#### **MINUTES**

# Executive Committee of the Academic Senate CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS

Wednesday, November 14, 2007 KEL 5207 12 – 2 p.m.

Members Present Patty Seleski, Chair Janet McDaniel, Vice Chair Glen Brodowsky, Secty/ASCSU

Rika Yoshii, APC Kathleen Watson, BLP Betsy Read, FAC

Marshall Whittlesey, GEC Joan Hanor, LATAC Radhika Ramamurthi, NEAC

Gabriela Sonntag, PAC Martha S-Holmes, SAC Olaf Hansen, UCC

Ex Officio Present Judy Papenhausen, Nursing; Janet Powell, CFA

Not Present Emily Cutrer, Provost

Staff Marcia Woolf, Academic Senate Coordinator

## I. Approval of Agenda

ADD: VII. Committee Reports: GEC

**Motion #1** M/S/P\*

To approve the agenda as amended.

II. Approval of Minutes of 11/7/07

**Motion #2** M/S/P (1 abstention) To approve the minutes as presented.

### III. Chair's Report, Patty Seleski

- **A. Announcements:** There will be no EC meeting next week. EC members have been invited to a holiday reception at the president's residence. The provost is out of town today. Seleski will bring information about Extended Learning and ROTC to the 11/28/07 EC meeting. Montanari noted that the commission on the Extended University will meet November 16<sup>th</sup>.
  - **B. Referrals to Committees:** None.
- **IV. Vice Chair's Report, Janet McDaniel:** McDaniel plans to attend a meeting of each of the standing committees this year. She will e-mail the chairs to arrange this.
- **V. ASCSU Report:** Montanari reported on the recent interim meeting of the committee on Fiscal & Governmental Affairs. Patrick Lenz, AVC Budget, provided a copy of a budget presentation which was to be presented to the Board of Trustees this week (a link is provided on the Senate's homepage). For the first time, funding for ACR 73 has been moved to the top of the list of items "below the line" (items described as "above the line" are virtually guaranteed funding under the Compact; those "below the line" may or may not be funded, but those higher on this list have historically been more likely to receive funding than those appearing lower on the list). The authors of AB 1413, a bill which was vigorously opposed by the CSU and recently vetoed by the governor, have indicated they plan to re-introduce the bill. Resolutions passed at the plenary session resolved that the CSU: (1) fully implement ACR 73; (2) request an additional 1% in the Compact as compensation for faculty and staff; (3) fully fund enrollment; (4) work towards textbook affordability. Another resolution opposed a community colleges move to identify discretionary funds within the Compact as guaranteed funds for that system. A number of first reading items were discussed including a resolution regarding the proposed MBA fee differential, which has strong support and opposition within the ASCSU.

VI. CFA Report, Janet Powell: There was an interesting editorial in last weekend's San Diego Union Tribune regarding the recent CSU audit report. CFA is moving from an "opt out" to an "opt in" fee structure.

# VII. Committee Reports

APC: Not present.

**FAC:** The committee is working on the new grants call and restructuring the way grants are handled to incorporate funding for new pedagogical methods. Ad hoc committees are reviewing student evaluations and grant applications. The committee is exploring the monetary limits of grants relative to the funding sources to determine whether the grant opportunities can be structured differently.

**LATAC:** The committee is considering developing a workshop on alternative methods of instruction which may be adopted in times of emergency. There will be a video conference with the Library of Congress tomorrow. The committee is also exploring the idea of adding a seat for an adjunct faculty member. There will be \$30 million allocated by the system annually in the base budget for technology; a systemwide committee has been formed to develop criteria for allocation. The IMAP statement was submitted to the Chancellor's Office after the November Senate meeting. Brodowsky offered to ask about IMAP at ASCSU.

McDaniel asked whether there was grant or other (internal) support on campus specifically identified for lab equipment and soft- or hardware for instruction, and who owns and supports these. It was suggested that a "treasure map" be developed which identifies the various sources of funds available and appropriate uses for these, possibly by the Research office. It was also suggested that IITS be invited to attend the grant workshop planned for November 28. There followed a brief discussion about forming a special grant reading committee.

**PAC:** The committee has completed the Psychology review and is reviewing Economics and Education. There will be two external reviewers on campus November 26-27 for the Visual & Performing Arts program. Annual assessment reports have been received and reviewed for all but one program and were categorized as: (1) recommended for funding, (2) might be recommended for funding after additional information received and reviewed, and (3) not recommended for funding; Barsky is discussing the recommendations with the deans and it is expected that the provost will make an announcement next week. The committee is writing a proposal for an Assessment Coordinator, a faculty fellow position similar to the GE Assessment Coordinator. The committee is also working on the timeline for the PEP guidelines which they expect to bring to the Senate in early spring.

**UCC:** No report.

**GEC:** Whittlesey distributed copies of "GE Active Learning – Draft SLOs for GE Program, 10-17-07" and "GE Written Communication – Draft SLOs for GE Program, 11/7/07," which were drafted by GE Assessment Coordinator Sharon Hamill and GEC. Similar documents have been drafted for other areas of GE. The question is what type of approval is necessary for these documents. EC members commented: (1) that such documents need wide distribution, understanding and buy-in; (2) whether courses will be subject to two types of SLOs – one for GE and one for the related program; (3) how the documents will ultimately be used; and (4) whether other CSU campuses have models to be considered.

#### VIII. Old Business

None.

# IX. New Business

**A. FAC Sabbatical Leave Policy** Read noted that the proposed language addition is intended to bring the policy in line with campus practice. EC members suggested the wording be changed to specify that final approval of a sabbatical leave is contingent upon the award of tenure.

\*All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.

Legend: M = Moved S = Seconded D = Defeated P = Passed L = Lost W = Withdrawn

- **B. BLP/AALC Strategic Planning Documents** Watson noted that BLP and AALC met and made a number of changes to the working document which are not reflected in that version attached to today's agenda and currently posted on the web. Jennifer Jeffries will be presenting the document at tomorrow's town hall meeting in Cutrer's stead. EC members expressed concern about the vagueness of the language and how the goals will be used, and expressed their thanks to Watson and Papenhausen for their work on this document. Seleski encouraged EC members to attend the town hall meeting, or make comments on the website: http://www.csusm.edu/spa/aamissionvisionvalues2007.htm.
- **C. MBA Fee Differential Brodowsky** Seleski suggested this issue be postponed until the latest version of the ASCSU resolution is available.
- **D.** Access to Excellence Seleski asked whether EC members wished to draft a resolution in response to the draft report: http://www.calstate.edu/acadaff/System\_Strategic\_Planning/draft-one.shtml. It was suggested that the Senate should go on record with a response. The EC will discuss this matter at its next meeting and consider drafting a resolution for the Senate.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:50 p.m.	
Marcia Woolf, Academic Senate Coordinator	
Approved:	Date:
Glen Brodowsky, Secretary 07/0	8