

MINUTES

Executive Committee of the Academic Senate
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS
Wednesday, January 25, 2006
KEL 5207
12 – 2 p.m.

Members Present	Linda Holt, Chair Mohammad Oskoorouchi, APC Marshall Whittlesey, GEC Sharon Elise, UCC	Gilbert Valadez, Vice Chair Kathleen Watson, BLP David Walker, LATAAC	Rosario Díaz-Greenberg, Secty. Marie Thomas, FAC Andre Kundgen, SAC
Ex Officio Present	Judy Papenhausen, HHS; Janet Powell, CFA; Robert Sheath, Provost		
Not Present	Glen Brodowsky, NEAC; Karen Haynes, President; Dick Montanari, ASCSU; Gabriela Sonntag, PAC		
Staff	Marcia Woolf, Academic Senate Coordinator		

I. Approval of Agenda

Motion #1 M/S/P*
To approve the agenda as presented.

II. Approval of Minutes of 1/18/06

A change was made to the draft minutes.

Motion #2 M/S/P*
To approve the minutes as amended.

III. Chair's Report, Linda Holt

A. Announcements: Regarding the Chair Workload Survey, the officers plan to compile the results into a report which will contain the frequency and duration of regular meetings, expected time for meeting preparation, other committees and time commitments, any other information provided. The report will not be person-specific and will be based on the two years of information collected. EC will review this information, discuss an ideal solution, and make a request to the provost.

B. Referrals to Committees:

NEAC Disability Access Committee – 1 at large
Senators – 3 CoAS
University Global Affairs Committee – 1 at large

IV. Vice Chair's Report, Gilbert Valadez: No report.

V. Secretary's Report, Rosario Diaz-Greenberg: No report.

VI. President's Report, Karen Haynes: No report.

VII. Provost's Report, Robert Sheath: As of this morning, spring actuals are 5,814. Our target was 5,569. Our internal working target was 5,600. We are now at 102.03% for the calendar year, which puts us just beyond the 2% overage mark. If we exceed 102%, we will receive supplemental funding. The HHS dean search committee is reviewing applications and will be making a recommendation for a short (six or so) telephone interview list following their February 2 meeting. The AA budget subcommittee will be meeting shortly to decide how to screen the budget

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved S = Seconded D = Defeated P = Passed L = Lost W = Withdrawn

applications from each of the AA units. Units are invited to submit one to three items per unit, focusing on three areas of emphasis: SFR, academic support staff, and academic blueprint.

VIII. Statewide Senate Report: Brodowsky and Montanari are attending ASCSU meetings this week.

IX. CFA Report, Janet Powell: On February 1, faculty will be bussed to the Board of Trustees meeting to show support for a fair contract. There will be an informal hearing for the assigned time grievance on Friday, February 3, at 1:30 p.m. in ARTS 240. A question was raised about the status of the Senate's Assigned Time Task Force; NEAC will be asked to provide an update.

X. Brief Committee Updates

APC: The committee is working on the "early declaration of major" issue. In discussing a 60-unit cut-off point, the committee is finding the need to differentiate between our own students and transfer students. Feedback is being sought from department chairs, Jonathan Poullard, Susan Mitchell, and Darren Bush. The committee is also considering a current policy which precludes students from adding/dropping classes on the first day of classes; this policy seems to be causing some problems.

FAC: The committee meets Wednesday afternoons. They will discuss the CoE RTP policy today. They expect to complete work on a long-agendized SSP-AR evaluation policy before the end of the year. They expect the research and misconduct policy to come to them for review shortly. They will begin reviewing UPD grants in February.

LATAC: The committee has received comments from the university's counsel concerning the Intellectual Property policy, and will meet next week to discuss.

PAC: No report.

UCC: The committee has been reviewing Integrated Curriculum Program, and will soon begin review of a new Masters in Communicative Speech Disorders, as well as a couple of minors. The committee is very concerned about the amount of curriculum that is up for review, and perceives a need for a second curriculum committee; committee resources must be matched to the number of programs coming on, per the Blueprint.

XI. Old Business

A. Draft Resolution Concerning the Trustees' Recent Executive Compensation Action A draft resolution was distributed. The EC discussed changes which would relay concern about the timing and equity of the action. A number of modifications were made to the draft.

Motion #3 M/S/P*

To forward the amended resolution to the Senate's February agenda for a first reading.

XII. New Business

A. FAC College RTP Policies – Approval Process FAC and the Senate unintentionally passed language last year that specifies that FAC and the provost will review and approve departmental *and college* (unintended) RTP policies, and then provide these to the Senate as information items. FAC is seeking guidance on next steps. A lengthy discussion ensued concerning (1) the desirability of keeping this language, (2) the appropriateness/benefit of vetting such policies in the Senate, (3) whether to maintain a university-wide PTC or move to a college-based model, (4) the need to ensure equity among the colleges, (5) the need to include Senate review as long as we use a university-wide PTC, (6) the burden this language places on FAC, by eliminating Senate review, and (7) FAC's option to invite Senate feedback despite the policy language. It was agreed that our growth and diversity of fields indicate it is time to consider moving to a college rather than university-wide committee model. In the meantime, it will be important to clarify the PTC's role in light of the new college RTP documents. The EC referred these issues to FAC: (1) Is the current RTP wording what we want? and (2) Do we need to move from a university-wide to a college PTC? FAC will seek input from the PTC and provost.

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved S = Seconded D = Defeated P = Passed L = Lost W = Withdrawn

B. FAC Emeritus Policy The majority of the committee believes emeritus status is an honor and not a right, thus requiring a nomination procedure. It is also proposed that lecturer faculty with ten years service be eligible. A wording change was suggested to ensure that the evaluating body is a recognized committee within the academic unit, to avoid challenges.

Motion #3 M/S/P*

To forward the policy revision to the Senate's February agenda for a first reading.

C. Postponement of Senate Items EC members reviewed a suggestion by Parliamentarian Don Barrett to list postponed Senate items within the Secretary's report, to facilitate documentation of the item's progress in the Senate. The EC agreed to Barrett's suggestion, and also agreed that the committee will be responsible for making a recommendation to the EC whether to bring the item back to the Senate as a first or a second reading item.

Holt noted that parking is again an issue, and will be discussed next week.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:55 p.m.
Marcia Woolf, Academic Senate Coordinator

APPROVED: _____
Rosario Diaz-Greenberg, Secretary 05/06

DATE: _____

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*