

## MINUTES

Executive Committee of the Academic Senate  
California State University San Marcos  
Wednesday ~ March 12, 2014 ~  
Kellogg 5207 ~ 12-2 pm

### Voters Present:

<b>Vivienne Bennett, Chair</b>	<b>Laurie Stowell, Vice chair</b>	<b>Linda Holt, Secretary</b>
<b>Staci Beavers, BLP</b>	<b>Carmen Nava, FAC</b>	<b>Marshall Whittlesey, GEC</b>
<b>Ed Price, LATAC</b>	<b>Richelle Swan, NEAC</b>	<b>Linda Shaw, PAC</b>
<b>Jay Robertson-Howell, SAC</b>	<b>Matthew Escobar, UCC</b>	<b>Toni Olivas, Library</b>
<b>Glen Brodowsky, ASCSU</b>	<b>David Barsky, APC, ASCSU</b>	

**Ex Officio Present:** Darrel Engen/Ann Fiegen, CFA

**Not Present**

**Staff**

**Marcia Woolf**

### I. Approval of agenda

#### **Motion #1 M/S/P\***

To approve the agenda as amended.

### II. Approval of minutes of 03/05/2014

#### **Motion #2 M/S/P\***

To approve the minutes as presented.

- III. **Chair's report, Vivienne Bennett** •Bennett reported the high response rate to the ROTC Survey update. On March 13, the responses were 215 total responses, 127 from faculty / 67 with comments, and 88 from staff / 48 with comments. • A survey is going to be sent to senators about when to hold the senate's conversation about ROTC. One option will be to hold a separate Senate meeting just for the ROTC discussion on April 16, 1-3pm. Another option will be to start the April 9 Senate meeting at 12:30pm and have a time certain for a 60 minute discussion about ROTC during that meeting. • Bennett provided an update on Diversity Mapping: The President is close to signing a contract with Rona for the diversity mapping; she is working with Ocampo to develop the membership of the Coordinating Committee for Diversity Mapping; once the committee membership is finalized, the senate office will send out a call for self-nominations for the faculty seats; Bennett has received responses from 3-4 EC members regarding the qualitative methodologies proposed by Rona.

IV. **Staff Report, Marcia Woolf** • Woolf reported that the spring elections call went out. She asked any committees that were planning to set permanent meeting time to send them to her. Committees that are setting permanent meeting times are FAC, GEC, LACTC . BLP, UCC and SAC will discuss and make a decision.

V. **ASCSU report, Glen Brodowsky:** AB 386 says any student can get a seat in an online course offered by a UC, CSU or CC without enrolling in that campus. The home campus will evaluate whether they will take the course. This is currently occurring inside the CSU system and not working very well.

VI. **Provost Graham Oberem** Unable to attend

VII. **Discussion items**

A. NEAC Constitutional Changes: Amendment 1: Number of student representatives on APC moving from 1 to 2, Amendment 2: Conflicts of interest and Voting membership on PAC, Amendment 3: Update to Student Affairs Committee's Duties, Amendment 4: Update to UCC duties, Amendment 5: Conflicts of interest and Voting on committees: language was discussed by EC members. NEAC will review this language and bring back to EC, Amendment 6 Changes to the constitution and bylaws' description and endnotes related to faculty membership: NEAC added updated and clarifying language from HEERA about supervisory and managerial employees.

B. FAC **CEHHS RTP Policy;** The only changes to this document are the names of entities within the college and accrediting bodies.

**Motion #3 M/S/P\***

To forward this item to the Senate for a first reading on April 9.

C. BLP **Moving Self Support Academic Programs to State Support:** Beavers reported that this has happened in one or two cases to make a program more affordable. A suggestion was made to add a principle to place the program on a three year rolling plan or the LAMP. BLP will discuss and bring back to EC.

D. EC **Institutional Learning Outcomes,** Eisenbach/Meulemens. Time Certain 1:30: Eisenbach shared the draft Institutional Learning Outcomes (ILOs) and the process used to develop them. EC members asked questions and provided feedback.

E. FAC **Post Tenure Review Policy:** Nava presented FAC's rationale for changes to this policy. EC members discussed and disagreed about the interpretation of the CBA language "*consideration shall include teaching evaluations*" and whether or not that meant to include the student evaluations in the PTR file or only the faculty member's consideration of the

evaluations. EC members asked FAC to discuss with Michelle Hunt and CFA, and EC will abide by FAC's recommendation.

Remaining discussion items were postponed to the next EC meeting due to lack of time.

Meeting adjourned at 2 p.m.