

MINUTES

Executive Committee of the Academic Senate
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS
Wednesday, May 7, 2014
11:30 am – 1:00 pm ~ COM 206

Voters present	Vivienne Bennett, Chair Chet Kumar, APC Marshall Whittlesey, GEC Linda Shaw, PAC Yvonne Meulemans, Library David Barsky, ASCSU, APC	Laurie Stowell, Vice chair Staci Beavers, BLP Ed Price, LATAC Jay Robertson-Howell, SAC Glen Brodowsky, ASCSU	Linda Holt, Secretary Carmen Nava, FAC Richelle Swan, NEAC Matthew Escobar, UCC Ann Fiegen, CFA
Ex Officio Present:	Graham Oberem, Provost		
Guest	Kamel Haddad, Vice Provost		
Not represented	Staff		

I. Approval of agenda

Motion #1 M/S/P*
To approve the agenda

II. Approval of minutes of 4/30/14 meeting

Motion #2 M/S/P*
To approve the minutes as presented.

III. Chair's report, Vivienne Bennett: • Referral to LATAC: Faculty Preparation for Online Teaching, • Bennett welcomed our new Vice Provost Kamel Haddad to our meeting • Bennett asked for chairs of next year's committees • Diversity mapping focus groups started this morning. EC will meet with Rona. • Grad Studies Dean/AVP of Research candidates will be on campus May 5, 7, & 8. EC will meet May 5 3:05-3:50, May 7 3:30-4:15 and May 8 1:45-2:30. • EC is invited to Sublime Wed after Senate at 5:00 for Happy hour. • Final Committee reports due May 26.

IV. Provost's report, Graham Oberem: • Oberem expressed appreciation to the chair of Senate and all the committee chairs • Library Dean announcement will come out soon. • Oberem passed out a summary of report from the Task Force on First Year Programs that includes a recommendation for a new Dean of Undergraduate Studies in AA. He will meet with the Task Force before making a public announcements about next steps. The next steps will likely happen before faculty return in the fall. Oberem expressed apologies that he would have to announce the next steps over the summer when faculty are off contract.

V. Consent calendar

UCC Recommendations
Motion #3 M/S/P*
To approve as presented.

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved S = Seconded D = Defeated P = Passed L = Lost W = Withdrawn

VI. Discussion items

A. **NEAC Parliamentarian:**

Marshall Whittlesey volunteered

Motion #4 M/S/P*

That he be elected by acclimation from EC

Appreciation was expressed for Beverly Anderson's service to the Academic Senate as Parliamentarian

B. **FAC University RTP Policy, Article on Applicability of new/revised departmental RTP standards:**

FAC University RTP Policy, Article on Applicability of new/revised

departmental RTP standards: Nava provided update on FAC's work for item's 2nd reading, pointing to two key elements addressed in rationale accompanying proposed article. (1), FAC discussed proposed article with local CFA Faculty Rights Committee. After considering CFA's recommendation, FAC declined it and proceeded with an amended document. (2), Nava addressed how FAC processed other feedback on the 1st reading version. The most important change FAC made was to delete the concept of "four years" from definition of 'exemption' and replace it by referring to the exemption as applying until "next level" (of promotion) for all continuing tenure-line faculty. Nava also noted editing correction needed before document moves to Senate.

At EC, Ann Fiegen presented oral report for CFA, reiterating that CFA believes that FAC's proposed document is not consistent with the CBA. Nava explained key sections in the document, where rules for 'exemption' are explained for new tenure-line faculty and continuing tenure-line faculty. Lengthy discussion ensued among EC members, including a "friendly amendment" to have the new rule say that as long as new/substantially revised standards are signed by the president in a faculty member's first academic year, the standards would apply (no exemption allowed). This amendment was not acted upon.

EC recognized that FAC and CFA have differing understandings of relevant CBA articles regarding appointment and evaluation of tenure-line faculty and of university practice. FAC's proposed article affords all continuing tenure-line faculty the opportunity to exempt themselves from new or substantially revised department/college (equivalent) RTP standards, but changes to the university RTP document would continue to apply to all without exception. It appears that CFA asserts that only those RTP standards in place at the time of appointment apply to the tenure-line faculty member during their entire employment.

CFA suggested that the item be removed from Senate agenda for further discussion. Nava noted FAC's intensive work on this document, careful review of CFA's interpretation including detailed written responses, and FAC's unanimous support for moving the document forward. Bennett took straw poll re allowing document to proceed to Senate. The vote was 7 to remove and 7 not to remove. Nava decided to withdraw the document from Senate agenda.

Nava stated that EC had delayed the 2nd reading of the Speech Language Pathology and Psychology RTP Standards until after this item was approved by Senate. Nava noted that FAC had removed the "opt-out" clause from the original SLP document since FAC was directed by EC to develop a rule that would grant the same opportunity to all tenure-line faculty university-wide.

Bennett took another straw poll regarding whether to remove the SLP and Psychology standards from Senate agenda. The vote was 6 to remove and 6 not to remove. Nava requested that the two RTP documents remain on Senate agenda for 2nd reading. Nava observed that according to CFA's present interpretation, these two RTP standards, upon approval by Senate and the President, will

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved

S = Seconded

D = Defeated

P = Passed

L = Lost

W = Withdrawn

apply to no one among the continuing faculty whereas FAC's view was that they will apply to everyone.

- G. **SAC Definitions of Engaged Learning:** After SAC developed the internship policy they were tasked with creating definitions for different types of student placements. They ran these definitions by UCC and Global Education because the experiences could have course and/or GE credit connected to them. SAC would like these definitions to go forward with the Task Force Report on Internships (Jay: please correct this) to the president. The president already has an implementation team in place to begin planning how to implement the task force recommendations. Barsky suggested checking the existing service learning definition that came from APC. Some definitions might be hybrids. EC discussed if these definitions should go to senate as an information item. Bennett suggested amending the language on the Senate agenda and making a referral to SAC to work on these definitions further and bring them back to EC next year, then to Senate for approval (not as an Information item). Price also suggested checking to see how these definitions match up with definitions used in existing policies.
- H. EC Principles for state support vs. self support in program development
- I. Chair Senate chair & vice chair terms

Items H and I were not addressed due to lack of time.

VII. Information Items

IX. EC member's concerns & announcements

Meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.
Respectfully submitted by Laurie Stowell, Vice Chair

Approved by the Executive Committee: _____
Linda Holt , Secretary Date

Next meeting: September 3, 2014 12:00-2:00 pm ~ Kellogg 5207

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved S = Seconded D = Defeated P = Passed L = Lost W = Withdrawn