ACADEMIC SENATE MEETING Wednesday, December 1, 2010 ***** Note change in meeting time: 1:15 – 3:05 p.m. (approx.)***** Commons 206

- I. Approval of agenda
- II. Approval of minutes of 11/03/2010 meeting
- III. Chair's report: <u>Rika Yoshii</u> Referrals to committees

IV. Secretary's report: Mohammad Oskoorouchi The following item has been forwarded to the university administration:

<u>BLP</u> <u>Resolution</u> in Support of Findings and Recommendations of Data Reconciliation and Analysis Subcommittee of BLP

V. Consent Calendar The following items are presented to the Senate for a single vote of approval without discussion. Any item may be removed for particular consideration by request of a senator prior to vote.

UCC Course & Program Change Proposals

VI. Old Business The following items are presented to the Senate for a second reading. At the second reading, the item is official senate business. Debate for or against the motion is made during the second reading, and amendments to the motion are considered. A final vote is taken on whether to approve or, in the case of administrative policies and procedures, endorse.

- A. FAC Dept Level Standards & Additional Material for Temporary Faculty Evaluations (new)
- B. FAC Head Coach Performance Appraisal (revision)
- C. SAC Faculty Management of Course Records (revision)
- D. APC Declaration of Major (new)

VII. New Business The following items have been moved and seconded, and are presented to the Senate for a first reading. The purpose of the first reading is to discuss the item; no amendments are made to items during the first reading. Comments on first reading items may also be made to the presenters via e-mail or other means. Items become senate motions at the time of the second reading (see Old Business). A motion to move a first reading item to second reading status is permitted, but should be undertaken only after any general discussion has concluded.

- A. BLP/UCC Minor in Music Technology <u>Beavers/Fang</u>
- B. APC Course Repeat Petition policy (revision) Aboolian
- C. SAC Resolution in Support of the CUGAR <u>Meilich</u>

suspension of the rules requested

pending EC action

- VIII. President's report: Karen Haynes
- IX. Provost's report: Emily Cutrer
- X. ASCSU report: Brodowsky/Montanari
- XI. CFA report: Don Barrett
- XII. ASI report: Amanda Riley
- XIII. Committee reports See written reports.
- XIV. Senators' Concerns and Announcements

Hot Topics For more information, visit the Senate website

Diversity	SB 1440
Early Start program	Restructuring proposal
Temecula campus	Graduation Initiative
Next Steps Workload Committee	

Academic Senate ~ December 1, 2010

Referrals to Committee

Committee	Item	Originator
APC	Investigate and address catalog language changes for self support credit EC	
	programs and courses	
BLP	Investigate and address A form changes for self support credit programs EC	
BLP	Investigate accessibility of self support credit courses EC	
BLP	Investigate self support use in relation to CAMP EC	
FAC	Investigate and address course evaluation of self support credit courses EC	
NEAC	Consider suggestions on shared governance	faculty member
SAC	Student Grade Appeals policy update (E.O. 1037)	SAC
SAC	Management of Student Course Records – online courses EC member	

CONSENT CALENDAR

UCC Course & Program Change Proposals

SUBJ	No	New	Course/Program Title	Form	Originator	Rec'd	To UCC/	UCC
		No.		Туре		AP	Senate	Action/ Appr.
BIOL	452		Medical Genetics	С	Denise Garcia	10/6/10	10/20/10	11/22/10
BIOT	498		Stem Cell Internship	С	Bianca Mothé	3/1/10	3/22/10	11/22/10
CHEM	416		Instrumental Methods of Analysis	C-2	Jackie Trischman	11/10/10	11/15/10	11/29/10
CS	643		Advanced Database Mgmt Systems	C-2	Rocio Guillen	10/14/10	10/20/10	11/15/10
HIST	342		History of Sports in the United States	С	Jeff Charles	10/14/10	10/20/10	11/8/10
MGMT	470		Strat Mgmt of Technological Innovation	С	Ofer Meilich	11/5/10	11/12/10	11/29/10
PSYC	392		Laboratory in Sensation and Perception	C-2	M. Fitzpatrick	11/10/10	11/15/10	11/29/10
PSYC	559		Selected Topics in Psychology	С	M.Fitzpatrick	11/10/10	11/15/10	11/29/10

2nd Reading

FAC: Policy on Department Level Standards and Additional Material for Temporary Faculty Evaluations

Rationale: Janet Powell originally asked that FAC develop a process for Senate approval for both tenure line and lecturer **department level** standards and requests for additional materials in all evaluation processes. After reexamining the RTP Department Standards guidelines, she realized this has already been done for the tenure line faculty. Thus, FAC only needed to develop a policy for the approval of departmental standards and materials for lecturers. This is necessary because such standards are essentially an extension of the evaluation policy and must not violate the CBA.

Definition Authority Scope	A policy governing the addition of materials for temporary faculty evaluations. The collective bargaining agreement between the California State University and the California Faculty Association. Eligible faculty and employees at California State University San Marcos.
I. Standard Development	
All department standards and/or additional material required for Working Personnel Action Files (WPAFs) the evaluation of temporary faculty shall conform to the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) and the evaluation policies of the College or equivalent unit.	
II. Standard Imple	mentation
o.5 or gre approved	department level, faculty with less than a 0.5 time base shall be entitled to a 0.5 vote. Faculty with a eater time base shall be entitled to one vote. The standards and/or additional material shall then be by the appropriate committee of the College or equivalent unit, the Dean and the Academic Senate se in the evaluation process.
administ	e CBA, within fourteen (14) days after the first day of instruction of the academic term, the appropriate rator shall advise temporary faculty in writing of any such department standards and/or additional required for WPAFs.
C. Once t	he evaluation process has begun there shall be no changes in criteria and procedures.

2nd Reading FAC: Head Coach Performance Appraisal

Rationale: During the 2009-2010 school year FAC revised the Interim Coach Evaluation Policy used to evaluate coaches in the athletics program at CSUSM. At that time the committee combined multiple forms and evaluation tools into a coherent policy. Changes were made to the draft policy as comments were received. Feedback was requested from coaches and directors of the athletic department last year, however little was received. This year forms were sent again to coaches for their review prior to presentation to be executive committee. As a result, additional changes with regard to the collective bargaining agreement were made. This form summarizes the work by FAC in cooperation with the athletics department (with feedback from CFA coach representative from CSU system), and CSUSM CFA representation.

Definition:	A policy governing the evaluations of head coaches in the CSUSM athletics program.
Authority:	The collective bargaining agreement between the California State
	University and the California Faculty Association.
Scope:	Eligible faculty unit employees of CSU San Marcos.

1 2	HE	CSUSM ATHLETICS AD COACH PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL	
3			
4 5	Coach	Date	
6 7		CECCMENT Cools should be established before the same	an but the Used Coach
8	in each area. At the year and evaluation	SESSMENT. Goals should be established before the sease performance towards those goals will be measured and ne	
8 9		eeded to reach goals in each area should also be noted.	w yoars will be
10	1. ATHLETIC EXCELLENCE	ceded to reach goals in each area should also be noted.	GOALS
11	Overall Record Goal:		<u></u>
12	Overall Record Achieved:		
13			
14	Conference Standing Goal:		
15	Conference Standing Achieved:		
16	5		
17	Post Season Goal:		
18	Post Season Achieved:		
19			
20	Recruiting Goals:		
21	Recruiting Accomplishments:		
22	Goals for next year -		
23	Overall Record:		
24	Conference Standing:		
25	Post Season:		
26	Support needed to reach goals:		
27	2. ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE		
28	Team GPA Goal:		
29	Team GPA Achieved:		
30			
31	Exhausted Eligibility/Graduation Rate Go		
32	Exhausted Eligibility/Graduation Rate Ac	:hieved:	
33			
34	Goals for next year –		
35	Team GPA:		
36	Graduation Rate:		
37	Support needed to reach goals:		
38			
39	3. FUND RAISING/COMMUNITY INVOL	LVEMENT	
40	Team Fund Raiser \$ Goal:		
41	Team Fund Raiser \$ Achieved:		
42			
43	In-Kind Goal:		
	AS 12/01/2010		Page 4 of 15

44	In-Kind Achieved:
45	
46	Goals for next year –
47	Cash Raised:
48	In-Kind Raised:
49	Support needed to reach goals:
50	
51	4. REFLECTIVE STATEMENT
52	Comments on how coaching approach impacted the performance of the student-athletes.
53	
54	Comments on how coaching approach advanced the department and university mission.
55	
56	PART B. ADDITIONAL MATERIAL (OPTIONAL). To be added by coach. Some examples include peer input and
57	awards. Athletic Director's comments on these materials must be part of the review (Part C).
58	
59	PART C. ATHLETIC DIRECTOR REVIEW
60	The following scale will be used to rate performances in each of the areas listed below. Ratings of unsatisfactory
61	performance or outstanding performance will be accompanied by written comments.
62	NA Not Applicable or Not Observed 3 Satisfactory
63	1 Unsatisfactory 4 Commendable
64	2 Marginal 5 Outstanding
65	1. Athletic Achievement and Coaching Skill
66	a. Sets meaningful goals for team athletic achievement
67	b. Overall athletic achievement of team
68	c. Maintains a current knowledge of sport rules and trends
69 70	 d. Demonstrates ability to teach and motivate players to produce maximum results e. Exercises control, leadership, and sound judgment during practices and competitive events
70	e. Exercises control, leadership, and sound judgment during practices and competitive events
71	Commonts
72	<u>Comments</u> o 2. Administrative qualities
73	a. Effectively plans, administers, and monitors team scheduling, travel, and budgets
75	b. Completes reports promptly and maintains organized records
76	c. Communicates effectively
70	d. Makes effective use of Assistant Coaches and student workers
78	<u>Comments</u>
70 79	3. Athlete academic achievement
80	a. Promotes student athlete academic progress
81	b. Works cooperatively with academic support services to monitor the academic progress of student athletes
82	c. Makes a consistent effort toward the improvement of graduation rates
83	d. Overall team academic achievement
84	<u>Comments</u>
85	4. Recruiting
86	a. Establishes an effective recruiting system that is consistent with NAIA, university and department philosophy and
87	available budgets
88	b. Establishes a rapport with regional high schools and coaches
89	d. Accurately assesses prospective student-athletes and effectively awards available athletic aid within institutional
90	and team limits
91	<u>Comments</u>
92	5. Commitment to the goals of the University and Athletics Department
93	a. Demonstrates commitment to the mission and purpose of the University and Athletics
94	b. Works cooperatively with other coaches and staff
95	c. Demonstrates commitment to NAIA Code of Ethics and Coaches Code <u>Comments</u>
96	
97	
98	6. Overall rating and comments Overall Rating :
99 100	
100	7. Overall Recommendation
101	

102 **PART D. SUMMARY DATA FROM STUDENT-ATHLETE EVALUATIONS**. To be provided by Athletic Director.

103 Coaches may comment on these data in their reflective statement (Section A4). Athletic Director may use these data as104 part of the review (Part C).

105

By signing this form, you are *not* indicating that you agree with the evaluation. Your signature indicates that you have been provided with a copy of this evaluation.

Persuant to the CBA, a copy of this evaluation will be placed in your Personnel Action File (PAF) five days from this date.
Within 10 days of receipt of this evaluation you may submit a rebuttal statement or response in writing and/or request a
meeting with the Athletic Director to discuss the recommendation.

Date

Date

- 112 113
- 113
- 114
- 116
- 117

118

119

120 1

2

H. Signature of Coach

Signature of Athletic Director

- 121
- 122

123

2nd Reading

SAC: Policy for Faculty on the Management of <u>Student</u> Course Records

1 Rationale: A routine re-examination of this policy. Changes incorporated for clarification and expansion of privacy

- 2 protection to all graded material. <u>The issue of digital student course records will be dealt with in a later revision.</u>
- 3

Definition:	A policy governing faculty management of student course records
Authority:	Family and Educational Rights & amp; Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA)
Scope:	All university Faculty

4 Procedure

- 5 Instructors have the responsibility to ensure confidentiality of the student records to comply with the Family
- 6 Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA 1974). Student records are defined as any documents
- 7 (including electronic) that include identifying student information (e.g. name with social security number, student
- 8 ID number, or grade). Documents include, but are not limited to, graded class assignments, homework, tests,
- 9 letters of recommendation and roster print-outs showing student name and any other type of personally
- 10 identifiable information (e.g., social security number, student ID number). The purpose of these guidelines is to
- help faculty understand how to manage student records.

13 I. Instructor Responsibilities

- 14 A. Keep student records out of reach of anyone else, preferably in a locked cabinet.
- B. Obtain the student's written permission before anyone other than the student-including spouses, parents,
 significant others, and other relatives-can collect his/her graded work.
- 17 C. Keep student records for a minimum of one year from the end of the term when the work was completed18 before destroying them.
- 19 D. Obtain the student's written permission before you leave his/her records outside your office.
- 20 E. All records left outside of office must be in a sealed envelope.
- 21 F. After one year, records may be discarded after identifying characteristics have been removed or destroyed.
- G. Do not at any time use the entire ID Number of a student in a public posting of grades or any other student
 records.
- 24 H. Do not ever link the name of a student with that student's ID number in any public manner.
- Do not leave graded tests student records (such as tests, papers, or assignments) in a stack for students to
 pick up by sorting through the papers materials of all students.
- 27 J. Do not circulate a printed class list with student name and ID number or grade as an attendance roster.
- K. When the handing back of material in person substantially disrupts instruction (such as in sections with a
 large number of students), it is recommended that the instructor assign a unique and confidential
 identification code or number to each student, to be used in evaluated material that may be circulated for
 students to sort through or as an attendance roster.
- 32 L. Questions regarding the FERPA and/or other student record privacy matters should be directed to the Vice
 33 President of Student Affairs.
- 34

35 II. Student Responsibilities

- 36 In most classes, faculty return graded materials to students during the course of the semester. If a student elects
- to file a formal appeal over a course grade, she or he would need to produce all of the original graded work for the
- 38 purpose of the review procedure. Therefore, students should retain work handed back to them at least until they
- 39 receive the final grade. If the student then elects to file a grade appeal, s/he should retain the graded materials
- 40 until the appeal is resolved.

2nd Reading APC: Declaration of Major and Specialization policy

Rationale: This came to us from the Graduation Initiative Steering Committee. Students are reaching the number of units to graduate without having declared a major. One of the contributing factors to reaching 120 units and not being able to graduate is waiting too long to declare a major. This policy is intended to facilitate time to degree. At the system level, we need to facilitate all students in a timely manner to graduation so that more students have the opportunity to attend CSUs. There are currently efforts from orientation, etc. to encourage students to declare majors.

Definition:	The purpose of this policy is to establish the guidelines for declaring major degree programs
	thereby facilitating timely progress to degree completion.
Authority:	The president of the university.
Scope:	This policy applies to undergraduate students and undergraduate curriculum.

1 I. Declaring a major

2

2		
3	Α.	Every student must declare a primary major. ¹
4	В.	Students are strongly encouraged to declare a major before they reach 60 units. After a student has
5		completed 60 units, s/he will receive a reminder from the registrar's office to declare a major.
6	С.	If students have not declared a major and/or chosen the major's area of emphasis by the time they
7		have completed 80 units, a hold shall be placed on their registration and it will be removed after they
8		meet with an academic advisor or submit a declaration of major/emphasis form.
9	D.	Some degree programs may have additional requirements for declaring a major, such as pre-
10		requisites and/or a petition process.
11	Ε.	Certain classifications of students, such as student athletes, may be required to declare a major
12		earlier than this policy stipulates. It is the student's responsibility to fulfill these requirements.
13		
14	II. Impacted	l Programs

- 15 Students wishing to declare majors in any subject area that has admission limits, may do so only with approval
- 16 from the department, school or program.

¹ Students should check the website for the Office of Registration and Records for a current listing of degree programs that require signature approval for declaring the major. (As of AY 2010-11 the following degree programs require signature approval: Liberal Studies, Pre-Business, Nursing and Pre-Health majors.)

1st Reading **BLP/UCC: Minor in Music Technology**

1 BLP Report to Senate The Budget and Long Range Planning Committee (BLP) has reviewed the P-Form for a 2 proposed Minor in Music Technology, giving careful consideration to the enrollment prospects for the proposed 3 program as well as the resource implications of initiating the program. We thank the proposer, Dr. Bill Bradbury, for his 4 prompt and collegial response to our feedback and our queries so that we could complete our evaluation in a timely 5 fashion. BLP submits the following analysis of the impact of this proposed minor to the Academic Senate to assist 6 senators in their consideration of the proposal. 7 8 Program Demand: As noted in the P-form, this program would provide a minor for students primarily interested in 9 technologies facilitating music production, including "recording technology, microphone techniques, sampling, sound 10 editing, processing, and synthesis techniques." Students with such a minor could explore opportunities "in professional 11 music studios, composition, music programming, sales and installation, audio editing and in multimedia, video and 12 theatre." The P-form documents student interest in the program by stating that many current VPA students have 13 requested the minor and that recent informal VPA departmental surveys also indicated student interest. All relevant 14 courses for the minor are already in existence and show sustained strong enrollments, and it is noted that a number of 15 students are already taking a number of the proposed minor's required courses and that this proposal allows a 16 "coalescing [of] current curriculum" that students are already pursuing.

17

18 Resource Implications: The P-form emphasizes that no new resources will be necessary to launch or maintain the 19 program. No new courses are included in the 18-unit proposed minor, and all courses required for the minor are offered 20 regularly by two tenure-track and one lecturer faculty. It is anticipated that current course offerings will be sufficient to 21 meet demand; if necessary, course enrollments can be restricted to ensure that registered minors can get the courses 22 they need. No new Library resources are anticipated, and it is expected that currently available equipment, lab space, 23 software licenses (ProTools, Komplete, and Waves) and IITS staff support will be sufficient to meet the program's needs.

24 25

26 UCC Report to Senate UCC has finished its review of the Music Technology Minor proposed as a stand-alone minor 27 housed in the Visual and Performing Arts Department. The purpose of the minor is to provide students who are not 28 necessarily practicing musicians but are interested in the technology side of the music industry an introduction to music, 29 and then an in-depth study of the use of technology in music from recording music to sound design and synthesis. This 30 minor meets the needs of many students who are interested in music technology but do not have the music skills or 31 performance inclination to pursue either the music minor or the music option in the VPA major. This minor differs from 32 the current Music Minor in that it emphasizes music technology primarily while the Music Minor emphasizes skills in 33 music performance and music theory/history. 34

35 The program requires that students take one introductory level music theory course, MUSC 203 Elements of Music I, and 36 one music survey course, MUSC 325 History of Rock Music or MUSC 427 Music and Culture in the United States. The two 37 courses provide students an introduction to music. The students are then required to take four music technology 38 courses, MUSC 302 Computer and Music, MUSC 304 Recording Techniques, and MUSC 402 Advanced Composition with 39 Computers (twice). These courses introduce students to the creation of music and/or sound design with the use of 40 computer-based technology. 41

42 This is an 18-unit undergraduate minor that draws from the expertise of the Visual and Performing Arts Department

- 43 Faculty and requires no new faculty resources. No new courses are proposed for the minor.
- 44 45

46 47	For the complete curriculum associated with this proposal, visit th	e Curriculum Review website:			
48	http://www.csusm.edu/academic_programs/curriculumscheduling/catalogcurricula/2010-				
49	11_curriculum.html#CoAS				
50					
51	This proposal is in Packet #13.				
52	1 1				
53					
54	Proposed Catalog Languag	ge for the			
55	Minor in Music Techno	ology			
56					
57					
58	The Music Technology Minor is designed for students whose focu	s is on the creation of music and/or			
59	sound design with the use of computer-based technology. Studen				
60	advanced recording technology, microphone techniques, sampling	g, sound editing, processing, and			
61	synthesis techniques as well as music theory (introductory) and A	merican popular music. Students have			
62	access to high level professional studios on campus in which to complete their work. Skills developed in				
63	the minor can be applied to future work in professional music studios, composition, music programming,				
64	sales and installation, audio editing and in multimedia, video and	theatre.			
65					
66		<u>UNITS</u>			
67	MUSC 203 Elements of Music I	2			
68	MUCC 225 LUsterney (Devil Musice OD	3			
69 70	MUSC 325 History of Rock Music OR MUSC 427 Music and Culture in the United States	3			
70 71	MOSC 427 Music and Culture in the Officer States	3			
72	MUSC 302 Computers and Music				
73	webse 302 computers and wuste	3			
74	MUSC 304 Recording Techniques	0			
75	neee of needang rechnques	3			
76	MUSC 402 Advanced Composition with Computers	6			
77	(course must be taken twice, for a total of six (6) units)				
78					
79	TOTAL UNITS	18			
80					

1st Reading APC: Course Repeat policy

1 2 3 4	Rationale: When this policy was revised in 2009 to implement changes required by EO 1037 it did not contain any mention of how to proceed in cases where a student failed a course 3 times. (This count does not include W grades, such as those a student receives when they are unable to pass a course for medical or personal reasons.)						
 Currently petitions from students who want to take such courses for a 4th time have been decided on an ad hoc be by Dean's offices in consultation with Departments, but a more clearly delineated policy will be helpful to all parting Given the resource implications of students repeating courses multiple times, and the possibility that such students better served by exploring other options it seems advisable that such repeats only be granted if a student is close a graduation and can demonstrate that there is a good chance that they will indeed pass the course on their next attempt. 							
			he policy governs the repeat of courses and the adjustment of GPA.				
		-	xecutive Order 1037 Indergraduate <u>students taking</u> courses at CSUSM.				
13 14 15	I	I. EXECUTIVE SUM	MMARY				
13 16 17 18 19			ent system has the functionality to automatically calculate repeated attempts and ed courses. <u>This policy governs the application of this functionality and the appeals process.</u>				
20 21	II	I. PROCEDURE/AI	PPLICATION				
21 22 23 24			n of grades, the PeopleSoft student system will identify all courses academically renewed and ted value to designate them as repeated courses, along with re-calculation of students' GPA.				
24 25 26		B. Undergraduate	students may repeat courses only if they earned grades lower than a C (2.0).				
27		C. Except in unusua	al circumstances no course may be repeated more than two times. A student who is a senior				
28			g and at most 3 courses away from completing the requirements for their major may file a				
29	written petition with the Dean of the College of their major to repeat an upper division course required for						
30 31	their major a third time. In this petition the student must a. give a detailed explanation why they failed the course 3 times;						
32			t clear evidence that they know the prerequisite material for the course and are a				
33			student in good standing who has no more than 3 courses (including the course being				
34			ned) remaining for completing the requirements of the major. This evidence should include				
35			vant transcripts, and the narrative of the petition should clearly state the student's				
36			nd how prerequisite requirements are satisfied;				
37			lear plan indicating how they will make sure that they will pass the course on their next				
38		attemp					
39			—				
40		—— <u>The final decisio</u>	n on the petition is made by the Dean's office in consultation with the chair of the department				
41		offering the cou	<u>rse.</u>				
42		B					
43	B. An individual course may be repeated for "Grade Forgiveness" no more than two times.						
44 45		(Grade forgivery	ess is the circumstance in which the new grade replaces the former grade in terms of the				
46		calculation of GI					
47							
48		D. A maximum of 1	6 semester units of Course Repeats can be used for "Grade Forgiveness". With regard to the				
49		limits on repeats	s, all such running totals begin at zero (o) at the beginning of the Fall term of 2009. <mark>(Grade</mark>				

50	forgiveness is the circumstance in which the new grade replaces the former grade in terms of the calculation				
51	<u>of GPA.)</u>				
52					
53	C.E. An individual course may be repeated for "Grade Forgiveness" no more than two times, without exceptions.				
54	The petition process may not be used to request that a course be repeated more than two times for grade				
55	<u>forgiveness.</u>				
56					
57	P.FLimits on repeated courses do apply to courses taken in matriculated status as well as coursework completed				
58	via self support, e.g. extended learning, open university, etc.				
59					
60	III. PUBLICATION IN UNIVERSITY NOTICES				
61					
62	Information will be updated, as follows:				
63					
64	 Curriculum and Scheduling Office will publish in the General Catalog; 				
65					
66	 The CSUSM Enrollment Management Registration and Records website will be updated. 				
67					

1	1 st Reading – <i>Suspension of the rules requested</i>
2	Resolution in Support of the Committee for Undergraduate Research
3	
4	WHEREAS, The Academic Senate, through the Student Affairs Committee, provides advice
5	and recommends policy on all student issues including but not limited to policies and
6 7	procedures related to academic environments and student research competition; and
8	WHEREAS, It is the mission of the Committee for Undergraduate Research to promote,
9	support, and recognize faculty and student collaboration in research and creative activities;
10	and
11	
12	WHEREAS, The Committee for Undergraduate Research brings together faculty, staff, and
13	students from all areas to develop opportunities for undergraduate research; and
14	
15	WHEREAS, The short-term goals of the Committee for Undergraduate Research include
16	identifying core faculty committed to engaging undergraduate students in research and
17	fostering dialogue among faculty and students about the benefits of undergraduate research;
18	and
19	
20	WHEREAS, The medium-term goals of the Committee for Undergraduate Research include
21	developing a system to link faculty and undergraduate students in research activities,
22 23	coordinating campus-wide student symposia and seminars, identifying resources to support undergraduate research, and celebrating the achievements of faculty and student
23 24	collaborative research; and
25	
26	WHEREAS, The work of the Committee for Undergraduate Research supports the mission of
27	California State University San Marcos to have "students work closely with a faculty of active
28	scholars and artists whose commitment to sustained excellence in teaching, research, and
29	community partnership enhances student learning;" now, therefore, be it
30	
31	RESOLVED, That, the California State University San Marcos Academic Senate support the
32	work of the Committee for Undergraduate Research advocating for opportunities for students
33	to engage in research and creative activities; and be it further
34	
35	RESOLVED, That the California State University San Marcos Academic Senate forward a copy
36	of this resolution to the university President, the Provost and Vice President of Academic
37	Affairs, the Vice President for Student Affairs, and the College/School/Library Deans.

Academic Senate ~ December 1, 2010

Standing Committee Reports

APC

The Declaration of Major Policy will go through the second reading in Senate at Dec 1st meeting. APC has drafted the Course Repeat Petition policy which is under review in EC. APC is also working concurrently on the following policies (with more emphasis on the first two):

Excess Course policy (revise) Dual-Listing of Courses policy (new) Inactive Course policy – revise

BLP

The Committee is working on the following tasks:

<u>Program Proposals</u>: We have submitted our report on the proposed Music Technology minor, and we are finalizing a report on the proposed minor in Video/Film Production. We are now reviewing an A-form for a Master's in Public Health degree (MPH) and have just received P-form for a new credential option for Single Subject/English Learner Authorization and Preliminary Mild/Moderate Education Specialist.

<u>Academic Senate's Restructuring proposal</u>: BLP's assessment of the proposed restructuring of Academic Affairs was submitted to the Senate and to the Provost on November 15. This report is also available on the committee's Moodle page.

Other Business

<u>SON non-voting delegate to attend BLP as Guest</u>: Dr. Denise Boren will begin attending BLP regularly on behalf of SON as a non-voting guest.

<u>Meeting with AALC</u>: BLP members met with the Provost's Academic Affairs Leadership Council on November 2 to recommend prioritization of budget proposals to be presented to the University Budget Committee (UBC).

<u>Self-Support</u>: BLP has been tasked by the Executive Committee to examine when and by whom courses and Programs are to be offered as self-support offerings. We have also been asked to examine how such decisions may affect students' access to programs.

	FAC	
No report provided.		
	GEC	
No report provided.		
	LATAC	
No report provided.		
	NEAC	

NEAC is currently drafting amendments to the Constitution and By-Laws to:

- uncouple the Chair and Chair-elect roles so that the Chair and Vice-Chair are elected separately and can serve more than one term.
- provide a mechanism for the removal of committee members and senators who fail to meet their obligations. These amendments will be presented to EC for discussion.

NEAC has considered the following questions and has decided not to pursue changes to the Constitution and By-laws:

- Should Senate officers give up their senate seats?
- Should there be a mechanism to balance experience levels of members of standing committees?
- Should faculty be limited in the number of committee seats for which they can run in a given election?

NEAC continues to consider the following topics:

- Whether the relationship between the Graduate Studies Committee and Academic Senate should be formalized.
- Lecturer eligibility for Senate committees.

Formalization of the Executive Committee's ability to form subcommittees.

PAC

PAC has finished its response to the Political Science Program Review and is working on its response to the Women Studies Program Review. The committee is also working on final revisions to the Program Review Policy in preparation for bringing the policy to the Senate early in the spring semester.

SAC

No report provided.

UCC

No report provided.