

MINUTES

Executive Committee of the Academic Senate – Special Session
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS
Wednesday, September 9, 2009
12 – 12:50 p.m. ~ Kellogg 5207

Members Present	Janet McDaniel, Int. Chair/SAC	Rika Yoshii, Int. Vice Chair/APC	Mohammad Oskoorouchi, Secty.
	Chet Kumar, APC	Kathleen Watson, BLP	Eun Kang, FAC
	Yvonne Meulemans, GEC	Allison Carr, LATAAC	G. Brodowsky, NEAC/ASCSU
	Kathy Norman, PAC	Jule Gómez de García, UCC	Dick Montanari, ASCSU
Ex Officio Present	Don Barrett, CFA; Emily Cutrer, Provost		
Not Represented	Nursing		
Staff	Marcia Woolf, Academic Senate Coordinator		

I. Approval of Agenda

DELETE: X. A. Wait Listing Feature in People Soft (*and renumber remaining items*)

ADD: X. E. Post Promotion Increase Appeals

F. All Faculty Meeting Follow Up

Motion #1 M/S/P*

To approve the agenda as amended.

II. Approval of Minutes of September 2, 2009

Motion #2 M/S/P*

To approve the minutes as presented.

III. Int. Chair's Report, Janet McDaniel:

Referrals: NEAC Senate Officers – Eligibility
NEAC Standing Committee Chairs – Eligibility
SAC CoE Student Appeals & Grievance Policy

IV. Vice Chair's Report, Rika Yoshii: No report.

V. Secretary's Report, Mohammad Oskoorouchi: As printed on the agenda.

VI. Provost's Report, Emily Cutrer: The provost expressed her appreciation for the all-faculty meeting and the opportunity to hear such important and heartfelt comments from the faculty. There will be an Academic Affairs Town Hall meeting tomorrow on the division budget, though much will depend upon the report from the ad hoc committee, due in early October. Enrollment will be a major topic of discussion this year as we strive to manage a mandated 6% decrease for 2010/11; enrollment management tools may include graduation of so-called "super seniors." As a result of this discussion, the following referral was made:

APC Development of a policy concerning graduation of so-called "super seniors"

VII. ASCSU Report, Brodowsky/Montanari: ASCSU will meet in plenary session this week.

VIII. CFA Report, Don Barrett: CFA is working to increase their capacity to respond to media issues, and working with the administration concerning the PPI appeals matter.

IX. Brief Oral Committee Reports

APC: No report.

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved

S = Seconded

D = Defeated

P = Passed

L = Lost

W = Withdrawn

FAC: A written report was provided. There was a discussion concerning an increase in early applications for tenure as well as the furlough/probationary period issue as it relates to the RTP process. In response to a question from FAC about what kinds of budget issues are to be considered in relation to the RTP process, Yoshii suggested FAC look at the loss of travel funds.

LATAC: No report.

PAC: No report.

UCC: The committee reviewed their docket and discussed how to proceed in view of the 90% workload reduction.

NEAC: A sample ballot has been published for the upcoming special officers election.

X. New Business

A. APC Catalog Rights These proposed revisions will address two situations not previously dealt with: significant changes to a major/minor's requirements, and students switching to a new concentration/option/track. PeopleSoft will facilitate tracking of catalog years in these and other cases. Comments to Yoshii or Kumar.

B. EC Members for President's Awards Committees Volunteers were identified to serve on the four awards committees.

C. Standing Committee Chair Meetings with AALC Chairs signed up for meeting times with AALC.

D. ASI Board Meeting Attendance EC members signed up to attend this year's ASI board meetings.

E. Post-Promotion Increase Appeals The appeals committee is to be made up of faculty who were not eligible for PPIs. All of such faculty on this campus served on the original PPI recommending committees, and there is a question about whether they could also serve on an appeals committee. Alternative solutions (using PPI recipients who did not appeal, associate professors with tenure, or professors from other campuses) were deemed inappropriate. Barrett will investigate whether the same faculty may serve on both types of committee, since the decisions being appealed are those of the provost and not the original committees.

F. All Faculty Meeting Follow Up EC members discussed action to be taken following last week's all faculty meeting. It was agreed that a resolution addressing the main points raised would be drafted by Brodowsky and Montanari for review at next week's EC meeting, and subsequently submitted to the full Senate for electronic approval.

XI. EC Members' Concerns & Announcements An EC member expressed concern that one unit's decision to go "paperless" merely results in added printing for other units which receive the electronic documents. Rather than just transferring costs, we should be thinking of ways to be truly paperless. Brodowsky reported that Advancement was restructuring the logo survey to include a third option: "No, this is not a good idea at this time." It was suggested that EC invite presentations at EC and Senate from the other divisions; this will be discussed next week.

Meeting adjourned at 2 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Marcia Woolf, Senate Coordinator

Approved by the Executive Committee _____
Mohammad Oskoorouchi, Secretary Date

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*