

ACADEMIC SENATE MEETING

Wednesday, September 1, 2010
1 – 2:50 p.m. (approx.)
Commons 206

[Send an email to
the faculty
Senators'
listserv.](#)

- I. Group Photo
- II. Approval of agenda
- III. Chair's report: [Rika Yoshii](#)
- IV. Secretary's report: [Mohammad Oskoorouchi](#) [Status of Senate items](#)
- V. President's report: Karen Haynes *Unable to attend.*
- VI. Provost's report: Emily Cutrer
- VII. [ASCSU](#) report: [Brodowsky/Montanari](#) *Unable to attend.*
- VIII. [CFA](#) report: [Don Barrett](#)
- X. [ASI](#) report: Brian Buttacavoli
- XI. Committee introductions/reports
- XII. **Consent Calendar** *The following items are presented to the Senate for a single vote of approval without discussion. Any item may be removed for particular consideration by request of a senator prior to vote.*

[NEAC](#) [Recommendations](#)

- XIII. **Old Business** *The following items are presented to the Senate for a second reading. At the second reading, the item is official senate business. Debate for or against the motion is made during the second reading, and amendments to the motion are considered. A final vote is taken on whether to approve or, in the case of administrative policies and procedures, endorse.*

None.

- XIV. **New Business** *The following items have been moved and seconded, and are presented to the Senate for a first reading. The purpose of the first reading is to discuss the item; no amendments are made to items during the first reading. Comments on first reading items may also be made to the presenters via e-mail or other means. Items become senate motions at the time of the second reading (see Old Business). A motion to move a first reading item to second reading status is permitted, but should be undertaken only after any general discussion has concluded.*

None.

XV. Information Item

- A. FARS & APDB reporting - Grommo, Marks, Oberem, Powell *Time certain 2 pm*
- ~~B. Students in the community - Lopez, Powell *Time certain 2:30 pm*~~
- C. 2009/10 Student Grade Appeals Committee annual report

XVI. Senators' Concerns and Announcements

Academic Senate

Secretary's Report

September 1, 2010

Committee	Item	Response
APC	Withdrawal	<i>Approved</i>
APC	Program Discontinuance	
APC	Excess Units Seniors	<i>Ret'd w/comments</i>
APC	International Baccalaureate Program Credit	<i>Approved</i>
APC	AY Calendar for 2010/11 through 2013/14	<i>Approved</i>
APC	College Level Examination Program Credit	<i>Approved</i>
APC	Advanced Placement Credit	<i>Approved</i>
BLP	Changes in Structure of Academic Affairs	
EC	Resolution Honoring the Service of Dr. Patricia Worden	<i>Acknowledged</i>
EC	Resolution in Support of the Work of the Workload Committee	<i>Acknowledged</i>
EC	Resolution Condemning Hate Speech/Crimes	<i>Acknowledged</i>
FAC	Range Elevation for Temporary Unit 3 Faculty Employees	<i>Approved</i>
FAC	Retention, Tenure, & Promotion	<i>Approved</i>
FAC	RTP Calendar for 2010/11	<i>Approved</i>
SAC	Student Grievance	<i>Approved</i>
SAC	Student Absence from Class for Univ. Events & Religious Observ.	<i>Approved</i>

CFA Report to Academic Senate

9/1/2010

Don Barrett, CFA chapter president

Two of CFA's main concerns are the state budget and the current bargaining environment. The governor has committed a return of the CSU to pre-recession financing, plus an increase for additional classes. Unfortunately, the governor's budget proposal also included drastic cuts and even elimination of some social welfare and public health programs. This creates a tradeoff that the CFA does not support. The Democrats in the Senate and Assembly have come up with a less draconian plan, but have not been able to get sufficient votes for the plan. We thus are asking everyone to contact their legislators and to encourage students and others to contact legislators about the budget. An email about this went to all union members over the weekend and will be followed up later this week. Regarding bargaining, negotiations for a new contract are not progressing. We do know that the CSU wants to reopen many of the key parts of the contract including sections on ERP'ing, salary, appointment, evaluation, grievance, layoff, as well as Article 6 which addresses the overall relationship between the union and the CSU. Based on current media reports, we also expect there to be demands to change the pension plans. The general assumption is that the CSU is holding up negotiations until after the November elections and that a generally anti-union win will empower the CSU to attempt to make drastic cuts in the power of the union, the rights of the faculty, and in salary. CFA is thus very actively involved in getting out the vote and strongly encourages faculty to be involved in voter registration, voter education, and get out the vote efforts. Information on those efforts will be distributed through the CFA listserv.

Just this week CFA also released a report on the inappropriate mixing of state and non-state funds by campus auxiliaries. The issue raised by this mixing is the use of state funds to pay for activities or items that should not have been paid for out of state funds. So far the questions that have come up about this have illustrated problems at other campuses. It appears that our local auxiliaries are 'clean' and are keeping both income and expenditure clearly separated by funding source. These sorts of questions, however, provide clear justification for support of SB 330, the Senate bill calling for transparency in the CSU auxiliaries accounting.

One additional, and quite important, concern of the CFA is the various activities of the Chancellor's Office that fall under the general umbrella of "Deliverology" (the Graduation Initiative on our campus). Much of "Deliverology" seems to make sense – efforts to increase graduation rates, move students through the system more efficiently, consolidate or eliminate inefficient or low-enrollment programs and departments, and better prepare first year students. What concerns CFA is that too often these admirable goals are being taken over by administrators who focus on efficiency but who do not understand the complexity of the education environment, thus side-stepping the experience of faculty who better understand the impact of programmatic changes on the quality of education and on access to education for historically under-represented groups. At CSUSM we seem to be very fortunate compared to many other CSU's since the local administration is much more receptive to including faculty in decision processes and is willing to adjust those processes based on faculty input. BUT, our administration is still subject to directives from the Chancellor's Office; therefore, faculty resistance to inappropriate change is beneficial not only to faculty and students, but also to the local administration. We strongly encourage Senators to be attuned to any actions coming through the Senate that appear to be based on demands from the Chancellor's Office to act without faculty consultation.

Recommendations - Call 1

9/1/2010 Senate Meeting

Committee	Seat (#)	Term	Name(s)
Student Affairs Committee	At large	10-12	Paul Stuhr, Kin
Student Affairs Committee	At large	10/11	Ofer Meilich, CoBA
CLIMB Center 5-Yr Review Committee	At large	10/11	Ranjeeta Basu, Econ
CLIMB Center 5-Yr Review Committee	At large	10/11	Merryl Goldberg, VPA
Arts & Lectures Committee	CoAS	10-12	Alberto Ribas-Casasayas
General Education Committee	CoAS/Hum&FA	10/11	Judy Bauerlein
University Global Affairs Committee	CoAS/Mod Lang	10/11	Greig Guthey, LS (ok'd by GA)
Faculty Center Advisory Council	CoAS/SS	10-12	Linda Pershing
Periodic Review Committee - Dean Guseman	CoBA	10/11	Ofer Meilich
Academic Senate	CoE	10/11	Anne Rene Elsbree
Budget & Long Range Planning Committee	CoE	10/11	Jackie Thousand
General Education Committee	CoE	10-12	Annette Daoud
Professional Leave Committee	CoE	10-12	Anne Rene Elsbree
University Curriculum Committee	CoE	10-12	Brian Lawler
University Global Affairs Committee	Library	10-12	Susan Thompson

8/26/2010

To: Dr. Karen Haynes, President
 Dr. Rika Yoshii, Chair, Academic Senate
 From: Dr. Ofer Meilich, Chair, Student Grade Appeals Committee
 Re: **Student Grade Appeal Committee 2009/10 AY Annual Report**



The CSUSM Student Grades Appeals Policy states that "The SGAC Chair shall report to the President of Cal State San Marcos and Academic Senate by September 1 the number and disposition of cases heard the previous academic year. (See CSU Exec Order 792, p.7)." (approved 9/2/2004, http://lynx.csusm.edu/policies/procedure_print.asp?ID=175)

During the Academic Year 2009/10, the Student Grades Appeal Committee (SGAC) has received and considered six cases. All six are considered now closed, as detailed below:

Case	Dated	Disposition
1	10/05/2009	SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and the professor's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.
2	10/15/2009	SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and the professor's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.
3	02/17/2010	SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and the professor's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.
4	02/17/2010	SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and the professor's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.
5	03/02/2010	SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and the professor's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.
6	03/15/2010	After communication with the professor and student, the professor and student informally resolved the grade appeal. The student formally withdrew the appeal.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the committee members for the care and effort in ensuring that our students receive fair and equitable treatment. It is a living testament to our institution's insistence on quality and ethical education.

cc: Dr. Emily Cutrer, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs
 Dr. Alicia Gonzales (Sociology), SGAC member
 Dr. Jeffrey Kohles (Management & Marketing), SGAC member
 Dr. Karno Ng (Chemistry), SGAC member
 Dr. Zhiwei Xiao (History), SGAC member
 Delexstine Kendricks, SGAC member
 Gabriella Pruitt (c/o History Dept., Phi Alpha Theta), SGAC member
 Amanda Riley, SGAC member
 Office of the CSUSM Academic Senate