusiasm and curiosity, the healthy skepticism, and the habit of continuing inquiry that are central to all truly educated men and women. The goal is to enable them to realize their potential as enlightened individuals and productive members of society in a world of change.

EC 10/09/2013 Page 9 of 11

### **GEC:** GE Learning Outcomes Placement on Syllabi

1 2 3

Rationale: The WASC 2013 Handbook of Accreditation Criterion for Review 2.3 states the following:

4 5 6

7

8

9

The institution's student learning outcomes and standards of performance are clearly stated at the **course**, **program**, **and**, **as appropriate**, **institutional** level. These outcomes and standards are reflected in academic programs, policies, and curricula, and are aligned with advisement, library, and information and technology resources, and the wider learning environment. (Emphasis added.)

10 11 12

*Criterion for Review 2.4 states the following:* 

13 14

15

16

17

The institution's student learning outcomes and standards of performance are developed by faculty and widely shared among faculty, students, staff, and (where appropriate) external stakeholders. The institution's faculty take collective responsibility for establishing appropriate standards of performance and demonstrating through assessment the achievement of these standards.

18 19 20

GUIDELINE: Student learning outcomes are reflected in course syllabi. (Emphasis added.)

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

The stratification of learning objectives at the course and program level is a matter with which our campus has been busy for several years. Most faculty have been closely involved with development of learning objectives/outcomes in the programs of their own departments. The GE program is also a 'program' with learning objectives and outcomes which the GEC has been composing. In GE, learning outcomes at the Area level (e.g., Area A2, B2, C3, D, E) were completed and approved by Academic Senate in 2012 and 2013. GE learning outcomes at the program level are partially developed.

28 29 30

In order for these learning outcomes to meet the criteria for review of WASC, the GEC sees it as necessary to make it policy that these learning outcomes be posted in syllabi of all GE courses.

31 32 33

### **Policy:**

34 35 36

The syllabus or first-day handout of a general education course at CSUSM must include the following:

37 38

39

40

41

- 1. A web link to the online location of the GE Program learning outcomes (when available);
- 2. A web link to the online location of the GE learning outcomes for which the course is certified:

3. A list of GE Program learning outcomes which are addressed in the course; 4. GE Area learning outcomes in the area(s) for which the course is certified.

42 43

The campus syllabus guidelines shall be updated to include this directive.

44 45 46

47

The GE learning outcomes at area and program levels shall be posted in a public place on the campus web server in a format which is easily copied and pasted for use in individual syllabi.

EC 10/09/2013 Page 10 of 11

### Directors as "eligible faculty"

#### Constitution & Bylaws excerpt: Article 3: Faculty Membership

Voting members of the Faculty shall consist of tenured and tenure-track persons holding faculty rank, library faculty, Student Services Professional-Academic Related faculty (hereafter, SSP-AR), and full-time temporary faculty holding at least one-year appointments in academic departments. Faculty with the voting franchise shall be called eligible faculty.

Persons with substantial managerial and supervisory responsibilities that involve faculty and academic programs are excluded from membership. Persons holding MPP appointments are excluded.<sup>2</sup> Persons with work assignments that are substantially similar to the duties and responsibilities of persons holding MPP appointments are excluded.<sup>3,4,5</sup>

#### **Endnotes**

- 1. Disputes shall be resolved by the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate.
- 2. MPP, addressing the employment rights, benefits, and conditions of The CSU employees designated as 'management' or 'supervisory' under the HEERA. The Calif. Code of Regulations, Title 5.Education.Division 5: Board of Trustees of The CSU, Article 2.2: Management Personnel Plan uses definitions as specified in HEERA. Supervisory and managerial employee work assignments are described in HEERA.
- 3. HEERA California Codes, Government Code, Section 3580.3 "Supervisory employee...With respect to faculty or academic employees, any department chair, head of a similar academic unit or program, or other employee who performs the foregoing duties primarily in the interest of and on behalf of the members of the academic department, unit or program, shall not be deemed a supervisory employee solely because of such duties; ... Employees whose duties are substantially similar to those of their subordinates shall not be considered to be supervisory employees.
- 4. HEERA California Codes, Government Code, Section 3560-3562.1. Definitions: Section 3562 (1) "managerial employee means any employee having significant responsibilities for formulating or administering policies and programs. No employee or group of employees shall be deemed to be managerial employees solely because the employee or group of employees participate in decisions with respect to courses, curriculum, personnel and other matters of educational policy. A department chair or head of a similar academic unit or program who performs the foregoing duties primarily on behalf of the members of the academic unit or program shall not be deemed a managerial employee solely because of those duties."
- 5. CBA 2002 contract, Article 20, Workload: Administrator as used in the CBA refers to an employee serving in a position designated as management or supervisory in accordance with HEERA. The CBA provides further definitions of faculty.

"The primary professional responsibilities of instructional faculty members are: teaching, research, scholarship, creative activity; and service to the University, profession and to the community. The performance of instructional responsibilities extends beyond duties in the classroom and includes such activities as: preparation for class, evaluation of student performance, syllabus preparation and revision, and review of current literature and research in the subject area, including instructional methodology. Research, scholarship and creative activity in the faculty member's field of expertise are essential to effective teaching. Mentoring students and colleagues is another responsibility that faculty members are frequently expected to perform.

"The assignment of a librarian may include, but shall not be limited to, library services, reference services, circulation services, technical services, online reference services, teaching in library subject matter, service on system-wide and campus committees and task forces and activities that foster professional growth, including creative activity and research.

"The assignment of Counselor faculty may include, but shall not be limited to, individual counseling, group counseling, consultation and referral, intern training and supervision, teaching, service on system-wide and campus committees and task forces and activities that foster professional growth, including creative activity and research.

"Faculty members have additional professional responsibilities such as: advising students, participation in campus and system-wide committees, maintaining office hours, working collaboratively and productively with colleagues, and participation in traditional academic functions."

EC 10/09/2013 Page 11 of 11