## **Marcia Woolf**

From: Eun Kang

Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2006 3:59 PM

To: Marcia Woolf

Subject: RE: Senate: Parking Issue

## Hi Marcy,

Thank you for your e-mail. Rather than formally provide a resolution, I'd like to request that the parking lot H be converted to Faculty/Staff only parking lot because we don't have enough parking spaces and students are wasting their times by coming to lot H and not finding any space since the lot is ususally crowded. Thanks. Eun

Eun Kang, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of Finance Chair, Accounting/Finance Department College of Business Administration California State University San Marcos San Marcos, CA 92096-0001

Tel: 760) 750-4223 Fax: 760) 750-4250

e-mail: ekang@csusm.edu

From: Marcia Woolf

Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2006 11:08 AM

To: Eun Kang

**Subject:** Senate: Parking Issue

Hi Eun,

On behalf of the officers, I'm seeking more specific information about your request to add "Convert Parking Lot H to Faculty & Staff Parking" to yesterday's Senate agenda. It would be helpful for the EC to know more about the issue, since they may have time to begin to address it between now and the next Senate meeting. For your information, the EC is currently discussing the faculty parking situation in general.

It would be most helpful if you would provide a resolution for the EC's consideration (a sample is attached). If you would provide this to me prior to 11 a.m. Monday, February 6, we will try to agendize it for next week's EC meeting.

Thank you, Eun.

Marcia

Marcia Woolf
Academic Senate Coordinator
CSU San Marcos
San Marcos CA USA 92096-0001
760-750-4058
fax 760-750-3041
http://www.csusm.edu/academic senate/

THE PART WITH COURT WAS A COURT OF

<< File: Resolution Admin Raises.doc >>

# CSU San Marcos Policy on the Evaluation of Temporary Counselor Faculty (SSP-ARs)

## I. General Elements

- A. The purpose of this policy is to provide periodic and performance evaluations; these are taken into consideration in subsequent hiring decisions. This policy must be distributed to all temporary faculty unit employees within 14 days of the start of work (Collective Bargaining Agreement [CBA] 12.2), along with the relevant evaluation calendar and the RTP policy for SSP-ARs (if appropriate) by the unit Director.
- B. In this policy, if the employee works for more than one unit, or if the employee serves as an interim Director of a unit, the appropriate Associate Vice President for Student Affairs will serve in the roles described as those of the 'unit Director'.
- C. The appropriate Associate Vice President for Student Affairs is responsible for ensuring that the relevant evaluation process is in place for each temporary SSP-AR. Before a contract is issued for subsequent reappointment, the appropriate Associate Vice President for Student Affairs shall consult the unit Director(s) who worked directly with the employee, as well as the employee's Personnel Action File (PAF).
- D. For SSP-AR employees, the Custodian of the Files (PAFs) is the office of the Vice President of Student Affairs.
- E. Evaluations may be mailed to the employee for review and signature(s). A meeting to discuss the evaluation may be requested by the employee or the appropriate administrator, but is not required.

# II. Terms of appointments

- A. The California State University (CSU) Unit 3 collective bargaining agreement distinguishes among various temporary faculty unit employee appointments. There are two different evaluation review periods possible for temporary SSP-ARs
- 1. **An appointment of one semester or less:** Evaluations of temporary SSP-ARs appointed for one semester or less are optional and shall be at the discretion of the unit Director. (It is highly recommended that the unit Director conduct an evaluation for future employment considerations.) The employee may, however, request that an evaluation be performed (CBA 15.23).
- 2. **An appointment of more than one semester:** Periodic and performance evaluation for both full- and part-time temporary SSP-AR employees appointed for more than one semester is mandatory and must be done in accordance with the University's specific evaluation procedures for tenure track faculty (see CSUSM Retention, Tenure and Promotion policy; CBA 15.21, 15.22) and may include the opportunity for peer input. Temporary SSP-ARs shall be formally evaluated following the second semester of employment, regardless of a break in service, and then annually.

EC 2/8/06 Page 1 of 6

B. Temporary SSP-AR faculty possessing six or more years of prior consecutive service at CSUSM shall be offered a three-year temporary appointment (CBA 12.12). For purposes of determining eligibility for this appointment, one year of service will be considered employment of at least four continuous months during a single calendar year. In other words, to qualify for a three-year appointment, the employee must have been employed within Student Affairs for at least four consecutive months within each of the prior six calendar years.

#### III. Procedures

A. At the time of appointment, the unit Director's office will provide temporary SSP-ARs with a copy of this policy, along with the relevant evaluation calendar and the retention, tenure and promotion policy for Student Affairs Counselor Faculty. The Temporary SSP-AR employee should be advised of any additional program or department standards for WPAF contents within 14 days of this appointment.

# B. Temporary SSP-ARs with an appointment of one semester or less

- 1. If an evaluation is to be done, each unit Director that works directly with the employee will complete an evaluation of the employee (using Form A), addressing each of the major areas of responsibility from the employee's job description.
- 2. Peer input may be requested by the employee and/or by the unit Director(s) who work directly with the employee. In such cases, appropriate selected peers will be asked by the unit Director(s) to submit their evaluations of the employee's performance in any of the areas of responsibility with which they are familiar (using Form B).
- 3. The unit Director(s) will submit two copies of the evaluation (including peer input where applicable) to the employee. The employee will sign both copies and keep one. The other will be sent by the unit Director(s) to the Student Affairs office for placement in the employee's personnel file.

## C. Temporary SSP-ARs with an appointment of more than one semester

- 1. The employee shall submit a Working Personnel Action File (WPAF; CBA 15.8, 15.12) to the unit Director. The WPAF shall be submitted no later than six weeks prior to the last day of classes of the second semester of the appointment. If circumstances require an extension, the Senior Director/Dean shall be notified and will define the revised schedule.
- 2. Peer input may be requested by the employee and/or by the unit Director(s) who work directly with the employee. In such cases, appropriate selected peers will be asked by the unit Director(s) to submit their evaluations of the employee's performance in any of the areas of responsibility with which they are familiar (using Form B).

#### 3. The WPAF shall include:

- a. A copy of the employee's job description(s).
- b. A narrative (no more than five pages) describing work accomplished in each of the major areas of responsibility during the term of the evaluation.

EC 2/8/06 Page 2 of 6

98	c. Evidence of fulfillment of job requirements and work accomplished.			
99				
100	d. A copy of each previous evaluation as a CSUSM faculty unit employee			
101	(including any employee responses/rebuttals).			
102				
103	4. The WPAF will be evaluated by a Peer Review Committee (PRC, elected			
104	according to the guidelines specified in the Student Affairs RTP policy for SSP-ARs). The PRC			
105	evaluation (Form C) will be submitted, signed by all members, to the unit Director's office. The unit			
106	Director will give a copy of the PRC evaluation to the employee. The PRC will have two weeks to			
107	complete its evaluation.			
108				
109	5. Within seven calendar days of receiving the PRC evaluation, the employee may			
110	request a meeting with the PRC to discuss the evaluation and/or may submit a written response to the			
111	evaluation for inclusion in the WPAF. The unit Director's office will provide copies of the written			
112	response to each member of the PRC.			
113				
114	6. Within two weeks after receiving the PRC evaluation and the employee's			
115	response (if any), the unit Director will meet with the employee to discuss the evaluation. The unit			
116	Director will provide an evaluation memo (including Form A) for the WPAF.			
117				
118	7. Within seven calendar days of receiving the unit Director's evaluation, the			
119	employee may submit a written response to that evaluation for inclusion in the WPAF.			
120				
121	8. Copies of all evaluations and responses will be filed in the employee's PAF.			
122				
123	9. The WPAF will be returned to the employee once the evaluation process is			
124	complete.			
125				
126	IV. Forms to be used for evaluation of temporary SSP-ARs			
127	- ·			
128	Form A: Unit Director Evaluation			
120	Forms D. Door Insputts the Evaluation			

128 129 Form B: Peer Inputto the Evaluation

Form C: PRC Evaluation 130

> Page 3 of 6 EC 2/8/06

		Form A Unit Director Evaluation	
Name:			Evaluation Period:
Professional Perfo	rmance		
Clinical Service Pr	<u>ovision</u>		
Clinical Supervision	<u>on</u>		
Education & Outre	e <u>ach</u>		
Professional Devel	opment		
Service to the Unit	, Division, University	& Community	
Recommendations	for Continued Develo	<u>pment</u>	
Rater's Name/ Posit	ion Signature	Date	
I have been provide subsequent appoints		the evaluation. Evaluations are	e taken into consideration for
SSP-AR		Signature	Date
Faculty members ho	ave seven days to respo	nd following receipt of the eval	uation, if they wish to do so.
AVP		Signature	Date

EC 2/8/06 Page 4 of 6

230

	Form B Peer Input to the Evaluation	on (Ontional)
	Teel Input to the Evaluation	on (Optional)
Name:		<b>Evaluation Period:</b>
D	_	
Professional Performanc	<u>e</u>	
Clinical Service Provision	n	
	_	
<b>Clinical Supervision</b>		
<b>Education &amp; Outreach</b>		
Education & Outreach		
Professional Developmen	ı <u>t</u>	
	_	
Service to the Unit, Divis	ion, University & Community	
Recommendations for Co	ontinued Development	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
D		
Peer Evaluator	Signature	Date

EC 2/8/06 Page 5 of 6

	Form C PRC Evaluation (Requir	(ha·
	1 NC Evaluation (Nequil	cu)
Name:		<b>Evaluation Period:</b>
<b>Professional Performance</b>		
Clinical Service Provision		
Clinical Supervision		
<u> </u>		
Education & Outreach		
<b>Professional Development</b>		
Service to the Unit, Division	, University & Community	
Recommendations for Conti	nued Development	
PRC Member	Signature	Date
PRC Member	Signature	Date
PRC Member	Signature	Date
I have been provided a copy a		
	nd have read the evaluation.	
	nd have read the evaluation.	
SSP-AR	nd have read the evaluation.  Signature	
	Signature	
	Signature	

EC 2/8/06 Page 6 of 6

## **Marcia Woolf**

From: Patty Seleski

Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2006 12:01 PM

To: Marcia Woolf

Subject: RE: Senate: University Hour

I had wanted to move that the Senate request that the university begin collection of information regarding the implementation of university hour now so that when the ultimate evaluation is conducted that we have ongoing information about impacts sufficient to allow us to weigh costs (negative impacts) and benefits (positive impacts). At the last Dean/Dept. Chair meeting in COAS chairs were talking about the difficulty of scheduling classes and faculty (we should collect data about this to see if these problems go away over the two years before final assessment as people get better at scheduling or if they are persistent) and it would be interesting/important to keep track of how Tracey Richardson's job of scheduling classrooms is affected, as well as students' ability to find the courses they need when the schedule is finally released.

While I'm betting that this is all pretty chaotic the first time around, my intent here is not to sink university hour (even though I am opposed to it). I'm trying to see both that the university does a thorough evaluation at the end and that we keep track of impacts to see which persist and which go away.

Matt Ceppi talked to me after the meeting and he, apparently, has already been asked to start thinking about monitoring impacts. I think a brief statement from the senate to the president that we are in favor of ongoing data collection around university hour that starts now would be positively received.

# Patty Seleski

Professor and Department Chair Department of History California State University San Marcos 333 S. Twin Oaks Valley Road San Marcos, CA 92096-0001

Phone and Voicemail: 760-750-4097

Fax: 760-750-3430

Email: pseleski@csusm.edu

From: Marcia Woolf

Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2006 11:03 AM

To: Patty Seleski

Subject: Senate: University Hour

Hi Patty,

On behalf of the officers, I'm seeking more specific information about your request to add "Assessment of University Hour" to yesterday's Senate agenda. It would be helpful for the EC to know what the issue is, since they may have time to begin to address it between now and the next Senate meeting. It would be most helpful if you would provide a resolution for the EC's consideration. If you would provide this to me prior to 11 a.m. Monday, February 6, we will try to agendize it for next week's EC meeting.

Thank you, Patty.

Marcia

## **CSUSM Academic Senate Meeting Schedule 2006/07**

#### **Academic Senate**

(Regular meetings begin at 1 p.m. and run until approximately 2:50 p.m.)

#### Fall 2006

August 23	Convocation (a.m.) & New Senator Orientation (2:15 - 3:30 p.m.)
September 6	Senate Meeting
October 4	Senate Meeting
November 1	Senate Meeting
December 6	Senate Meeting

## Spring 2007

January 18 Spring Assembly (9 – 10:30 a.m.) (no January meeting)

February 7 Senate Meeting
March 7 Senate Meeting
April 4 Senate Meeting
April 18 Senate Meeting
May 2 Joint Senate Meeting

## **Executive Committee**

(Regular meetings are held from 12 - 2 p.m., or until 12:50 p.m. when preceding a Senate meeting.)

## Fall 2006

```
August 22 Retreat (11:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.)
August 30
September 6, 13, 20, 27
October 4, 11, 18, 25
November 1, 8, 15, 29
December 6
```

## Spring 2007

```
January 24, 31
February 7, 14, 21, 28
March 7, 14, 21 (Spring Break is March 26 – 31)
April 4, 11, 18, 25
May 2
```

Unless otherwise noted, the Academic Senate Meetings are held in Commons 206. All CSUSM faculty are encouraged to join us. *Only elected Senators may vote*.

Because the Senate is not a governing board, meetings of the Academic Senate are not subject to the Brown Act. The decision to allow press/public into an Academic Senate meeting may be made by the Senate.