**Periodic Evaluation of Tenured Unit 3 Faculty Employees** 

Implementation Date: 05/01/00 Revised:

### I. Introduction

The purpose of Post Tenure Periodic Evaluation (PTPE) is to provide periodic feedback to faculty members<sup>1</sup> on their effectiveness in all areas considered for retention, tenure, and promotion in order to maintain and improve faculty performance. PTPE should be seen as an important part of a faculty member's professional growth, which provides faculty members with a regular opportunity to assess and revise their professional development plans and goals.

# II. Required Review Intervals

A. Faculty unit employees not being considered for promotion are subject to review every five years following the awarding of tenure.

B. Faculty on sabbatical or leave of absence during the scheduled year of review shall undergo PTPE upon return to campus.

C. Faculty who are participating in the Faculty Early Retirement Program (FERP) shall maintain their five-year review cycle.

#### III. Procedure and Timeline

A. A peer review committee (PRC) of the department or equivalent unit and the Dean/Director of the College/Library/unit shall conduct the PTPE.

B. PTPE Report -- Faculty undergoing a fifth-year PTPE shall submit a PTPE report. The PTPE report shall address the faculty member's work in all areas considered for retention, tenure, and promotion for the years under review. For faculty with teaching responsibilities, the PTPE report will cover the areas of Teaching, Research/Creative Activity, and Service. For librarians, the PTPE report will cover the areas of Professional Performance and Growth (including Leadership), Research/Creative Activity, and Service. For SSP-ARs, the PTPE report will cover the areas of Professional Performance, Professional Development, and Service.

1. In recognition that PTPE may serve different functions at various points in a faculty member's career, the PTPE report may take one of three possible forms. The faculty member under review shall determine the form best suited for the particular PTPE review. The forms are as follow:

a. A complete curriculum vitae (in the format recommended for the WPAF²) and up to a three-page narrative highlighting the faculty

EC 1/26/05 Page 1 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "faculty member" refers to instructional faculty, librarians, and SSP-ARs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A template for a complete CV may be found in the RTP Handbook produced by the Faculty Center.

member's accomplishments since the last review. The complete CV shall contain sections on Teaching (for teaching faculty) or Professional Performance/Professional Development (for non-teaching faculty), Research/ Creative Activity (if appropriate), and Service.

- b. Five annual reports and up to a three-page narrative highlighting the faculty member's accomplishments since the last review. Each annual report shall contain sections on Teaching (for teaching faculty) or Professional Performance/Professional Development (for non-teaching faculty), Research/ Creative Activity (if appropriate), and Service.
- c. A five to seven page narrative highlighting the faculty member's accomplishments in Teaching (for teaching faculty) or Professional Performance/Professional Development (for non-teaching faculty), Research/ Creative Activity (if appropriate), and Service.
- 2. All teaching faculty shall <u>include consideration of student evaluations of teaching submit a representative sample of the courses taught during the period under review as partial evidence of teaching effectiveness. This evidence may take the form of This consideration may take various forms; for example, a description of student evaluations may be included in the narrative, or a page from the summary statistics provided with the student evaluations of instruction obtained for each of the chosen classes, or a single table summarizing item statistics for all courses to be highlighted in the review may be included with the PTPE.</u>
- 3. Any reviewer may request of the candidate additional information on their PTPE report.
- 4. Upon the request of the PRC and/or the Dean/Director, faculty shall be prepared to provide evidence of accomplishments listed in the annual reports.
- C. The faculty member shall submit a copy of the PTPE report to the office of the Dean/Director of the College/Library/unit. The PTPE is reviewed by:
- D.1. The PTPE is reviewed by the unit's duly elected Peer Review Committee (PRC)<sup>3</sup> who will write a PRC report.
  - 1. a. In the event that a PRC member is undergoing review, the unit may elect a replacement committee member for that individual's Post Tenure Periodic Evaluation.
  - 2. b. If any faculty members undergoing PTPE review are Full Professors, a PRC of peers (i.e., Full Professors) shall be elected if the unit's PRC is not composed of such.

EC 1/26/05 Page 2 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Please refer to the appropriate college/library/SSP-AR document for PRC election instructions.

89					
90		E. <del>2.</del>	The Dean/Director	r <del>, who</del> will also review the PTPE and the PRC report, and write a	
91			summary report.		
92					
93		F.	The faculty member	er shall be provided a copy of the PRC and Dean/Director reports.	
94					
95		G.	The PRC chair and	d the Dean shall meet with the faculty member, upon completion of	
96				on to discuss strengths and weaknesses. If necessary, a plan for	
97			improvement will	be developed that shall include periodic status reports.	
98					
99		H.	The faculty members	er may submit a written response to the PTPE assessment.	
100					
101		I.	A copy of the PRC's report, the Dean's/Director's summary report, the improvement		
102				the faculty member's response (if any) shall be placed in the faculty	
103			member's Personn	el Action File.	
104					
105		<u>J.</u>	Academic units shall define the appropriate level of performance in each of the areas		
106			covered by the PT	PE report.	
107					
108		K.	PTPE Calendar		
109					
110			March 1:	Fifth-year PTPE reports due	
111			April 1	PRC report due to faculty member	
112			May 1	Dean's/Director's summary due	
113					
114	<del>IV.</del>	-Criter	<del>ria for Evaluation</del>		
115					
116		A. —		all define the appropriate level of performance in each of the areas	
117			covered by the PT	PE report.	
118		_			
119		<del>B.</del> —	•	mined to be unacceptable or deficient, the PRC chair and	
120				ll assist the faculty member in creating a professional development	
121				nent. The faculty member shall give a quarterly status report to	
122				with specific attention given to improvement in the area(s) where	
123			•	deficient until such time as the faculty member meets the criteria	
124			of the academic un	<del>Ht.</del>	

EC 1/26/05 Page 3 of 3

# Does Academic Senate need to approve departmental RTP policies?

#### V. PRINCIPLES FOR THE REVIEW PROCESS

### A. General principles

1. Faculty shall be evaluated in accordance with the Unit 3 CBA as well as standards approved for their Departments or equivalent units (when such standards exist), standards approved by their College/Library/SSP,AR, and in accordance with this policy. Such standards shall be reviewed and recommended by the Faculty Affairs Committee to the Academic Senate for approval. In case of conflict between the Department and College/Library/SSP,AR standards, the College/Library/SSP,AR standards shall prevail. The policies and procedures in this document are subject to Board of Trustees policies, Title 5 of the California Administrative Code, California Education Code, the Unit 3 CBA, and other applicable State and Federal laws.

\*

# V. B.4. Departmental Standards

- a. A Department or equivalent unit may develop standards for the evaluation of faculty members of that Department or equivalent unit.
- b. Department or equivalent unit standards shall not conflict with law or University policy. In no case shall Department standards require lower levels of performance than those required by law or University policy.
- c. Written Department or equivalent unit standards shall address:
  - 1) Those activities, which fall under the categories of Teaching Performance, Scholarly and Creative Activity, and Service;
  - 2) A description of standards used to judge the quality of performance;
  - 3) The criteria employed in making recommendations for retention, tenure, and promotion.
- d. The Dean/Director of the College/Library/SSP,AR shall review the Department standards for conformity to College/Library/SSP,AR standards. If the Dean approves, she/he will forward the Department standards to the Faculty Affairs Committee for conformance with the standards and practices of the University. The President has final approval of all Department standards. Departments or equivalent units shall follow this approval process each time they wish to change their standards.