

ACADEMIC SENATE MEETING

Wednesday, September 5, 2012

1 – 2:50 p.m. (approx.)

Commons 206

- I. Group photo of voting senators
- II. Approval of agenda
- III. Chair's report: [Jackie Trischman](#) Referral: LATAC Monitor Cal State Online issues
QUAD parking structure issue
Student Fee Advisory Committee
- IV. Secretary's report: [Janet McDaniel](#) Status of AY 11/12 Senate items *attached*
- V. [President](#)'s report: Karen Haynes
- VI. [Provost](#)'s report: Emily Cutrer *unable to attend*
- VII. VP [Student Affairs](#)' report: Eloise Stiglitz
- VIII. [ASCSU](#) report: [Brodowsky/Meilich](#)
- IX. [CFA report](#): [Don Barrett](#)/Garry Rolison
- X. [ASI](#) report: Cipriano Vargas
- XI. [Standing Committee](#) Chair Introductions
- XII. Action items *These are items scheduled for a vote, including second reading items.*
 - A. Resolution - Prop 30 *pending EC action*
 - B. Resolution - Chancellor search
- XIII. Discussion items *These are items scheduled for discussion, including first reading items.* None.
- XIV. Presentations
Enrollment Update: Graham Oberem
Early Start: David Barsky
- XV. Information items
Student Grade Appeals Committee Annual Report 2011/12 *attached*
- XVI. Senators' concerns and announcements

Next meeting: October 3, 2012

Secretary's Report

Committee	Policies, Forms & Resolutions passed by the Senate in AY 11/12	Response
AS	Resolution on Board of Trustees Policy for the Selection of Presidents	<i>acknowledged</i>
FAC	Range Elevation for Temporary Unit 3 Faculty Employees policy revision	<i>approved</i>
BLP	A Form revision	<i>approved</i>
AS	Resolution honoring the contributions of Professor Radhika Ramamurthi	<i>reply received</i>
LATAC	Intellectual Property policy	<i>pending</i>
APC	Course Repeat Petition policy revision	<i>approved</i>
APC	Graduation Requirements for Second Bachelor's Degree policy revision	<i>approved</i>
GEC	American Institutions and Ideals: Certification by Examination policy	<i>approved</i>
BLP	Long-range Academic Master Planning (LAMP) resolution	<i>acknowledged</i>
FAC	Department chair elections recommendation and resolution	<i>reply received</i>
APC	Extended Learning Roles & Responsibilities policy revision	<i>approved</i>
APC	Credit Hour policy	<i>approved</i>
APC	Humane Care and Use of Animals policy	<i>approved</i>
APC	Online Instruction policy revision	<i>approved</i>
FAC	RTP policy revision	<i>approved</i>
FAC	Evaluation of Temporary Faculty Unit 3 Employees: Education policy	<i>approved</i>
FAC	Evaluation of Temporary Faculty Unit 3 Employees: Nursing policy	<i>approved</i>
FAC	RTP for College of Education, Health and Human Services policy	<i>approved</i>
FAC	RTP for School of Education policy	<i>approved</i>
FAC	RTP for School of Nursing policy	<i>approved</i>
FAC	RTP for Human Development policy	<i>approved</i>
FAC	RTP for Kinesiology policy	<i>approved</i>
FAC	Difference in Pay Leaves policy revision	<i>approved</i>
EC	Resolution in Support of Library Colleagues	<i>reply received</i>
SAC	Instructor Management of Student Course Records policy revision	<i>approved</i>
APC	Independent Study policy	<i>pending</i>
APC	Academic Program Discontinuance policy revision	<i>approved</i>
APC	Second Master's Degree policy	<i>approved</i>
EC	Resolution Honoring Peter Zwick	<i>reply received</i>
FAC	RTP for CHABSS policy	<i>approved</i>
EC	Resolution Honoring K. Brooks Reid	<i>reply received</i>

In addition, numerous course and program change proposals, new programs, lower division general education "learning outcomes," and committee appointments were approved by the Senate. Committees conducted program reviews, reviewed courses for GE credit, and considered changes to the General Education program. Faculty approved changes to the Constitution & Bylaws.

Committee	Items passed by the Senate in AY 10/11	Response
SAC	Student Grade Appeals policy revision	<i>pending</i>

Resolution in Support of Proposition 30 on the November 2012 Ballot: Temporary Taxes to Fund Education, Guaranteed Local Public Safety Funding

RESOLVED, That the Academic Senate of California State University San Marcos support Proposition 30: Temporary Taxes to Fund Education, Guaranteed Local Public Safety Funding; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution be sent to the Office of Communications at CSUSM with a request to post on *Campus Announcements* and to send out as a press release; in addition, this resolution will be sent to the President of CSUSM, Academic Senate of the California State University, Academic Senate Chairs at all California State University campuses, the Yes on Prop 30 campaign (YesonProp30.com), and to the No on Prop 30 campaign (StopProp30.com).

RATIONALE:

Over the past 12 years, reductions in funding have resulted in a 24% decrease in the amount the state spends on each student in the CSU.

Failure of Proposition 30 in the November election guarantees another \$250 million cut to the California State University system.

California is facing a dire economic crisis. A further \$250 million decrease to higher education will only make the situation worse for Californians. Fewer citizens will have access to the type of educational programs that prepare them for California's workforce needs. This will ultimately lead to a further shrinking of California's tax base and weaken the state's ability to compete in the global marketplace.

Investing in education is the best way to help the economy of California. For every dollar that the state invests in college students, it will receive \$4.50 from the taxes on the higher incomes earned by those students as well as from the savings accrued by the lower need for social services and lower rate of incarceration among college graduates (Campaign for College Opportunity, April 2012).

Investing in higher education IS investing in California's economy and in its future success!

**Endorsement of California State University Bakersfield Academic Senate Resolution 2012-13
RES001 on Transparency in the Search for the Chancellor.**

RESOLVED, That the Academic Senate of California State University San Marcos endorse the resolution of the Academic Senate of California State University Bakersfield, 2012-13 RES001, Transparency in the Search for the Chancellor; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution be sent to the CSU Board of Trustees, the Chancellor, Campus Presidents, the Academic Senate CSU, Campus Academic Senates, the California State Student Association, and the Associated Students Inc. of CSUSM.

RATIONALE:

An open and transparent search process is critical in this time when public trust in the California State University system is at a very low point. Faculty, staff, students, and administrators will need to work together to persuade our legislative leaders and the population of the state to restore both support of and trust in the system. A closed-door hiring process that does not generate faculty, staff, and student buy-in for a new chancellor will severely limit the ability of the new chancellor to bring all parties together to work toward common goals.

May 29, 2012

To: Dr. Karen Haynes, President
 Dr. Wayne Aitken, Chair, Academic Senate

From: Dr. Karno Ng, Chair, Student Grade Appeals Committee 

Re: **Student Grade Appeal Committee 2011/12 AY Annual Report**

The CSUSM Student Grades Appeals Policy states that "The SGAC Chair shall report to the President of Cal State San Marcos and Academic Senate by September 1 the number and disposition of cases heard the previous academic year. (See CSU Exec Order 792, p.10)." (approved 07/14/2009,
http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/student_grade_appeals.html;
http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/pdf/StudentGradeAppealsPolicy_eff101609_071509.pdf)

During the Academic Year 2011/12, the Student Grades Appeal Committee (SGAC) has received and considered seven cases. All are considered now closed, as detailed below:

Case	Dated	Disposition
1	02/07/2011	Formal SGAC Chair reviewed the file. Since the informal process was not completed, the student was instructed to pursue the informal process and file the formal appeal by the deadline (as stated in the SGAC policy) if needed after the completion of the informal process. Unfortunately, the issue was not resolved through the informal process, and the student asked to reinstate the formal appeal in May 2011. SGAC for the AY 2011-12 was convened on 10/28/12 and new chair was elected. SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and professor's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.
2	05/20/2011	This case was received by SGAC on 10/19/11. SGAC Chair reviewed the file. Because the informal process was not completed, the student was instructed to pursue the informal process and file the formal appeal by the deadline (as stated in the SGAC policy) if needed after the completion of the informal process. No information is received from the student concerning the result of the informal process. No request was received from the student concerning reinstatement of the formal appeal. Thus, this case was considered close.
3	05/20/2011	This case was received by SGAC on 10/19/11. SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and professor's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.
4	06/03/2011	Formal SGAC chair reviewed the file. Since the informal process was not completed, the student was instructed to pursue the informal process. Unfortunately, the issue was not resolved through the informal process, and the student asked to reinstate the formal appeal. SGAC received the reinstate of the formal process on 10/19/11. Instructor was no longer with CSUSM. The Associate Dean of the college designated a faculty member in the college to response to the case. SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and the designated faculty member's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.

The California State University

Bakersfield • Channel Islands • Chico • Dominguez Hills • East Bay • Fresno • Fullerton • Humboldt • Long Beach • Los Angeles • Maritime Academy • Monterey Bay
• Northridge • Pomona • Sacramento • San Bernardino • San Diego • San Francisco • San Jose • San Luis Obispo • San Marcos • Sonoma • Stanislaus

5	01/09/2012	This case was received by SGAC on 3/7/12. Because the informal process was not completed, the student was instructed to pursue the informal process and file the formal appeal by the deadline (as stated in the SGAC policy) if needed after the completion of the informal process.. No information is received from the student concerning the result of the informal process. No request was received from the student concerning reinstatement of the formal appeal. Thus, this case was considered close.
6	1/20//2012	This case was received by SGAC on 3/7/12. SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and the professor's reply. SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.
7	03/13/2012	This case was received by SGAC on 3/26/12. SGAC reviewed the student's grade appeal material and the Department Chair's reply (note: the instructor was no longer with CSUSM). SGAC determined that the original grade was properly assigned.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the committee members for the care and effort in ensuring that our students receive fair and equitable treatment.

cc: Dr. Emily Cutrer, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs
 Travis Wilson, ASI President
 Marcia Wolf, Office of the CSUSM Academic Senate