## NEAC Recommendations <br> December 7, 2005

| Name | Committee | Representing | Term |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bennett, David | Citation Appeals Board | At large | $05 / 06$ |
| Brodowsky, Glen | Student Grade Appeals Committee | At large - alternate | $05 / 06$ |
| Chien, David | Student Grade Appeals Committee | At large | $05 / 06$ |
| Fiegen, Ann | Faculty Affairs Committee | Library | Spr '06 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Curriculum for Consent Calendar
December 7, 2005

| Curric. \& Sched. No. | Course <br> Prefix | Course <br> Number | New <br> Course \# | Course/Program Title | Form(s) | Type <br> Action | Proposal <br> Originator | Rec'd by Curr.\& Sched. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C\&S Sent } \\ & \text { to Senate } \end{aligned}$ | AS Sent to UCC | UCC <br> Action | Action <br> Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | MATH | PForm |  | B.S. in Mathematics | P Form | Change | Linda Holt | 5/10/05 | 10/12/05 | 10/12/05 | Approved | 11/14/05 |
| 100 | MATH | PForm |  | Minor in Mathematics | P Form | Change | Linda Holt | 5/10/05 | 9/9/05 | 9/9/05 | Approved | 11/14/05 |
| 108 | MATH | 378 |  | Number Systems | C | New | Wayne Aitken | 5/30/05 | 10/12/05 | 10/12/05 | Approved | 11/14/05 |
| 107 | MATH | 372 | 422 | Introduction to Number Theory | C-2 | Change | Wayne Aitken | 5/10/05 | 10/12/05 | 10/12/05 | Approved | 11/14/05 |
| 106 | MATH | 360 | 430 | Foundations Analysis | C-2 | Change | Wayne Aitken | 5/10/05 | 10/12/05 | 10/12/05 | Approved | 11/14/05 |
| 109 | MATH | 441 |  | Introduction to Probability | C | New | Amber Puha | 5/10/05 | 10/12/05 | 10/12/05 | Approved | 11/14/05 |
| 110 | MATH | 442 |  | Introduction to Mathematical Statistics | C | New | Amber Puha | 5/10/05 | 10/12/05 | 10/12/05 | Approved | 11/14/05 |
| 111 | MATH | 470 |  | Introduction to Abstract Algebra | C-2 | Change | Wayne Aitken | 5/10/05 | 10/12/05 | 10/12/05 | Approved | 11/14/05 |
| 20 | COMM | 400 |  | Discourse Analysis | C-2 | Change | Barry Saferstein | 9/30/05 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 | Approved | 11/7/05 |
| 61 | HIST | 350 |  | Chicana/o Experience in the Borderlands | C-2 | Change | Carmen Nava | 10/24/05 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 | Approved | 11/7/05 |
| 95 | LTWR | 334A |  | Myth, Hero, Text, Ideology and Genre | T Form | New | Ken Mendoza | 9/7/05 | 9/7/05 | 10/21/05 | Approved | 11/7/05 |
| 96 | LTWR | 336D |  | European Cinema | T Form | New | Oliver Berghof | 9/13/05 | 9/13/05 | 10/21/05 | Approved | 11/7/05 |
| 118 | PSCI | 320 |  | Politics and Administration | D | Deletion | Staci Beavers | 9/21/05 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 | Approved | 11/7/05 |
| 119 | PSCI | 414 |  | U.S. Judicial Process | C-2 | Change | Staci Beavers | 9/21/05 | 10/21/05 | 10/21/05 | Approved | 11/7/05 |

TO: Linda Holt Academic Senate
FROM: Academic Support Task Force
DATE: $\quad$ September $28^{\text {th }}, 2005$

Task Force Charge: To determine what type of support structure is needed to engage academic departments in meaningful assessment strategies for the PEP process as well as other program planning and development efforts. The task force should meet to discuss what types of support are necessary across the different types of academic units and assign cash value to this support. This information should be submitted to the Executive Committee of the Senate within six weeks of the first task force meeting.

## Make-up of Task Force:

Gabriela Sonntag, Chair of Program Assessment Committee
Regina Eisenbach, CoBA
Linda Shaw, Sociology
Denise Garcia, Biological Sciences
Bettina Huber, Director of Analytic Studies
Marie Thomas, WASC Educational Effectiveness Committee.

The Taskforce met on two occasions and exchanged ideas via email. Faculty input was invited via the discussion board on the Academic Senate website. Additionally Bettina Huber conducted a survey of practice on other CSU campuses relating to funding for program reviews and assessment.

As the campus moves forward with the WASC review and in consideration of the CSU Accountability Reporting process, including the review of educational effectiveness indicators, we foresee heightened focus on the program review process and especially on the assessment of student learning. The campus must acknowledge the serious investments that these entail and provide sufficient resources to faculty in the programs under review, as it is the faculty that takes on the greatest share of the task.

The CSU survey shows that funding is generally available for external reviewers (12 campuses routinely fund it; another 4 sometimes provide funding). Program reviews, per se, are not generally funded although 4 campuses do receive some funds (partial funding for attendance at assessment conferences, reimbursements for one-time expenses such as software purchases, small stipends for summer work).

We urge the university to set aside funding for assessment giving a priority to those departments undergoing program review. The survey of sister campuses found that 18 of them provide at least some funding for assessment.
a. Funds (\$10,000 per program) to support the initial development of assessment plans. The department will submit a proposal that explains how the monies will be used: course releases, departmental retreats, attendance at conferences, etc. Flexibility is important to allow for variations in need.
b. Additional funding in future years (\$2000) to support the implementation of specific assessment projects. Examples may include a survey development and implementation, alternative assessment tools, exit interviews, etc.
c. Several campuses have used lottery funds to underwrite assessment initiatives.

Creating a faculty learning community on assessment is an innovative idea seen on other CSU campuses. The programs undergoing review can work together, attend assessment conferences, and provide support. The faculty member would receive a small stipend to participate.

WHEREAS, The campus is moving forward with the WASC review, and in consideration of the CSU Accountability Reporting process, including the review of educational effectiveness indicators, we foresee heightened focus on the program review process and especially on the assessment of student learning; and

WHEREAS, The campus must acknowledge the serious investments that these entail and provide sufficient resources to faculty in the programs under review, as it is the faculty that takes on the greatest share of the task; and

WHEREAS, A survey of other CSU campuses found that 18 of them provide at least some funding for assessment; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Academic Senate endorse the report of the Academic Department Assessment Support Task Force; and be it further

RESOLVED, That, the Academic Senate endorse the recommendation in the report, that the university set aside funding for assessment giving a priority to those programs undergoing program review. To include:
a) funds (up to $\$ 10,000$ per program) may be requested to support the initial development of assessment plans with the department submitting a proposal on how the monies will be used;
b) funds in future years (up to an additional \$2000) may be requested to support the implementation of specific assessment projects;
c) a stipend to be provided for one faculty member from each of the programs undergoing review to participate in a learning community to work together; and
d) a course release for the chair of the Program Assessment Committee following standard practice for Academic Senate Committee chairs.

## COAS 300.4.5 RETENTION, TENURE, AND PROMOTION STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES

Ratified: 11/18/91

## I. DEFINITIONS OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

The College of Arts and Sciences (CoAS) uses the same definitions, terms, and abbreviations as defined in the University RTP document.
A. In the standards and procedures described by this document, "is" is informative, "shall" is mandatory, "may" is permissive, "should" is conditional, and "will" is intentional.
B. The following terms, important to understanding faculty policies and procedures for retention, tentre, and promotion, are herein defined.

1. Gandidate - a faculty unit employee being evaluated for retention, tenure, or promotion.
2. College-College of Arts and Sciences.
3. Discipline-a program with full-time tenure track faculty positions (including joint appointments) and those designated as Interdisciplinary.
-4. Evaluation an assessment of a faculty member's performance. An evaluation shall not include a recommendation for action.
4. Peer Review Committee - the committee of full-time faculty unit employees whose purpose is to review and make recommendations on faculty unit employees who are being considered for retention, tenure, and promotion.
5. Probationary Faculty - a full-time faculty unit employee appointed on a term contract who is on a tenure track.
6. Promotion - the advancement of a probationary or tenured faculty unit employee who holds academic rank to a higher academic rank.
7. Recommendation-a position on the personnel action for which the faculty unit employee is being considered. A recommendation shall state in writing the reasons for the recommendation.
8. Retention - the decision to renew a contract at the probationary status.
9. RTP-retention, tenure, and/or promotion.
10. Tenure - the right to continued permanent employment at the campus as a faculty unit employee except when such employment is voluntarily terminated or is terminated by the employer purstumt to the MOU or law.

## II. PREAMBLE

This document sets forth general standards and criteria for retention, tenure, and promotion of full-time faculty in the College of Arts and Sciences. The provisions of this document are intended to be implemented in conformity with University-wide RTP policies, and may be complemented and refined by disciplinary documents that further specify standards, criteria, and expectations of performance.

## III. GUIDING PRINCIPLES

## A. GENERAL GUIDING PRINCIPLES

1. All standards and criteria should reflect the University Mission, Vision and

Values Statement and advance the goals embodied in that statement, including the following:

- That students be "taught by active scholars and artists."
- That student learning be enhanced through "sustained excellence in teaching, research, and community partnerships."
- That "individual and cultural diversity, and multiple perspectives" be promoted and endorsed.
- That the education of students include dedication to the values of intellectual engagement, community, integrity, innovation, and inclusiveness.

2. The three performance areas that shall be evaluated, scholarshipresearch/creative activity, instructionteaching, and service, are integral faculty activities. While recognizing instructionteaching as a central institutional mission, the College and disciplinary standards and criteria should recognize the diversity of each faculty member's contribution to the University. While the College affirms the universitywide requirement of sustained high quality performance in all areas, it encourages flexibility in the relative emphasis placed on each of the three performance areas. The College respects and allows diversity of contribution in individual attainment of the expected level of overall performance.
3. The evaluation of faculty performance in the areas of instructionteaching, scholarshipresearch/creative activity, and service must be done in the context of the University's level of development. Methods of performance assessment for scholarshipresearch/creative activity, instructionteaching, and service shall be
clearly specified and uniformly applied to all faculty. Activities assessed in one area of performance shall not be duplicated in any other area of performance evaluation.
4. As specified in the CBA, faculty have the right to clearly articulated performance expectations at all levels and stages of the RTP process. The RTP process should be simultaneously evaluative and developmental and be carried out in a cooperative, collaborative environment.
5. Retention, tenure, and promotion decisions are made on the basis of evaluation of individual performance, and ultimate responsibility for meeting all standards and criteria rests with the candidate. Sound advice and counsel by tenured faculty can significantly contribute to the achievement of the highest level of individual performance and should be available. Candidates may choose whether to avail themselves of such advice and counsel.

## B. STANDARDS APPLIED IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF DECISIONS

1. It is expected that candidates for retention at the rank of assistant professor will show increasing effectiveness in each area of performance and demonstrate consistent progress toward meeting the tenure requirements in the areas of instructionteaching, scholarshipresearch/creative activity, and service.
2. Promotion to the rank of associate professor requires an established record of effectiveness in instrutionteaching, scholarlyresearch/creative achievements, and involvement in service activities that enhance the institution and the profession.
3. Promotion to the rank of professor requires evidence of continued commitment to and effectiveness in instructionteaching, service to the University and/or the profession, and evidence of substantial achievement in scholarlyresearch/creative activities.
4. The granting of tenure at any rank recognizes accomplishments and services performed during the probationary years. Further, the granting of tenure is an expression of confidence that the faculty member has both the commitment to and the potential for continued development and accomplishment throughout his/her career. Tenure should ordinarily not be granted to individuals whose record does not meet the standards required to earn promotion to the rank at which the tenure will be granted.

## IV. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA

## A. INSTRUCTIONTEACHING

1. The central, although not exclusive, mission of the faculty is to enable students to comprehend and to utilize knowledge through scholarly intellectual activity that is both challenging and encouraging. Quality instructionteaching requires continual crafting and dedication. Toward that end faculty are expected to learn about pedagogy, to carefully consider how to teach as well as what to teach. Faculty members are expected to strengthen continmallytheir teaching skills continually and to demonstrate overall effectiveness in-scholarly instruction at the undergraduate and/or graduate level. Toward this end, faculty are encouraged in every way to cultivate and maintain useful, innovative, and stimulating instructional techniques, in consultation with mentoring peers and to be mindful of the conclusions and recommendations of evaluating entities.
2. Probationary and tenured faculty are expected to set clear expectations of success and to instruct with the assumption that all students can learn. Faculty should involve students actively in the learning process and employ various instructional techniques. Faculty should adapt their instructional methods to reach and to encourage the participation of all segments of a diversethe student body. Faculty should emphasize practices known to be effective at increasing substantially the participation of women, underrepresented groups, and the disabled in the learning process.
3. Instructional Teaching activities include, but are not limited to:

- classroom teaching
- laboratory teaching
- studio teaching
- conducting seminars
- supervision of field work, independent research, and library research
- training and supervision of teaching and graduate assistants
- individual consultation with students concerning course related matters.

4. While the elements of instructionteaching may vary among disciplines and candidates, the evaluations of instructionalteaching performance should-will consider the scholarly content and currency of courses, classroom performance, the incorporation of writing and critical thinking, efforts undertaken to improve instructionteaching, the quality of advising, availability during office hours, interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary activities, participation in course or curriculum development, and pedagogical innovations.
5. As outlined in the university RTP policy, the candidate must include a reflective statement on their teaching. In addition, tTh following items may be included in the reflective statement: a self evaluation, a statement of teaching philosophy, reflections on student evaluations, discussion of the type of classes taught ${ }_{2}$; discussion of collaboration in teaching, or a discussion of learning goals ${ }_{2}$ and activities, and methods for assessment.
6. Evidence of instructional-teaching performance in the supplemental fileWPAF shalleuld include, but is not limited to, the following: peer evaluations; student evaluations for a minimum of two classes annually; a list of courses taught; samples of instructional-teaching materials, such as syllabi, examinations, assignments, handouts, and other assessment tools, and handouts; and descriptions of new courses developed; and certifieates of recognition for instruction. Additionally, the supplemental file may include:

- Selected, representative course materials, such as assignments, examinations, handouts.
- Information about the direction/supervision of independent study/research projects, graduate theses, etc. Limit of one (1) paragraph regarding each.
- Statements from colleagues who have observed the candidate in the classroom or who have systematically reviewed the candidate's course materials.
- Information concerning the candidate's performance as a faculty advisor (e.g., notes/letters from students, letters from faculty who are in a position to judge the candidate's performance as an advisor). The authors of such documents must be identified by name (M.O.U.CBA 15.16b).
- Information concerning honors or recognition related to instructionteaching (e.g., distinguished teaching awards).
- An audiotape or videotape of a representative class session.
- Statements from alumni addressing the candidate's quality of teaching/advising. The authors of these documents must be identified by name (M.O.UCBA 15.16b)
- Examples of graded student work showing excellent, average, and poor work, along with the professor's comments as to why they were so graded.
- Any additional information not included in the narrative (e.g., documentation of professional development related to pedagogy).

67. Limitations that may be relevant for the faculty's effectiveness in instructionteaching (e.g., limited library and laboratory resources, limited
availability of audiovisual, computing, and other nonprint materials, and the need to teach courses outside one's area of expertise) shall be taken into account when evaluating performance in this area.

## B. RESEARCH/CREATIVE ACTIVITY

1. It is essential to the University's mission that each faculty member demonstrate continued commitment, dedication, and growth as a scholar and/or creative artist. In all cases, scholarshipresearch/creative activity results in an original contribution to knowledge or understanding in the field and includes the dissemination of that knowledge beyond the classroom.
2. Research/creative activity may be basic, applied, integrative, and/or related to instructionteaching. The relative weights given to scholarlyresearch/creative contributions in each of these areas may vary across disciplines. Similarly, the nature of the expected scholarlyresearch/creative contributions will vary across disciplines. Each discipline shall clearly specify in its personnel document those activities that are appropriate indicators of scholarship/creative activity for its faculty.
3. Research/creative activity includes, but is not limited to:

- publications in refereed journals
- publications in refereed conference proceedings
- published book chapters, books, music, scripts, poetry
- scholarly editing and/or reviewing
- translating into other languages or media
- artistic presentations, performances, recitals, or exhibits
- films, videos, or other media projects
- research published on digital media
- presentations at professional meetings
- pedagogic research and exposition, or materials development
- demonstration of creative work for peer review
- applied research
- grant activity (funded grants, proposals)
- computer software development
- documented, active participation in specialized colloquia, seminars, symposia, or conferences
- fellowships, awards, or honors
- evidence of research or creative activity evidence-in progress
- refereeing of a book, journal article, monograph, or conference papers

4. Measurement of scholarly/creative achievements should always include evaluation by professional persons in a position to assess the quality of the contribution to the field. Professional evaluation includes, but is not limited to, acceptance of a scholarly or creative work by an editorial board or jury. In all cases, quality of scholarly/creative achievements should be evaluated.
5. In the development of its Standards, each discipline shall take into account those inherent limitations of the developmental stage of the University that may be relevant for its faculty's scholarly/creative achievements.
C. SERVICE
6. The College views activities that enhance the institution and the profession, both locally and nationally, as integral components of faculty service responsibility. In a growing institution such as CSUSM, service endeavors can prove to be immense and deserve to be evaluated with consideration for the demands of such undertakings. Not only should the contributions' value be considered, but so also should the effect of the level of service contribution on other scholarly and instructional areas of performance.
7. While the magnitude of service rendered may vary, in each instance the evaluation of service must be guided by the quality of that service and its relevance to the University's mission. of service shall include evaluation of the quality of service rendered, the extent to which the service rendered contributed to the University's mission, and the appropriateness of the service to the faculty member's rank.
8. Evaluation of service shall include evaluation of the quality of service rendered, the extent to which the service rendered contributed to the University's mission, and the appropriateness of the service to the faculty member's rank.
9. Service activities may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- membership and offices held on committees, governing bodies, and task forces at the Department/Program, College, and University level.
- membership and offices held on committees, editorial boards, professional advisory boards, external review teams, governing bodies, and task forces at the local, national, and international level.
- consultantship to community organizations
- professional consultantships of a service nature
- service as faculty advisor to student organizations
- mentoring of faculty and/or students
- advising a student group
- administrative activities such as scheduling, program coordination, or other special assignments
- offices held and participation in professional organizations
- lectures, presentations, performances or displays given to community groups, or schools
- organizing regional or national conferences, workshops, or seminars
- service award, fellowship or honor
- editing of a journal, book, or monograph
- refereeing of a book, journal article, monograph, conference
- op-ed pieces, letters to the editor, radio and TV interviews

45. Documentation of service may include, but shall not be limited to:

- a list/description of service to the community, university, college, department, or discipline
- evaluation by fellow committee members regarding quality of service provided
- documents, reports or other evidence of committee service
- letters from appropriate organizers, officers, panel chairs, editors or similar officials of regional or national organizations/publications with which the
candidate was involved as an officer, speaker, panelist, external reviewer, referee, consultant, visiting lecturer, etc.
- letters from community members who are in a position to comment on the candidate's contributions, such as those who invited the candidate to speak or worked with the candidate on a project
- meeting agendas or programs
- programs or fliers describing the event and/or listing the participants
- awards made for the service (e.g., certificates, plaques)
- newspaper clippings
- videotapes
- audiotapes


## CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS

## 1. Definition of the Proposed Degree Major Program ${ }^{1}$

a. Name of the campus submitting the request, the full and exact designation (degree terminology) for the proposed degree major program, and academic year of intended implementation.
b. Name of the department, departments, division or other unit of the campus which would offer the proposed degree major program. Identify the unit which will have primary responsibility.
c. Name, title, and rank of the individual(s) primarily responsible for drafting the proposed degree major program.
d. Objectives of the proposed degree major program. ${ }^{2}$
e. Total number of units required for the major. List of all courses, by catalog number, title and units of credit, to be specifically required for a major under the proposed degree program. Identify those new courses which are 1) needed to initiate the program and 2) needed during the first two years after implementation. Include proposed catalog description of all new courses.
f. List elective courses, by catalog number, title, and units of credit, which can be used to satisfy requirements for the major. Identify those new courses which are 1) needed to initiate the program and 2) needed during the first two years after implementation. Include proposed catalog description of all new courses.
g. If any formal options, concentration, or special emphases are planned under the proposed major, explain fully.
h. Course prerequisites and other criteria for admissions of students to the proposed degree major program, and for their continuation in it.
i. Explanation of special characteristics of the proposed degree major program, e.g., in terminology, units of credit required, types of course work, etc. ${ }^{3}$
j. For undergraduate programs, provisions for articulation of the proposed major with community college programs. ${ }^{4}$
k. Provision for meeting accreditation requirements, where applicable, and anticipated date of accreditation request.

## 2. Need for the Proposed Degree Major Program

[^0]a. List of other California State University campuses currently offering or projecting the proposed degree major program; list of neighboring institutions, public or private, currently offering the proposed degree major program.
b. Differences between the proposed program and programs listed in a. above.
c. List of other curricula currently offered by the campus which are closely related to the proposed program. Enrollment figures during the past three years in specified courses or programs closely related to the proposed degree major program. If a formal minor, option or concentration is offered in the proposed subject area, indicate the number of students enrolled. ${ }^{5}$
d. Results of a formal survey in the geographical area to be served indicating demand for individuals who have earned the proposed degree and evidence of serious student interest in majoring in the proposed program. Justify any discrepancies between national/statewide/professional manpower surveys and local findings. ${ }^{6}$
e. For graduate programs, the number of declared undergraduate majors and the degree production over the preceding three years for the corresponding baccalaureate program. ${ }^{7}$
f. Professional uses of the proposed degree major program.
g. The expected number of majors in the year of initiation and three years and five years thereafter. The expected number of graduates in the year of initiation and three years and five years thereafter. ${ }^{8}$

## 3. Existing Support Resources for the Proposed Degree Major Program

a. Faculty members, with rank, appointment status, highest degree earned, date and field of highest degree, and professional experience (including publications if the proposal is for a graduate degree), who would teach in the proposed program.
b. Space and facilities that would be used in support of the proposed program. Show how this space is currently used and what alternate arrangements, if any, will be made for the current occupants.
c. Library resources to support the program, specified by subject areas, volume count, periodical holdings, etc. ${ }^{9}$
d. Equipment and other specialized materials currently available. ${ }^{10}$

[^1] these here.
AS $1^{\text {st }}$ Reading $12 / 07 / 05$

## 4. Additional Support Resources Required

a. Complete Table I, enrollment and faculty positions should be shown for all discipline categories which will increase because of the new program and for all discipline categories which will decrease because of the new program. If faculty positions are to be transferred into the new program from other areas, the reductions in faculty positions should be shown in the appropriate discipline category. ${ }^{11}$
b. Any special characteristics of the additional faculty or staff support positions needed to implement the proposed program. ${ }^{12}$
c. The amount of additional lecture and/or laboratory space required to initiate and sustain the program over the next five years. Indicate any additional special facilities that will be required. If the space is under construction, what is the projected occupancy date? If the space is planned, indicate campuswide priority of the facility, capital outlay program priority, and projected date of occupancy. ${ }^{13}$
d. Additional library resources needed. Indicate the commitment of the campus to purchase or borrow through inter-library loan these additional resources. ${ }^{14}$
e. Additional equipment or specialized materials that will be 1) needed to implement the program and 2) needed during the first two years after initiation. Indicate source of funds and priority to secure these resource needs. ${ }^{15}$

## 5. Abstract of the Proposal and Proposed Catalog Description ${ }^{16}$

## 6. Additional CSUSM New Program Requirements

a. Complete Table II, indicating the courses in which the student learning outcomes are addressed. ${ }^{17}$
b. Provide an initial assessment plan for the program. The data collected via this assessment plan will be the basis of the first program review (generally scheduled five years after program implementation). ${ }^{18}$
c. (For undergraduate degrees) Attach a sample schedule showing how a student beginning as a first-time freshman at CSUSM could fulfill all graduation requirements in four years. ${ }^{19}$

[^2]d. Attach a proposed course offering plan for the first three years of program implementation. Where possible, indicate likely faculty teaching assignments.
e. Summarize the responses in items $4 b-4 e$ by completing the Table III below. ${ }^{20}$

Table III.

| Necessary Resources | Start-up Costs | On-going Costs | Expected On-going <br> External Funding <br> (indicate expected <br> duration of funding) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Additional Faculty <br> • Tenure-line <br> - Adjunct |  |  |  |
| Program Administration: <br> Release time for Chair <br> or Director |  |  |  |
| Additional Staff |  |  |  |
| Library/Collections |  |  |  |
| Technology: <br> Special Hardware and/or <br> Software |  |  |  |
| Other: <br> Computer and Furniture <br> Complements, telephone, <br> equipment, operating <br> expenses, etc. |  |  |  |

[^3]Table II: Student Learning Outcomes Receiving Attention in Selected Courses

|  |  | Preparation for the Major |  | Upper-Division Core |  |  | First Set of Choices in Major |  | Second Set of Choices in Major |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Required Course 1 | Required Course 2 | Required Course 1 | Required Course 2 | Required Course 3 | Elective Course 1 | Elective Course 2 | Elective Course 1 | Elective Course 2 | Elective Course 3 | Elective Course 4 |
|  | SLO 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program | SLO 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student | SLO 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Learning | SLO 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outcomes | SLO 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SLO 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SLO 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Use an X to mark Student Learning Outcomes that are developed within particular courses.
More refined versions of such a chart might use different symbols to differentiate between primary and secondary focus on an SLO in a course.

# NEW PROGRAM PROPOSAL - Form P 

## COLLEGE

$\qquad$ Discipline $\qquad$

## TITLE OF PROGRAM:

This form is the signature sheet for new programs and new options/concentrations/emphases/tracks within existing programs. For all changes to existing programs (other than addition of new options/concentrations/emphases/tracks), use the Form P-2.

Check one: $\begin{aligned} \text { ___ } & \text { New Undergraduate Major or New Graduate Degree } \\ & \text { New Option/Concentration/Emphasis/Track } \\ & \text { ___ New Minor } \\ & \text { New Teaching Credential } \\ & \text { New Certificate }\end{aligned}$

Attach a completed New Program Template
Attach a completed New Option/Concentration/ Special Emphasis, Teaching Credential and Minor Attach a completed New Certificate Template

Does this proposal impact other disciplines? ___ Yes ___ No If yes, obtain signature(s).
Any objections or concerns should be stated in writing and attached to this form. Please check the box to indicate whether a memo has been attached.

|  |  |  | Support | Oppose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discipline | Signature | Date |  |  |
|  |  |  | Support | Oppose |
| Discipline | Signature | Date |  |  |
|  |  |  | Support | _Oppose |
| Discipline | Signature | Date |  |  |
| Discipline | Signature | Date | Support | Oppose |


COLLEGE-LEVEL APPROVAL PROCESS
$\qquad$
Date
5. $\qquad$ Date
UNIVERSITY-LEVEL APPROVAL PROCESS
(May not begin until all Review and College-Level Approval signatures have been obtained.)

6 a.
University Curriculum Committee^
7.

9.

6 b.
Budget and Long-Range Planning Committee^
Date
8.

10. $\qquad$

* Attach a memo on program impact on the unit and the ability of the unit to support it.
$\wedge$ Attach a memo summarizing the curricular and/or resource deliberations.
For Curriculum and Scheduling Office Use Only
D.B. ___ Catalog_______


## PROGRAM CHANGE PROPOSAL - Form P-2

## COLLEGE

$\qquad$ Discipline $\qquad$

## TITLE OF PROGRAM:

Check one: $\qquad$ Change to Program Program Deletion

## TITLE OF DEGREE PROGRAM:

This form is the signature sheet for a change to, or deletion of, an existing program.
Note that the addition of a new option/concentration/emphasis/track is a new "program," and requires the use of Form P.
For a change to a program,

1. Attach a page (or pages) giving a brief summary of the purpose of this proposal, and its connection to the mission and student learning outcomes of the program.
2. Attach catalog copy showing exactly how the program should appear in the catalog if the changes are approved.

For a program deletion, attach a statement explaining the impact on students: how will the program be "taught-out" for declared majors?


* Where appropriate, attach a memo on program impact on the unit and the ability of the unit to support it. Check the box next to the signature line to indicate whether a memo has been attached.
$\wedge$ Where appropriate, attach a memo summarizing the curricular and/or resource deliberations. Check the box next to the signature line to indicate whether a memo has been attached.


# COBA \#\# <br> RETENTION, TENURE, AND PROMOTION (RTP) <br> STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES 

Revised and Ratified: 10/14/2005

## I. ADHERENCE TO THE UNIVERSITY RTP POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. The College of Business Administration (CoBA) uses the same definitions, terms, and abbreviations as defined in the University RTP document.
B. Provisions of this document are to be implemented in conformity with University RTP policies and procedures; the CSU Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), Articles 13, 14, 15; and the University Policy on Ethical Conduct. The candidate should note, particularly, University procedures that provide guidance on the working personnel action file (WPAF) and describe the responsibilities of the candidate in the review process.
C. The CSUSM RTP document specifies a role of departments and chairs in the retention, tenure, and promotion policy in accordance with the CBA. In its present form, CoBA is organized into departments with designated department chairs who have administrative and program responsibilities. Thus, the College of Business Faculty have agreed that the standards set forth in this CoBA Retention, Tenure and Promotion Document provide the following:

1. The academic unit reviewing the candidate's file will be a department specific Peer Review Committee.
2. Whereas the CSUSM RTP document states that departments may specify standards for retention, tenure and promotions, CoBA Faculty designate the standards set forth in this document as the standard for all departments within CoBA until such time as departments wish to create separate standards.
3. Department chairs may make separate recommendations. Such recommendations shall be forwarded to subsequent levels of review. If the chair makes a separate recommendation, he/she shall not participate as a member of the peer committee (see University RTP policy; CBA Articles 15.19, 15.34).

## II. GUIDING PRINCIPLES

A. The performance areas that shall be evaluated include teaching, scholarship, and service. While there will be diversity in the contributions of faculty members to the University, CoBA recognizes that teaching, scholarship and service are all central to the institution, therefore, faculty members must submit a curriculum vita and narrative statements describing the summary of teaching, research and service for the review period. The faculty member must meet the minimum standards in each of the three areas
B. Retention, tenure, and promotion decisions are made on the basis of the evaluation of individual performance. Candidates are responsible for 1 ) becoming familiar with
the standards; 2) understanding the standards; 3) engaging in activities that meet the standards and 4) effectively communicating how they have met the standards. Sound advice and counsel by tenured faculty can significantly contribute to the achievement of the highest level of individual performance. Candidates are encouraged to avail themselves of such advice and counsel.
C. Activities counted and assessed in one area of performance shall not be duplicated in any other area of performance evaluation.
D. Candidates for retention will show effectiveness in each area of performance and demonstrate progress toward meeting the tenure requirements in the areas of teaching, scholarship and service.
E. Candidates for the rank of associate professor require an established record of effectiveness in teaching, nationally recognized scholarship and service to the University.
F. Candidates for the rank of professor require, in addition to continued effectiveness, an established record of initiative and leadership in teaching, scholarship, and service to the University, the profession and the community. Promotion to the rank of professor will be based on the record of the individual since he/she was promoted to the rank of associate professor.
G. The granting of tenure at any rank recognizes accomplishments and services performed by the faculty member during his/her career. The record must show sustained and continuous activities and accomplishments. The granting of tenure is an expression of confidence that the faculty member has both the commitment to and the potential for continued development and accomplishment throughout his/her career. Tenure will be granted only to individuals whose record meets the standards required to earn promotion to the rank at which the tenure will be granted.
H. The recommending of early tenure (prior to the $6^{\text {th }}$ year in rank) is considered an exception. An individual should have a minimum of three years of service at CSUSM. A positive recommendation requires that the candidate's record clearly exceeds the articulated standards for the granting tenure and that the record demonstrates a sustained level of accomplishment at CSUSM in all areas.
I. Faculty who are hired at an advanced rank without tenure may apply for tenure after two years of service at CSUSM (i.e., in Fall of their third year at CSUSM). A positive recommendation requires that the candidate's record at CSUSM clearly demonstrates a continued level of accomplishment in all areas and, together with the candidate's previous record, satisfies the articulated standards for the granting of tenure at the Faculty member's rank.

## III. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR TEACHING

A. For retention, tenure, and promotion, College faculty members are expected to demonstrate effective teaching. "Effective teaching" is instructional activity in support of the College Mission and is demonstrated by information in the teaching portfolio section of the WPAF.
B. "Teaching" includes instructional activity such as the following:

- classroom teaching
- laboratory teaching
- supervision of Senior Experience and Masters projects
- course development
- curriculum development
- program development
- pedagogical self-development
- supervision of student independent study
- student advising and counseling
C. The teaching portfolio

1. The following documentation is required:

- A reflective statement on teaching.
- University-approved student evaluation of teaching forms and summary for all classes taught (e.g. all sections of HTM 305)
- Student grade averages in the courses for which student evaluations of teaching are furnished
- Syllabi for each course taught (e.g. HTM 305)

2. The following documentation is optional:

- Other course instructional materials such as candidate-prepared cases and assignments, handouts, and exams
- Written peer evaluations
- Documentation regarding course, curriculum, or program development
- Documentation regarding pedagogical innovations
- Documentation regarding pedagogical self-development
- Documentation regarding supervision of student independent study
- Documentation regarding student advising and counseling
- Additional summary information regarding grading
- Unsolicited letters from former students
- Teaching awards
- Other items chosen by the faculty member

3. A candidate's teaching effectiveness shall be based on an evaluation of the entire teaching portfolio rather than an evaluation of selected items in the portfolio.
4. Occasionally, candidates may conclude that their Student Evaluation of Teaching ratings are not an accurate reflection of their teaching effectiveness. In these cases, candidates may believe that their peers would be better able to evaluate their teaching effectiveness. Accordingly, candidates are given the option of obtaining a written peer evaluation of their teaching, which they may submit as evidence of their teaching effectiveness.

## D. Standards

## 1. Promotion from Assistant Professor to Associate Professor

At the Assistant Professor level, evidence of effective teaching that meets standards includes but is not limited to: student evaluations that are typical of CoBA Assistant Professors for the types of courses taught and grades given, syllabi that articulate course objectives and requirements, assignments that help students accomplish the course objectives, and evaluation criteria that reflect how successfully students accomplish the course objectives. While not required, evidence of teaching effectiveness may include documentation of course, curriculum, or program development.

## 2. Promotion from Associate Professor to Professor

As more experienced faculty, Associate Professors being considered for promotion to Professor are held to a higher standard than described in the preceding section. Accordingly, to be rated meets standards, a candidate at the Associate Professor level is expected to provide evidence of course, curriculum, or program development activities in addition to documentation of teaching performance that is typical of experienced CoBA faculty for the types of courses taught. (Also see Section II. F.)

## 3. Retention

Candidates for retention are to include the required items for courses taught and additional optional materials in their teaching portfolio to show evidence of efforts and effectiveness in teaching. As a formative evaluation, candidates will be evaluated on their activities to enhance and improve teaching effectiveness.

## 4. Tenure

Candidates for tenure who are not requesting a promotion in rank must show evidence of effective teaching at CSUSM that meets standards appropriate for their rank as specified in Sections III D1 and 2.

## IV. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR SCHOLARSHIP

A. For retention, tenure, and promotion, College faculty members are expected to engage in scholarship and creative activity. The emphasis is on quality and sustained scholarship over the entire period of the review.

1. It is essential to the University's Mission that each faculty member demonstrate continued commitment, dedication, and growth as a scholar. Faculty seeking promotion are expected to provide evidence of a continual record of quality scholarship. In all cases, scholarship results in dissemination of that knowledge or understanding beyond the classroom.
2. Scholarship must be in the field of Business/Management or a related discipline and may be basic, applied, integrative, and/or pedagogical.
3. Measurement of scholarly achievement should always include evaluation by professional persons in a position to assess the quality of the contribution to the candidate's discipline. Evidence of professional evaluation includes, but is not limited to, acceptance of scholarly work by an academic peer reviewed publication or acceptance of scholarly work by an editorial board of a practitioner-oriented publication. In evaluating scholarship, factors such as single authorship, relative contribution to multiple authored pieces, and contribution of the work to the faculty member's field will be considered.
B. Scholarship and evidence of scholarly activities include, but are not limited to:
4. Category A:

- papers published or accepted for publication in peer reviewed or editorialboard reviewed journals recognized as reputable and of good quality (quality as defined in 3 above).
- books or manuscripts recognized as seminal works (typically does not include survey textbooks)
- published book chapters of original material and original monographs

2. Category B:

- papers published in refereed proceedings
- refereed paper presentations at professional meetings including abstracts published in proceedings
- invited papers presented at professional meetings
- published computer software
- published case studies
- other research publications not qualified in category A.

3. Category C

- working papers
- submitted papers
- grant or contract research
- technical reports
- special recognition and awards for research
C. Standards: The following standards are intended to be consistent with AACSB standards: "Schools with a mix of undergraduate and graduate programs, but without doctoral programs, may have a balance among basic scholarship, applied scholarship, and instructional development."

1. Promotion from Assistant Professor to Associate Professor: The following paragraphs (a and b) describe the research standards for a faculty member to be promoted from Assistant to Associate:
a. Three items from Category A
b. Three additional items from Categories A and/or B
2. Promotion from Associate Professor to Professor: Candidates for a promotion from Associate to Full professor must meet the standards defined above for promotion from Assistant to Associate. Only publications since the last promotion shall be considered for this promotion. (Also see Section II. F.)
3. Retention: Candidates for retention may include documentation from Category C (in addition to A and B) to show effectiveness in performance and demonstrate progress toward meeting the tenure requirements in the area of scholarship.
4. Tenure: Candidates for tenure who are not requesting a promotion in rank must meet the scholarship standards for their current rank as specified in Sections IV C 1 and 2, and have demonstrated a continual record of quality scholarship.

## V. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR SERVICE ACTIVITIES

A. For retention, tenure and promotion, College faculty members are expected to demonstrate a record of effective service contributions, both internally and externally. Service activities will be evaluated based on the quality of the service and its relevance to the College and University Missions. Each faculty member is expected to participate in service activities; however, the appropriate mix and magnitude of service will vary with the faculty member's rank. Assistant professors are expected to participate primarily in internal service activities whereas Associate and Full professors are expected to participate in both internal and external activities and in leadership roles. Attendance at meetings is expected but attendance alone is not sufficient to demonstrate significant contribution.
B. Service activities may include, but are not limited to the following:

1. Internal Service Activities
a. College level activities

- membership and offices held on committees or task forces
- governing groups
- administrative activities
- special assignments/initiatives
- student advising/mentoring
- faculty mentoring
b. University level activities
- membership and offices held on committees or task forces
- governing groups
- administrative activities
- special assignments/initiatives

2. External Service Activities
a. Service in/to the profession and professional organizations

- membership and offices held
- committees, task forces and advisory boards
- organizing conferences, workshops, and seminars
- serving as referee, editor or advisor
- special assignments
b. Service in/to community organizations
- membership and offices held
- committees, task forces and advisory boards
- organizing events and programs
- special assignments
c. Gratis professional consulting


## C. Standards

1. Promotion from Assistant Professor to Associate Professor: Candidates for promotion from Assistant to Associate Professor must demonstrate evidence of significant internal service contributions. While not required, external service contributions will be considered in the evaluation.
2. Promotion from Associate Professor to Professor: Candidates for promotion from Associate Professor to Professor must provide evidence of leadership in one or more service activities in addition to demonstrating active participation in both internal and external service activities.
3. Retention: Candidates for retention must demonstrate evidence of significant internal service. While not required, external service contribution will be considered in the evaluation.
4. Tenure: Candidates for tenure who are not requesting a promotion in rank must meet the service standards for their current rank as specified in Sections V C1 and 2.
5. Service standards for early decisions: Early decisions require evidence of significant quality service contributions both internally and externally to the University.

MASTER OF ARTS IN HISTORY<br>Department of History<br>California State University San Marcos<br>Telephone: (760) 750-4152<br>FAX: (760) 750-3430<br>Website: http://www.csusm.edu/history/<br>Department Chair<br>Patricia Seleski, Professor of History<br>\section*{Faculty}<br>Peter Arnade, Professor of History<br>Jeffrey Charles, Associate Professor of History<br>Darel Tai Engen, Assistant Professor of History<br>Anne Lombard, Assistant Professor of History<br>Reuben Mekenye, Associate Professor of History<br>Carmen Nava, Associate Professor of History<br>Jasamin Rostam-Kolayi, Assistant Professor of History<br>E.A. Schwartz, Associate Professor of History<br>Patricia Seleski, Professor of History<br>Alyssa Goldstein Sepinwall, Associate Professor of History<br>Jill Watts, Professor of History<br>Zhiwei Xiao, Associate Professor of History<br>\section*{Overview}

The mission of the Master of Arts in History is to build students’ expertise in the field of history with an additional focus on the critical study of the history of media and/or the applied use of media in presenting history to the public. Historians have always used various forms of media to communicate information about the past and they have always taken advantage of advances in media technology to teach the public about its history. Currently, new media technology is revolutionizing how history is taught and how the stories of the past are conveyed to the public. The CSUSM program will offer students opportunities to explore media as they have changed over time, beginning with the earliest oral and visual forms of historical communication through the rise of modern electronic media and computer technology. Students pursuing the Master of Arts in History will be required to take courses that develop their skills in critically analyzing media as they relate to history and acquire skills in new media and/or technology to convey historical information to the public.

This program is designed to provide students with a practical degree that prepares them for a variety of post-graduate careers including: community college and post-credential teaching (enhanced by training in history and the new media classroom); public history (including museumship, historical societies, and electronic archives); media and journalism; doctoral education; urban planning; local, state, and city governmental jobs; public service; and a number of other possibilities both in the public and private sectors. The degree is designed to offer students the opportunity to practice their skills in the workplace. Some students may elect to pursue the option of an internship in a public or private agency where their training in historical content and media/technology can be practically applied. Additionally, the program, in an effort to serve students who are interested in educational careers in history at the college level, offers a curriculum that will allow them to explore pedagogical issues associated with history teaching and to gain actual experience teaching history in college classrooms.

During their course of study, students will be required to master historiography, the philosophy of history, specific historical topics, and acquire technical skills. All Master of Arts Degree candidates will take one course in the critical study of the history of media and one course in applied media and history in which they will produce a media-based project. This will consist of an applied media project from History 502, a seminar paper representative of one's best work, and either a thesis or media project which may include, but is not limited to, web-based presentations of historical research or digital video presentations of historical topics.

The Department of History at California State University, San Marcos is ideally situated to offer an advanced degree in History. Located in Southern California with access to the Los Angeles and San Diego areas, the Department of History can draw on the region's resources in the film and television industries as well as the well-established computer and software industries connected with multi-media production. The faculty of the Department of History offer a wide coverage of world areas and periods including Africa, Asia, Europe, Latin America, the Middle East, the United States, and Comparative/World History. Additionally, the department houses faculty who have expertise in critical historical media studies and in applying new media technology to preserving history and delivering historical content. Building on these strengths, the Department of History at California State University, San Marcos is able to offer this unique degree that blends the benefits of the traditional history Master's program with an applied dimension in new technologies that are revolutionizing the communication of information and the public's understanding of the past.

## Admission Requirements

All applicants to the M.A. in History program must meet both the admission requirements of the Department of History and the University requirements for graduate study. Applicants to the Master Program in History must have completed a Bachelor's degree from an accredited university in history or in an allied field with at least four upper-division courses in history. Furthermore, applicants must have completed History 301 (Historical Methods and Writing) or the equivalent before beginning graduate work. Applicants must have either met the CSUSM requirements for Computer Competency or the equivalent at another institution. Additional requirements for admission to the program are as follows:

- Overall minimum grade point average of 3.0 with a minimum grade point average of 3.0 in the undergraduate major.
- The General Test for the Graduate Record Examination (GRE). While the minimum acceptable score may vary year by year, it is unlikely that an applicant will be admitted with a score of less than 500 on the verbal section of the exam.
- TOEFL/TWE if appropriate with a minimum TOEFL score of 550 and of 4.5 on the TWE.
- Two sets of official transcripts from all colleges and universities attended with official verification of graduation.
- At minimum, three letters of recommendation that assess academic accomplishments and potential for graduate study.
- A 750-word statement of research intent describing historical fields of study including mention of interest and/or experience in media and technology, relevant educational background, and postgraduate career/educational objectives.
- One formal academic writing sample, as recent as possible. Preferably, this should be a sample from previous college or university coursework and should not be written simply for the purpose of admission.


## Application Materials

Application materials to be sent directly to the Office of Admissions

- Completed university application form.
- Application fee.
- One set of official transcripts that include all work done at all colleges and universities.

Application materials to be sent directly to the Department of History (see address below)

- Completed departmental application form.
- One set of official transcripts that includes work done at all colleges and universities.
- Statement of Interest.
- GRE test scores (and TOEFL/TWE where appropriate.)
- Writing Sample.
- Three Letters of recommendation

Address for Departmental materials: Graduate Coordinator, Department of History, 333 South Twin Oaks Valley Road, California State University, San Marcos, San Marcos, California, 92096

Deadline for submission: Applications for Fall admissions are due no later than March 15. Applications for Spring admission are due no later than November 15. However, applications may be accepted for review for as long as space is available in the program. It is possible that class scheduling and available spaces will significantly limit spring admissions.

## Degree Requirements

Students must complete 30 units of graduate study, 24 of which must be at the graduate level. Generally, none of the 30 units of course work applied to the Master of Arts degree in History may have been applied toward a previous academic degree, however, in some cases up to 6 graduate history units not earned at CSUSM may be applied by petition. (Only coursework done as a post-baccalaureate student will be considered for application toward the graduate degree.) All students are required to complete the core sequence of graduate courses (History 601, History 501, History 502, History 620). Additionally, all students are required to complete two semesters of thesis research and presentation (History 620: Directed Thesis Research, Writing, and Media Presentation). Students who do not complete their thesis project in the first two semesters may register for additional semesters of History 620 but only two semesters ( 6 units) may count toward conferral of the degree. The remainder of the units will consist of 500 or 600 level courses in history but the department will allow up to two 400 level courses ( 6 units) to count towards the degree. Additionally, students may apply no more than 6 units of History 510 (Experiential Learning in Public History) or 6 units of History 699 (Advanced Independent Study in Historical Issues) toward the degree, although they may register for additional semesters of these courses.

## Course of Study

Students enrolled full-time in the program will proceed with graduate coursework in the following manner:

## Year One:

## First Semester

History 601: The Philosophy and Practice of History (3 Units)
History 501: Historical Perspectives on Media (3 Units)
History 500 or 600 level seminar or approved elective

## Second Semester

History 502: History and Applied Media Technology (3 Units)
History 512: Teaching History: Theory and Practice or approved elective
History 500 or 600 level seminar or approved elective

Year Two:

## First Semester

History 510: Experiential Learning in Public History or approved elective
History 500 or 600 level seminar or approved elective
History 620: Directed Thesis Research, Writing and Media Presentation

## Second Semester

History 500 or 600 level seminar or approved elective
History 500 or 600 level seminar or approved elective
History 620: Directed Thesis Research, Writing and Media Presentation

## Continuation

For a student to continue in the program they must meet the following requirements:

- Students must maintain a 3.0 GPA (A=4.0). If a student's GPA falls below 3.0 , s/he will be placed on academic probation the following semester. If the GPA remains below 3.0 for two semesters in a row, then the student will be dropped from the program.
- Students must be continuously enrolled unless they apply for a leave of absence. Students who are not continuously enrolled or who have a leave of absence longer than two semesters are dropped from the program and must reapply.
- All the requirements for the degree are to be finished within five years after matriculation into the graduate program. Authorized leaves of absence do not extend the time limit for completion of the degree.


## Advancement to Candidacy

All students must select a main thesis advisor from the tenure-track history faculty based on areas of interest and planned thesis or media project. Students should consult regularly with their advisor regarding course selection and research proposal. Students will also compose a committee of two additional faculty members (at least one must be another member of the history faculty). A student must request to be advanced to candidacy after completing 18 units. To be advanced to candidacy, the student must do the following:

- Form a thesis or media presentation committee and submit to the committee approval form with copies to committee members and the history graduate coordinator.
- Submit a thesis or media presentation proposal ( 750 words excluding working bibliography) to committee members that states the topic, a working thesis, the nature of the project, and establishes that the project can be successfully completed.
- Receive approval on the proposal from all committee members.


## Thesis or Media Project Requirement

Students may opt either to complete the degree with a thesis or a media project. A thesis is comprised of a primary source-based research paper that makes an original and substantial contribution to historical scholarship. The thesis will also demonstrate an appropriate grasp of the secondary materials related to the topic under investigation.

Alternatively, students may submit a media project that applies new media techniques to the delivery of a primary source-based research project. In this instance, students will prepare a New Media presentation (i.e. a substantial website project, a video or film project, a digitized database, or an archival project) that
will be accompanied by a written analysis that discusses the media project and demonstrates how the project both exhibits the appropriate grasp of related secondary materials as well as makes an original, primary source-based contribution.
I. Total Number of Units:

Master of Arts in History (30 Units)
Core requirements: (15 Units)
History 501: Historical Perspectives on Media (3 Units)
History 502: History and Applied Media Technology (3 Units)
History 601: The Philosophy and Practice of History (3 Units)
History 620: Directed Thesis Research, Writing, and Media Presentation (6 Units)
In some rare circumstances, the department may allow by petition substitutions for History 501 and/or 502.

## Electives (15 Units)

Students must take 15 units of history graduate electives.

- Course work may include no more that two 400 level courses that must be approved by either the Graduate Coordinator or Thesis Advisor (6 units)
- Students may include no more than 6 units of the following: History 510: Experiential Learning in Public History (3 units) and/or History 699 a,b,c: Advanced Independent Study in Historical Issues (1-3 units)
- Students may include up to three units of $400,500,600$ level courses taken from another department if approved by petition. It is possible, in some specific cases, that students may be allowed to petition to take limited additional graduate credits outside of the Department of History.


## II. Course Descriptions:

## History 501: Historical Perspectives on Media (3 Units)

This course explores the history of media communication and popular culture as well as the relationship between the change in media over time and the messages that they convey. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor.

## History 502: History and Applied Media Technology (3 Units)

This course is designed to introduce students to various techniques in applying media technology to present historical research and interpretation. Course may include, but is not limited to, online instructional techniques, web-based archival preservation or museum presentations, multimedia presentations of historical findings, and video presentations of historical topics. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor.

## History 510: Experiential Learning in Public History (3 Units)

An introduction to the field of public history, combining graduate level training in the theory and methods of public history with a minimum of 30 hours of an internship in a field placement. This course will consider issues in archival techniques, museum exhibition, oral history, historical preservation, and local history. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken for credit twice.

History 512: Teaching History: Theory and Practice (3 Units)
An introduction to the issues and techniques involved in the effective teaching of history at all levels. The course will cover the historical context of history teaching; major themes in world and U.S. history; and methods that teachers can use to involve students in actively learning about the past. Special emphasis will be placed on the use of technology in the classroom. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult the instructor.

History 513: History Teaching Practicum (1-3 Units)
Practical applications of teaching history in the college or university classroom for graduate teaching assistants. Consent of Instructor required for enrollment.

History 518: Advanced Seminar in Ancient History (3 units)
Exploration of primary and secondary sources and advanced research on a topic in Ancient History. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

## History 528: Advanced Seminar in European History (3 units)

Exploration of primary and secondary sources and advanced research in the historical literature of a topic in European History. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

## History 538: Advanced Seminar in United States History (3 units)

Exploration of primary and secondary sources and advanced research on a topic in United States History. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

## History 558: Advanced Seminar in Latin American History (3 units)

Exploration of primary and secondary sources and advanced research on a topic in Latin American History. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

## History 568: Advanced Seminar in Asian History (3 units)

Exploration of primary and secondary sources and advanced research on a topic in Asian History. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

History 578: Advanced Seminar in African History (3 Units)
An examination of the dominant historiographical themes and issues and advanced research on a topic in African history. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

History 588: Advanced Seminar in Middle Eastern History (3 Units)
Exploration of primary and secondary sources and advanced research on a topic in Middle Eastern History. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

## History 591: Advanced Seminar in World History (3 Units)

Exploration of primary and secondary sources and advanced research on a topic in World History. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

## History 592: Advanced Seminar in International History (3 Units)

Exploration of primary and secondary sources and advanced research on a topic in International History. Advanced undergraduates interested in taking this course should consult with instructor. May be taken up to four times for credit as topics change. Same topic may not be repeated for credit.

## History 601: The Philosophy and Practice of History (3 Units)

Course explores the nature of historical inquiry, historiography, particularly an overview of the different genres of history, and methods of research used in advanced historical writing. Students will be introduced to core philosophical debates about the historical method and texts that exemplify different types of historical writing as well as library and archival skills required for advanced historical research.

## History 620: Directed Thesis Research, Writing, and Media Presentation (3 units)

Faculty supervision of the research and writing of the thesis project and/or development of the media presentation of research findings. May be taken up to four times for credit. (Credit/no credit)

History 621 a, b, c Thesis Research, Writing, and Media Presentation Continuation ( 1-3 Units)
Continuation of faculty supervision of the research and writing of the thesis project and/or development of the media presentation of research findings. Only six units of credit may be counted toward the degree. (Credit/no credit)

History 699A, B, C: Independent Study in Advanced Historical Issues (1-3 units)
Intensive Independent study of advanced historical issues based on secondary and/or primary sources.
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PROGRAM PROPOSAL - Form P


## TITLE OF DEGREE PROGRAM:_ Master of Arts in History

Enter a brief summary of thw purpmos of this proposal,
The purpose of this proposal is to seck approval for a Master of Arts in History to begin in Fall 2006. The Department of History has designed a program which will address a variety of student needs and demands. Both surveys of potential students and employers have demonstrated strong interest in our planned degrec. Additionally, our degree is planned to require an applicd component and cncourage students to develop skills in new media technology to research, preserve, and deliver historical content to the public. This would be the first program of its nature west of the Mississippi and the third in the nation to offer an advanced history degrec with a technological component.

Dores this propossal impact ohter disciplimess? $\qquad$ Y $\qquad$
This proposal may slightly impact the departments of Communication and Visual and Performing Arts in that our students may want to take electives in thosc fields. However, our program is focused on History and only brings in new media technology as a tool to preserve and convey history. We will draw on department strengths in offering this applied component and the new hire that is slated in the blueprint for this program.
If'yes, oblam signature(s). Aay objectionss should be stated in writing and attached to this form.

3.

# California State University San Marcos Resolution to endorse the SDSU Senate Resolution Concerning the Recent CSU Board of Trustees Decision to Augment Compensation for Top Administrators 

WHEREAS, The CSU Board of Trustees has recently authorized compensation increases for the top administrators within the CSU out of proportion to the raises given to the faculty and staff of the CSU; and

WHEREAS, The announcement of these large administrative compensation increases coincided with the announcement of a substantial raise in student fees; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Academic Senate of Cal State San Marcos endorses the attached SDSU Senate resolution concerning the recent CSU Board of Trustees Decision to Augment Compensation for Top Administrators.

## San Diego State University Senate

## Resolution Concerning the Recent CSU Board of Trustees Decision to Augment Compensation for Top Administrators

Whereas, the CSU Board of Trustees has authorized compensation increases for the top administrators within the CSU including (a) a $13.5 \%$ raise in salary for each of the next five consecutive years (which amounts to a $49.5 \%$ increase, with projected inflation included), (b) a $\$ 60,000$ per year housing allotment, and (c) a monthly automobile allowance of $\$ 1,000$ for the presidents, the executive vice chancellor and chief financial officer, the vice chancellor of human resources and the general counsel;

Whereas the CSU Board of Trustees has authorized a 3.5\% general salary increase for faculty for this year;

Whereas all parties agree that faculty salaries in the CSU lag 13.1\% behind those at comparable institutions;

Whereas the well-being of the CSU depends upon the health of its faculty, much more so than upon a generously compensated top administration;

Whereas, to add injury to our students while further impeding the faculty, the CSU Board of Trustees plans to increase student fees $90 \%$ in order to close the salary gap for faculty in the CSU, a student fee increase beyond the $90 \%$ fee increases already sustained by our students over the past three-and-a-half years and one designed to pit the economic interests of our students against ours;

RESOLVED that the SDSU University Senate strongly urge the CSU Board of Trustees to offer average compensation increases to administrators that are equal in dollar amounts (and not in percentages) to no more than the average compensation increases offered to faculty members (again, in dollar amounts);

RESOLVED that the SDSU Senate strongly urge the CSU Board of Trustees to close any administrative salary gaps only after having closed the longstanding and unmitigated salary gaps for faculty members;

RESOLVED that the SDSU University Senate admonishes the CSU Board of Trustees that its proposed "plan" of closing the CPEC gap by raising student fees by an additional $90 \%$ is unacceptable, and that a moratorium on student fee/tax increases should be instituted in AY 2006/07; and

RESOLVED that the SDSU University Senate send copies of this resolution to Governor Schwarzenegger, members of the California Legislature, Assembly Speaker Nunez, Chancellor Reed, CSU Board of Trustees, CFA President John Travis, Presidents of all CFA Chapters, Chair of the CSU Academic Senate, and Chairs of all CSU Campus Academic Senates.

5 December 2005
Dear Executive Committee and Academic Senators,
In March 2005, the Library and Academic technology Advisory Committee (LATAC) was formally charged by the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate to recommend a policy concerning intellectual property rights for faculty specific to the CSUSM campus. The committee did so and proposed Intellectual Property Policy for Faculty (LATAC 279-04) to the Academic Senate last spring. The Academic Senate then passed the policy. The policy then went to the administration for comment. LATAC reviewed those comments and suggestions and has revised the policy and now proposes a revised policy, Intellectual Property Policy For Faculty On Copyright And Fair Use (LATAC 279-05).

One concern the administration expressed was whether an Intellectual Property Rights Policy should also include a section on patent rights. In LATAC 279-04, patent rights were only addressed by way of definition. The administration said LATAC should clarify whether 279-04 should cover copyright and/or patent policy. We have clarified our policy to focus only on copyright policy and fair use, as this is what falls within our oversight and advisory capacity. We also eliminated references to patent policy this does not fall within our oversight and advisory capacity.

However, we would like to suggest that a special task force be charged with this task and that said taskforce be comprised of faculty representatives from disciplines that would be impacted by the policy such as biotechnology, business, math and computer science and possibly others. Further having crafted this revised policy and its predecessor; we would like to suggest to future taskforce members a couple of documents that might be helpful. We found the CSU's Academic Senate proposed policy on intellectual property rights (passed by Senate) as well as CSU San Bernardino's proposed IP policy and those of CSU Chico to be quite helpful to us in drafting our policy and there are sections of or related policies that also deal with patent rights. Please contact LATAC Co-chair Pamela Stricker for links to these documents.

Respectfully,
LATAC Committee Members

# INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY POLICY FOR FACULTY ON COPYRIGHT AND FAIR USE ${ }^{1}$ 

LATAC<br>December 5, 2005

## Historical Background

In March 2005, the Library and Academic Technology Advisory Committee (LATAC) was charged by the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate to recommend a policy concerning intellectual property rights for faculty that is specific to the CSUSM campus. In Spring 2005 the Academic Senate passed an earlier version of this policy. The President, Provost, and other administrators of CSUSM then commented on this document and raised some concerns. This document is the LATAC's response and revisions to the Spring 2005 policy.

## I Purpose

The purpose of this document is to state the CSUSM (hereafter referred to as the University) policy regarding ownership of intellectual property on copyright and fair use as it pertains to the University and its faculty. The policy applies only to copyright and fair use of intellectual property created as part of a faculty member's normal bargaining unit work or that employs substantial University resources (i.e., more than an office telephone or office computer for e-mail). Intellectual property created outside a faculty member's normal bargaining unit work that does not employ substantial university resources does not fall under the purview of this policy.

This policy acknowledges that issues of intellectual property on copyright and fair use are complex and that individual circumstances may affect establishment of ownership. Three factors have been identified by the Consortium for Educational Technology for University Systems (C.E.T.U.S.) as important for determining ownership: creation, control, and compensation. This policy provides a framework for assigning ownership in situations where intellectual property rights on copyright and fair use would not reside solely with the creator. The Academic Senate of the CSU has created a comprehensive policy statement on Intellectual Property Policy. ${ }^{2}$ This policy is intended to be consistent with said policy and existing copyright law and collective bargaining provisions. This policy shall be re-examined periodically and revised by the University as necessary.

## II Definitions

[^4]
## a) Creator

The creator(s) is the author(s) who puts the intellectual property material into a fixed tangible medium of expression. The creator may also have originated the intellectual property material.

## b) Intellectual Property

Intellectual property refers to materials that can be copyrighted, patented, or trademarked. These materials include scholarly and literary works, creative and artistic works, software, data and databases; multimedia works, electronic media and communications, and as otherwise defined by federal law. Certain discoveries and inventions, including trade secrets and know-how, may not be patentable but may have material commercial value or potential as revenue producers. These accomplishments are subject to the same policy as any patentable invention.

## c) Copyright

Copyright is a form of statutory protection granted to the creator of certain types of works fixed in a tangible medium of expression as an incentive for that creator and/or author to disseminate the work to the public. Copyright is applicable to computer software, artwork, music, articles, books, and other literary works. Copyright protects the expression of the idea but not the idea itself. Registration of a copyrightable work creates additional protection and is sometimes advisable. Registration is accomplished by completing the necessary forms and filing them with the U.S. Copyright Office in Washington, D.C.

## d) Fair Use

Fair use today is embodied in Section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Act, and it exempts limited
uses of materials from infringement liabilities when that material is used for purposes of teaching, research, and scholarship. The scope of the fair use right, depends on the four statutory factors: 1) the purpose and character of the use, including whether such use is of a commercial nature or is for nonprofit educational purposes; 2 ) the nature of the copyrighted work; 3) the amount and substantiality of the portion used in relation to the copyrighted work as a whole; and 4) the effect of the use upon the potential market for or value of the copyrighted work. ${ }^{3}$

[^5]
## III General Policy on Intellectual Property Rights on Copyright and Fair Use concerning Faculty

In accordance with collective bargaining agreements (faculty CBA article 39), our first principle is that work produced by a faculty member at CSUSM in the course of normal faculty bargaining unit work belongs to the faculty member unless the creation of the work required extraordinary support from the University or an external organization. Bargaining unit work includes, but is not limited to, scholarly papers, works of art, syllabi, and course contents and material either in hard copy or electronic form (such as WebCT). Exceptions to this principle include: (1) where the creator has been given a specific assignment and University support to develop work beyond normal work expectations, and (2) where the University and/or external organization has provided to the creator extraordinary support or compensation. In these cases, copyright and fair use rights may be solely owned by the University or an external organization or jointly owned by the University and/or an external organization and the faculty member as specified by agreement. Definitions and guidelines for these circumstances are described below.

## IV Specific Assignments and University Support

"Specific assignment" refers to work produced by a faculty member recruited by the University to produce that particular work and for which resources and/or compensation are provided under an express agreement. In these cases, the copyright may be owned by the University or jointly owned by the University and the faculty member. In cases of specific assignments, ownership of intellectual property rights on copyright and fair use shall be determined before the work begins. A standard Intellectual Property Rights on Copyright and Fair Use agreement form, which entitles the creator to exercise certain rights without permission, (see section VI for more and Appendix A for the form). This agreement will be completed and filed with the Provost before work begins.

## V Extraordinary Support or Compensation from the University

The words "extraordinary support or compensation" refer to support provided for the creative efforts that represent resources beyond those available to members of the University community in the course of performing their normal work. The following are usually not considered products of extraordinary support or compensation: research, scholarship, and creative activities or works resulting from mini grants (such as departmental or Faculty Center research or travel grants) and/or sabbatical and difference in pay leaves.

Since "ordinary" and "extraordinary" support are general terms whose parameters are subject to change over time, it is recommended that campus support organizations, particularly the library and IITS, provide periodic reports with basic descriptions of what would typically constitute ordinary and extraordinary levels of support from their units for faculty to carry out their teaching and research.

All affected parties should consider the matter of copyright ownership before work begins or before extraordinary support is provided. They should also disclose potential products of the work before the negotiation of a contract and to complete and file an Intellectual Property Rights Agreement form in order to avoid disputes over ownership at a later date.

## VI University Ownership and Joint Ownership of Copyright

The copyright to administrative works created by faculty - ranging from committee minutes to curriculum studies - appropriately is owned by the University for its use in the advancement of its mission. Nevertheless, in the spirit of the "unbundling" of copyrights rights espoused here, the university may own the copyright, but the professor who created it should have appropriate rights to use the copyrighted expressions in other contexts, particularly future projects of a similar nature, or to incorporate the material into scholarly studies, instruction, portfolios, or workshops, for example.

In cases where the University is the sole or joint owner of works created at CSUSM, the individual designated by the University to negotiate intellectual property rights on copyright and fair use with creators will discuss with the creator(s) of the work the possibility of licensing certain rights to the creator, including, but not limited to, a determination of the distribution of royalties and other compensation. As suggested by C.E.T.U.S., examples may include:
-the right to make reproductions of the work to use in teaching, scholarship, and research;
-the right to make derivative works, such as translations, videotaped versions, film scripts, etc.

## VII Extraordinary Support from an External Organization

In the case of work produced by faculty with extraordinary support from an external organization, the organization, the faculty member(s), and the University should consider the matter of copyright ownership before work begins or before extraordinary support is provided. They should also disclose potential products of the work before the negotiation of a contract and to complete and file an Intellectual Property Rights Agreement on Copyright and Fair Use form in order to avoid disputes over ownership at a later date.

## VIII Group Work

In the case of work created jointly by a group of faculty, or faculty with students, all parties should discuss the matter of copyright ownership before work begins or before extraordinary support is provided. They should also disclose potential products of the work before the negotiation of a contract and to complete and file an Intellectual Property Rights Agreement on Copyright and Fair Use form in order to avoid disputes over
ownership at a later date.

## IMPLEMENTATION

## IX Procedures

The University will disclose this policy via a posting on the University Policies Web page and paper copies will be distributed to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs for further dissemination to the CSUSM constituents.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: When beginning to fill out this form, contact Academic Programs for general guidance and for samples of recent program proposals.
    ${ }^{2}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: Objectives should be expressed as overarching student learning outcomes that the program is intended to produce: what the graduates should know and be able to do. Make reference to the more detailed presentation provided in the table required in section 6a. Use 1d to address how the program is aligned with the University Mission, Vision and Values.
    ${ }^{3}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: This section may not be applicable to some proposals.
    ${ }^{4}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: Undergraduate majors should take into consideration any Lower Division Transfer Patterns (LDTPs) that have been adopted by the CSU system for this major: http://www.calstate.edu/AcadAff/agreements.shtml. See Enrollment Management Services for assistance in completing this section.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See Enrollment Management Services to obtain numbers of students with declared majors, options/concentrations/emphases/tracks/etc., and minors. See Academic Programs to obtain enrollment histories in specific courses.
    ${ }^{6}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See the Career Center for assistance in completing this section.
    ${ }^{7}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See Enrollment Management Services for these data.
    ${ }^{8}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See Academic Programs for assistance in estimating the number of majors and graduates.
    ${ }^{9}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See the Library for this report.
    ${ }^{10}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See Instructional and Information Technology Services (IITS) for a report addressing information technology and academic computing resources available to support the program. Programs currently possessing additional equipment and specialized material not addressed in the IITS report should include

[^2]:    ${ }^{11}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: CSUSM proposals replace Table I with equivalent tables constructed from College Academic Master Plans and the Academic Blueprint. See Academic Programs for these tables.
    ${ }^{12}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: Include additional faculty lines needed to support the course offerings indicated in 6c and 6d. Indicate whether any external funds are expected to support faculty lines.
    ${ }^{13}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See Planning, Design and Construction for assistance in answering questions about space that is under construction or being planned. Indicate whether any external funds are expected to support construction of facilities.
    ${ }^{14}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: This should follow directly from the Library report in 3c.
    ${ }^{15}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: Information technology and academic computing needs should follow directly from the IITS report in 3d. Additional specialized equipment and materials that will be needed should be addressed here.
    ${ }^{16}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: Use the format found in the most recent General Catalog.
    ${ }^{17}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: The template for Table II may be downloaded from (fill in URL). See
    Academic Programs for sample tables from other program proposals.
    ${ }^{18}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See Academic Strategic Planning and Assessment for assistance in developing an assessment plan.
    ${ }^{19}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See First Year Programs for assistance in developing detailed graduation road maps for the first two years of study.

[^3]:    ${ }^{20}$ Instructions to Program Proposer: See the Office of the Provost for assistance in estimating costs associated with additional faculty positions.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ This document is based on a proposed intellectual property policy of California State University, San Bernardino, and the proposed CSU Academic Senate's intellectual property policy.
    ${ }^{2}$ Intellectual Property, Fair Use, and the Unbundling of Ownership Rights, The Academic Senate of the CSU, Resolution AS-2605-03/AA/FA - March 6-7, 2003, accessed online at http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/Resolutions/2002-2003/2605.shtml

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ Several sources of information exist such as: the campus fair use/copyright website (http://www.csusm.edu/copyright), the training material mandatory under Fair Use Policy (Policy \#44557968, May 19, 2000), and educational materials at the campus copyright web site (http://www.csusm.edu/copyright/testing).

