

## MINUTES

### Executive Committee of the Academic Senate CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS

Wednesday, October 27, 2010

12 – 2 p.m. ~ Kellogg 5207

---

<b>Members Present</b>	Rika Yoshii, Chair Robert Aboolian, APC A. Yáñez-Chávez, LATAC Ofer Meilich, SAC Dick Montanari, ASCSU	Wayne Aitken, Vice Chair Staci Beavers, BLP Marie Thomas, NEAC Pam Kohlbry, Nursing	Mohammad Oskoorouchi, Secty. Lorri Santamaria, FAC Linda Shaw, PAC Glen Brodowsky, ASCSU
<b>Ex Officio Present</b>	Don Barrett, CFA; Emily Cutrer, Provost		
<b>Not Represented</b>	GEC		
<b>Guest</b>	David Barsky, AVP-AP		
<b>Staff</b>	Marcia Woolf		

---

#### I. Approval of Agenda

**Motion #1** M/S/P\*  
To approve the agenda as presented.

#### II. Approval of Minutes of 10/20/2010

Suggested modifications were made to the draft minutes.

**Motion #2** M/S/P\*  
To approve the minutes as amended, pending Pres. Haynes' approval.

**III. Chair's Report, Rika Yoshii:** Barsky will present on Early Start to the Senate in November, but first to EC here today. Jan Jackson will also present to EC concerning Extended Learning, and later to the Senate. The president has requested that standing committees invite her to meet with them prior to contemplating any prescriptive resolutions concerning actions taken by her; if her schedule prevents her from meeting with the committee, then a direct written communication to her is requested.

**IV. Vice Chair's Report, Wayne Aitken** The president and the FIRMS subcommittee met with BLP this week to discuss the FIRMS report and its descriptive nature and its value as an objective resource. It does include a recommendation that BLP follow up with the administration concerning the issues described in the report. The president indicated she objects to the prescriptive language of the Senate's draft resolution, though she understands the Senate's advocacy role.

**V. Secretary's Report, Mohammad Oskoorouchi** Responses have already been received to the listed items.

**VI. Provost's Report, Emily Cutrer** There is a misapprehension that colleges have been asked to work out every detail of the restructuring proposal in five weeks, and that is not the case. They have been asked: Is the configuration proposed what it should be? What issues must be dealt with as we implement? In five weeks, recommendations will be sent to president, and this will be followed by a period of implementation. Cutrer understands there is concern that implementation will take longer than the spring semester. She wants to first work on what the configuration will be and what issues faculty/staff/students identify to be worked on? After that, an implementation period can be decided. It is not possible to work out every detail ahead of time or to predict now exactly when certain actions will occur, and things are not set in stone; if a dean search was unsuccessful, for instance, it may be necessary to appoint an interim dean. Aspects of the plan and decision process were discussed for over an hour. EC members repeatedly expressed concern

*\*All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved      S = Seconded      D = Defeated      P = Passed      L = Lost      W = Withdrawn

that there was no faculty/Senate consultation in the proposed reorganization, that the methodology is inadequate for a restructuring of this complexity, and suggested that keeping interim deans for a longer period would allow for better planning. EC members also felt that the current plan ignores both the importance of shared governance in such decision making as well as lacks a carefully designed process for consideration of myriad implications, ensuring adequate review of resources, etc.

**XII. Information Item** *(taken out of order for time certain)*

**Early Start update** Barsky provided background information and the campus plan for responding to the Early Start initiative. This information will be presented to the Senate in November.

**VII. ASCSU Report, Brodowsky/Montanari:** Montanari has drafted a resolution for the ASCSU which encourages CSU campuses to use common SFR matrices.

**VIII. CFA Report, Don Barrett:** Postponed.

**IX. Committee Reports**

**BLP:** The committee has tabled the restructuring policy recently returned by the administration, and is preparing a list of questions for the provost in response to her recent memo concerning the proposed restructuring of Academic Affairs. Certain A and P forms are under review. A productive meeting was had with President Haynes and the FIRMS subcommittee. Several changes have been made to the BLP resolution on next steps concerning the Data Reconciliation & Analysis Subcommittee's report.

**GEC:** Postponed.

**NEAC:** Postponed.

**SAC:** Postponed.

**X. Old Business** None.

**XI. New Business**

**A. FAC Department Level Standards and Additional Material for Temporary Faculty Evaluations**  
Yoshii asked that EC members send comments to Santamaria.

**B. FAC Head Coach Performance Appraisal** Yoshii asked that EC members send comments to Santamaria.

**C. SAC Faculty Management of Course Records** Yoshii asked that EC members send comments to Meilich.

**D. APC Declaration of Major** Yoshii asked that EC members send comments to Aboolian.

Meeting adjourned at 2:05 p.m.  
Respectfully submitted by Marcia Woolf, Senate Coordinator

Approved by the Executive Committee \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohammad Oskoorouchi, Secretary Date

*\*All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved      S = Seconded      D = Defeated      P = Passed      L = Lost      W = Withdrawn