1	Resolution on Lottery Grant Funding Allocation at Cal State San Marcos
2	
3 4	WHEREAS, the Constal Cuidelines for Expanditures of California State Lattery Funds
4 5	WHEREAS, the General Guidelines for Expenditures of California State Lottery Funds, set forth by Government Code Section 8880.5, Attachment C, specify a set of General
6	Guidelines for Expenditures of Lottery Fund campus allocations ⁱ ; and
7	Guidelines for Experiancies of Educity I and earlipus anocations, and
8	WHEREAS, those Guidelines emphasize that Lottery funds "are allocated to achieve
9	maximum impact in enhancing instruction"; and
10	in a second s
11	WHEREAS, the Budget and Long-Range Planning Standing Committee of the Academic
12	Senate Resolution of 1997 stated that Lottery Funds would be allocated to 7 campus cost
13	centers according to "rough guidelines established by BLP in AY 1996-97," and that "the
14	Academic Senate Student Affairs Standing Committeeserve as the final level of
15	committee review for the University"; and
16	
17	WHEREAS, the rough guidelines for allocating Lottery funds to a mix of instructional
18	and co-curricular units (cost centers) have evolved over time into a "head count" method
19 20	to establish numbers of staff in each unit directly associated with student instruction, and
20	WHEREAS, this method has been imprecise and cumbersomelabor-intensive; and,
$\frac{21}{22}$	where the source of the source
23	WHEREAS, revision to the allocation process violates neither a policy nor a procedure,
24	and is in the purview of the Student Affairs Committee; and
25	1
26	WHEREAS, the Student Affairs Committee has developed a revised method of allocating
27	Lottery funds that eliminates the challenge of using the same problematic method to
28	measure contributions to instruction from two inherently different types of campus unit,
29	as well as refining the allocation process at Cal State San Marcos to better meet the
30	principles of the General Guidelines for Expenditures of Lottery Funds; now, therefore,
31	be it
32	DESOLVED that the Student Affairs Committee implements on allocation method based
33 34	RESOLVED, that the Student Affairs Committee implements an allocation method based on FTES for instructional units and historically derived percentages for co-curricular
34 35	units, ⁱⁱ and further, be it
36	units, and further, be it
37	RESOLVED, that <u>SAC has the flexibility to reallocate funds to high-quality proposals</u>
38	from any unit should there be additional funds available (for example, if there are units
39	who submit no proposals in a funding cycle), and further, be it
40	
41	RESOLVED, that the proposed method will be implemented for 3 academic years (2008-
42	09, 2009-10, and 2010-11) and then reviewed by the Student Affairs Committee for its
43	equity and functionality, and further, be it
44	DEGOLVED that we other the many to the Deal of the D. D. D. D. D. C. Street
45 46	RESOLVED, that no other changes to the Budget and Long-Range Planning Committee
46	Resolution of 1997 are implied by these changes to the allocation process.

ⁱ The California State Lottery Act of 1984 states:

... all funds allocated from the California State Lottery Education Fund shall be used exclusively for the education of pupils and students and no funds shall be spent for acquisition of real property, construction of facilities, financing of research or any other non-instructional purpose.

- 1. The activity funded must represent a bonafide educational experience for students, or result in the development of materials to be used with students, or lead to the development of a program or course.
- 2. Lottery funds may not be used to pay faculty salaries for classroom instruction.
- 3. Lottery funds may not be used to finance increases in the rate of compensation paid to existing staff; thus, stipends and honoraria are not permissible.
- 4. Lottery funds may not be used to finance faculty overloads. However, existing faculty may work a summer period under a personal services contract.
- 5. Expenditure of any funds, including lottery funds, which are used for faculty development activities, must be in accordance with the collective bargaining agreement, which includes a specific list of development activities (see below).
- 6. Lottery funds should be used to augment and supplement state-funded budget programs so that lottery revenue is not used to replace current or prospective state funding.
- 7. Lottery fund may be used to pay for part-time instructors when such instructors are hired as replacement faculty for faculty members working for a CSU lottery designated program.

ⁱⁱ The new allocation formula will be based on historical figures (what each unit has received in the past), using a three-year average. The allocation will be split into two pots, one for instructional units with FTES (students) and one for co-curricular units with no FTES.

Instructional Units (CoAS, CoBA, CoE, Nursing) will share 56% of the total, in percentages based on FTES numbers as of Fall census.

Co-curricular Units (Library, IITS, Student Affairs) will share 34% of the total, in percentages based on a 3-year average of their most recent Lottery allocations. While these percentages were derived from staff head count, they are the best numbers SAC has to work with at present. SAC envisions a future refinement of the process, reflecting the dynamic history of the University and the allocation method itself; our 3-year evaluation period is based on that premise.

University-wide grants will be allocated 10% of the total.

1		CSU San Marcos Degree Program Proposal Template ¹				
2 3 4	1. Program Type (Please specify any from the list below that apply—delete the others)					
5			State-Support			
6			Self-Support			
7			Online Program			
8			Fast Track			
9			Pilot			
10			Pilot Conversion			
11		\checkmark	Conversion of Self-Support to State-Support Program			
12			Elevation of Option or Concentration to a Full Degree Program			
13			New Program			
14 15			Proposal Revision (updating a previously reviewed proposal)			
16 17	2.	Pr	ogram Identification			
18 19		a.	Campus			
20 21 22		b.	Full and exact degree designation and title (e.g. Master of Science in Genetic Counseling, Bachelor of Arts with a Major in History).			
21 22 23 24 25 26 27		c.	Date the Board of Trustees approved adding this program projection to the campus Academic $\ensuremath{\text{Plan.}^2}$			
23 26 27		d.	Term and academic year of intended implementation (e.g. Fall 2007).			
28 29 30		e.	Name of the department(s), division, or other unit of the campus that would offer the proposed degree major program. Please identify the unit that will have primary responsibility.			
31 32 33		f.	Name, title, and rank of the individual(s) primarily responsible for drafting the proposed degree major program.			
34 35 36 37		g.	Statement from the appropriate campus administrative authority that the addition of this program supports the campus mission and will not impede the successful operation and growth of existing academic programs. (CPEC "Appropriateness to Institutional and Segmental Mission") ³			
38 39		h.	Any other campus approval documents that may apply (e.g. curriculum committee approvals). ⁴			
40 41		i.	Please specify whether this proposed program is subject to WASC Substantive Change review. ⁵			
42 43		j.	Optional: Proposed Classification of Instructional Programs <u>(CIP) Code</u> and CSU Degree <u>Program Code</u> ⁶			

¹ When beginning to fill out this form, contact Academic Programs for general guidance and for samples of recent program proposals. It is recommended that program proposers start to fill out the template with the catalog description and the student learning outcomes in Section 3.b and 4.a.

² The "campus Academic Plan" is called the University Academic Master Plan (UAMP) at CSU San Marcos. Contact Academic Programs for this date.

³ Proposers do not need to supply this item. As the proposal goes through the approval process, memos from campus administrators are obtained. These will be collected and added to the proposal by the Curriculum and Scheduling Office as a response for this item.

⁴ Proposers do not need to supply this item. As the proposal goes through the approval process, memos from curriculum committees are obtained. These will be collected and added to the proposal by the Curriculum and Scheduling Office as a response for this item.

⁵ Generally this refers to a degree offered at a new level (e.g., a doctorate). To be certain that a WASC Substantive Change review is not necessary, contact the Associate Vice President for Planning and Accreditation.

44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	2		Campuses are invited to suggest one CSU degree program code and one corresponding CIP code. If an appropriate CSU code does not appear on the systemwide list at: <u>http://www.calstate.edu/app/documents/HEGIS-CIP2000_102406.xls</u> , you can search CIP 2000 at <u>http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2002/cip2000/</u> to identify the code that best matches the proposed degree program. The Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) is a National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) publication that provides a numerical classification and standard terminology for secondary and postsecondary instructional programs. The CSU degree program code (based on old HEGIS codes) and CIP code will be assigned when the program is approved by the Chancellor.
54	3.	Pro	ogram Overview and Rationale
55 56 57 58 59 60		a.	Rationale, including a brief description of the program, its purpose and strengths, fit with institutional mission, and a justification for offering the program at this time. The rationale may explain the relationship among the program philosophy, design, target population, and any distinctive pedagogical methods. (CPEC "Appropriateness to Institutional and Segmental Mission")
61 62 63 64		b.	Proposed catalog description, including program description, degree requirements, and admission requirements. For master's degrees, please also include catalog copy describing the culminating experience requirement(s). ⁷
65	4.	Cu	ırriculum
66		00	
67 68 69 70 71 72		a.	Goals for the (1) program and (2) <u>student learning outcomes</u> . Program goals are very broad statements about what the program is intended to achieve, including what kinds of graduates will be produced. Student learning outcomes are more specific statements that are related to the program goals but that more narrowly identify what students will know and be able to do upon successful completion of the program. ⁸
73 74 75 76 77		b.	Plans for assessing program goals and student learning outcomes. Some planners find it helpful to develop matrices in which student learning outcomes and required courses are mapped, indicating where content related to the learning outcomes is introduced, reinforced, and practiced at an advanced level in required courses. (CPEC "Maintenance and Improvement of Quality")
78 79		c.	Total number of units required for the major.
80 81 82		d.	Include a justification for any baccalaureate program that requires more than 120-semester units or 180-quarter units.
83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91		e.	If any formal options, concentrations, or special emphases are planned under the proposed major, identify and explain fully. Optional: You may propose a CSU degree program code and CIP code for each concentration that you would like to report separately from the major program, if the option is approximately equivalent to a degree currently listed on the CSU application-booklet degree program table. If you do not find an appropriate CSU degree program code at: http://www.calstate.edu/app/documents/HEGIS-CIP2000_102406.xls , you can search CIP 2000 at http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2002/cip2000/ to help identify the code that best matches the proposed curriculum.

⁶ Contact Academic Programs for assistance in proposing CIP and Program (formerly HEGIS) codes.

⁷ Use the format found in the most recent General Catalog.

⁸ Complete the Student Learning Outcomes Table. The template for this table may be downloaded from (http://www.csusm.edu/academic_programs/Curriculum_Forms/New_Forms/Table_II_for_New_Programs.xls). Provide an initial assessment plan for the program. This plan will be the basis for the annual assessment reports that will begin upon implementation of the program. The data collected via these assessments will be the basis of the first program review (generally scheduled five years after program implementation). Contact Academic Programs for sample tables from other program proposals.

92 93 94 95	f.	A list of all courses <i>required</i> for the major, specifying catalog number, <i>title</i> , units of credit, and prerequisites or co-requisites (ensuring that there are no "hidden" prerequisites that would drive the total units required to graduate beyond the total reported in 4c above).
96 97 98 99 100	g.	List of <i>elective</i> courses that can be used to satisfy requirements for the major, specifying catalog number, title, units of credit, and prerequisites or co-requisites. Include proposed catalog descriptions of all new courses. For graduate program proposals, identify whether each course is a graduate or undergraduate offering.
101 102 103 104		Note: With regard to Sections 4f and 4g, a proposed program should take advantage of courses already offered in other departments when subject matter would have considerable overlapping content.
105 106 107 108 109	h.	List of any new courses that are: (1) needed to initiate the program and (2) needed during the first two years after implementation. Only include proposed catalog descriptions for new courses. For graduate program proposals, identify whether each course is a graduate-level or undergraduate-level offering.
110 111 112	i.	Attach a proposed course-offering plan for the first three years of program implementation, indicating, where possible, likely faculty teaching assignments.
113 114 115 116	j.	For master's degree proposals, include evidence that program requirements conform to the minimum requirements for the culminating experience, as specified in <u>Section 40510</u> of <u>Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations</u> . ⁹
110 117 118	k.	Admission criteria, including prerequisite coursework. ¹⁰
119 120	1.	Criteria for student continuation in the program. ¹¹
121 122 123	m.	For undergraduate programs, planned provisions for articulation of the proposed major with community college programs.
124 125 126 127	n.	If there is a <u>Lower-Division Transfer Pattern</u> (LDTP) for this major, indicate the relationship between the LDTP and the requirements presented in this proposal. Information on LDTP is available at: <u>http://www.calstate.edu/AcadAff/ldtp.shtml</u> ¹²
128 129	0.	Advising "roadmaps" that have been developed for the major. ¹³
130 131 132	p.	Provision for meeting accreditation requirements, if applicable, and anticipated date of accreditation request (including the WASC Substantive Change process).
133		Accreditation Note:
134 135 136		<i>Master's degree program proposals</i> If subject to accreditation, establishment of a master's degree program should be preceded by national professional accreditation of the corresponding bachelor's degree major program.

⁹ Contact Graduate Studies for assistance in making certain that the program conforms to CSU requirements for a master's program.

¹⁰ This item generally applies to graduate programs and self-support programs. For assistance, contact Graduate Studies for the first situation, and Extended Learning for the second. For an undergraduate, state-support program for which admission criteria are desired, contact Academic Programs to discuss this matter.

¹¹ This item generally only applies to programs with admission criteria (item 4k). For undergraduate programs, the criteria should be that the student remain on good academic standing (i.e., not be subject to Academic

Disqualification). For assistance with this item, contact Graduate Studies, Extended Learning or Academic Programs as in item 4k.

¹² Contact Enrollment Management Services for assistance in completing this section.

¹³ Contact the Office of First Year Programs for assistance in developing detailed graduation road maps for the first two years of study.

137 138 139 140 141 142		<i>Fast-track proposals</i> Fast-track proposals cannot be subject to specialized accreditation by an agency that is a member of the Association of Specialized and Professional Accreditors unless the proposed program is already offered as an authorized option or concentration that is accredited by an appropriate specialized accrediting agency.
143 144 145 146	5.	Need for the Proposed Degree Major Program (CPEC "Societal Need," "Number of Existing Programs in the Field," and "Advancement of the Field")
147 148 149		a. List of other California State University campuses currently offering or projecting the proposed degree major program; list of neighboring institutions, public and private, currently offering the proposed degree major program. ¹⁴
150 151		b. Differences between the proposed program and programs listed in Section 5a above.
152 153 154		c. List of other curricula currently offered by the campus that are closely related to the proposed program.
155 156 157		d. Community participation, if any, in the planning process. This may include prospective employers of graduates.
157 158 159		e. Applicable workforce demand projections and other relevant data. ¹⁵
160 161 162		f. If the program was proposed to meet society's need for the advancement of knowledge, please specify the need and explain how the program meets that need. ¹⁵
162		Note: Data Sources for Demonstrating Evidence of Need
164 165 166 167 168		APP Resources Web <u>http://www.calstate.edu/app/resources.shtml</u> <u>US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics</u> <u>California Labor Market Information</u> <u>Labor Forecast</u>
169	6.	Student Demand (CPEC "Student Demand")
170 171 172 173 174 175		a. Compelling evidence of student interest in enrolling in the proposed program. Types of evidence vary and may include national, statewide, and professional employment forecasts and surveys; petitions; lists of related associate degree programs at feeder community colleges; reports from community college transfer centers; and enrollments from feeder baccalaureate programs, for example. ¹⁶
176		b. Issues of access considered when planning this program.
177 178 179		c. For master's degree proposals, the number of declared undergraduate majors and the degree production over the preceding three years for the corresponding baccalaureate program, if there is one. ¹⁷
180		d. Professional uses of the proposed degree program.

 ¹⁴ Contact Academic Programs for a list of other CSU campuses offering related progams.
¹⁵ One source of data, in addition to those provided at the end of section 5, is the San Diego Association of Governments website: <u>http://www.sandag.cog.ca.us</u> ¹⁶ Contact Enrollment Management Services to obtain numbers of students with declared majors,

options/concentrations/emphases/tracks/etc., and minors. Contact Academic Programs to obtain enrollment histories in specific courses. Surveys of potential employers might show the need for the proposed program. Please explain if there are discrepancies between national/statewide/professional manpower surveys and local findings. Contact the Career Center for assistance in completing this section.

¹⁷ Contact Enrollment Management Services for these data.

181 182 183 184		e. The expected number of majors in the year of initiation and three years and five years there The expected number of graduates in the year of initiation, and three years and five years thereafter. ¹⁸				
185 186 187	7.	Existing Support Resources for the Proposed Degree Major Program (CPEC "Total Costs of the Program")				
187 188 189 190 191 192		Note: Sections 7 and 8 should be prepared in consultation with the campus administrators responsible for faculty staffing and instructional facilities allocation and planning. A statement from the responsible administrator(s) should be attached to the proposal assuring that such consultation has taken place.				
192 193 194 195		a. Faculty who would teach in the program, indicating rank, appointment status, highest degree earned, date and field of highest degree, professional experience, and affiliations with other campus programs. For master's degrees, include faculty publications or curriculum vitae.				
196 197 198 199		Note: For all proposed graduate degree programs, a minimum of five full-time faculty members with the appropriate terminal degree should be on the program staff. (Code Memo EP&R 85-20)				
200 201		b. Space and facilities that would be used in support of the proposed program.				
202 203 204		c. A report provided by the campus Library, detailing resources available to support the program (discussion of subject areas, volume counts, periodical holdings, etc. are appropriate). ¹⁹				
204 205 206		d. Existing academic technology, equipment, and other specialized materials currently available. ²⁰				
207 208 209	8.	Additional Support Resources Required (CPEC "Total Costs of the Program")				
210 211 212 213		Note: If additional support resources will be needed to implement and maintain the program, a statement by the responsible administrator(s) should be attached to the proposal assuring that such resources will be provided.				
213 214 215 216		a. Any special characteristics of the additional faculty or staff support positions needed to implement the proposed program. ²¹				
210 217 218 219 220 221 222		b. The amount of additional lecture and/or laboratory space required to initiate and to sustain the program over the next five years. Indicate any additional special facilities that will be required. If the space is under construction, what is the projected occupancy date? If the space is planned, indicate campus-wide priority of the facility, capital outlay program priority, and projected date of occupancy. ²²				
222 223 224 225 226		c. A report written in consultation with the campus librarian, indicating any additional library resources needed. Indicate the commitment of the campus either to purchase or borrow through interlibrary loan these additional resources. ²³				

 ¹⁸ Contact Academic Programs for assistance in estimating the number of majors and graduates.
¹⁹ Contact the Library for this report.

²⁰ Contact Instructional and Information Technology Services (IITS) for a report addressing information technology and academic computing resources available to support the program. Programs currently possessing additional equipment and specialized material not addressed in the IITS report should include these here. ²¹ Include additional faculty lines needed to support the course offerings indicated in 4.i and 4.o. Indicate whether

any external funds are expected to support faculty lines.²² Contact Planning, Design and Construction for assistance in answering questions about space that is under

construction or being planned. Indicate whether any external funds are expected to support construction of facilities. ²³ This should follow directly from the Library report in 7.c.

- 227 228 229
- d. Additional academic technology, equipment, or specialized materials that will be (1) needed to implement the program and (2) needed during the first two years after initiation. Indicate the source of funds and priority to secure these resource needs.²

230 231 9. Additional CSUSM New Program Requirements 232

233 A. Summarize the responses in items **8a-8d** by completing the spreadsheet in Table III. The template for this 234 table may be downloaded from

235 http://www.csusm.edu/academic programs/Curriculum Forms/fill in rest of URL. 236

237 B. List all pre-requisites and/or required preparatory courses for the major, and in what year of a four-year 238 sequence they would be taken. Indicate which courses are outside of the department proposing the major.²⁵

239

Course Title	Units of Credit	Year Taken	Outside major dept?

240

- 241
- C. Referring to the proposed course-offering plan you completed in section 4i, list required and elective²⁶ courses, and the semester and year in the sequence.²⁷ Identify any courses in the major department for which 242 course-based fees might be appropriate (e.g. expendable supplies) 243

course-based	i iees iiig	nt de appropriate (e.g., expendadie supplies).			
Semester	Year	Course Title	# of	Elective or	Course Based Fee? ²⁸
			Units	Required?	Fee? ²⁸
-					
1	1			1	

244

 27 In addition to section 4i, refer to sections 4f and 4g.

²⁴ Information technology and academic computing needs should follow directly from the IITS report in 7.d.

Additional specialized equipment and materials that will be needed should be addressed here. ²⁵ See section 4f

²⁶ If the choice among electives is extensive, list a generic "Elective" under Course Title.

²⁸ Suggested entries in this column are: N/A (Not appropriate), A (Appropriate, but not yet requested), R (Requested fee is pending approval; also include the amount of the fee), or E (existing course fee; also include the amount of the fee)

Resolution Urging the Creation of a Study Group to Explore Army Reserve Officers' Training Corps (ROTC) at CSUSM

WHEREAS, The Office of Extended Learning has, in conjunction with CSUSM faculty, proposed that CSUSM offer for-credit courses that would become part of the Army Reserve Officers' Training Corps (ROTC) curriculum at CSUSM; and

WHEREAS, The University Curriculum Committee has questions about how to treat the courses proposed since, while they are not part of a minor or major program, they are clearly a defined sequence of courses which lead to a professional goal; and

WHEREAS, There exist arguments about the benefits of Army ROTC training and about the opportunities a CSUSM-based Army ROTC program will provide for many CSUSM students; and

WHEREAS, There also exist concerns about whether the presence of Army ROTC on campus would conflict with CSUSM statements on non-discrimination; and

WHEREAS, All of these issues deserve to be explored and widely discussed in a manner befitting an institution of higher learning; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate CSUSM ask the provost to work with them to set up a study group to meet during fall 2008 which will look into all issues surrounding for-credit, CSUSM-based Army ROTC courses; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Executive Committee – using the study group as a vehicle – will seek to engage the CSUSM community in a civil and wide-ranging discussion of this issue; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Executive Committee will re-agendize the issue of for-credit, CSUSM-based Army ROTC courses no later than February 2009.