

## ACADEMIC SENATE MEETING

Wednesday, April 24, 2013

1 – 2:50 p.m. (approx.)

Commons 206

- I. Approval of agenda
- II. Approval of minutes of April 10<sup>th</sup> meeting
- III. Chair's report: [Jackie Trischman](#) Referrals to committee *attached*
- IV. Vice Chair's report: [Vivienne Bennett](#) UBC update
- V. Secretary's report: [J McDaniel](#) *The following Senate item has been forwarded to the university administration and others as specified:*
  - AS Resolution on SB 520
- VI. [President's](#) report: Karen Haynes *unable to attend*
- VII. Interim [Provost's](#) report: Graham Oberem
- VIII. [ASI](#) report: Cipriano Vargas
- IX. [ASCSU](#) report: [Brodowsky/Meilich](#)
- X. [CFA report](#): [Garry Rolison](#)
- XI. [Standing Committee](#) reports: *oral, as needed*
- XII. Consent Calendar *Pending EC action. The following items are presented to the Senate for a single vote of approval without discussion. Any item may be removed for particular consideration by request of a senator prior to vote.*
  - UCC Course & program change proposals
- XIV. Action items *These are items scheduled for a vote, including second reading items.*
  - A. BLP/UCC Master's in Social Work program proposal
  - B. FAC RTP for SSP-ARs policy revision
  - C. GEC LDGE certification forms
  - D. GEC Upper division General Education learning outcomes
  - E. FAC Library RTP policy revision
  - F. APC Academic calendar for AY 2014/15 through 2017/18
- XV. Discussion items *Pending EC action ("action" means a vote to forward an item to the Senate, but does not indicate EC endorsement). These are items scheduled for discussion (20 minutes, maximum), including first reading items.*
  - A. GEC Grade minima policy for GE courses in the "Golden our" [sgreenwo@csusm.edu](mailto:sgreenwo@csusm.edu)
  - B. BLP/UCC SoE Dual Language certificate proposal [sbeavers@csusm.edu](mailto:sbeavers@csusm.edu) [ysun@csusm.edu](mailto:ysun@csusm.edu)
  - C. BLP/UCC Son Palliative Care certificate proposal [sbeavers@csusm.edu](mailto:sbeavers@csusm.edu) [ysun@csusm.edu](mailto:ysun@csusm.edu)
  - D. BLP/UCC CoBA MBA stackable certificates proposal [sbeavers@csusm.edu](mailto:sbeavers@csusm.edu) [ysun@csusm.edu](mailto:ysun@csusm.edu)
  - E. APC Winter intersession policy [sthompso@csusm.edu](mailto:sthompso@csusm.edu)
  - F. APC Resolution: Priorities for classroom assignment [sthompso@csusm.edu](mailto:sthompso@csusm.edu)
  - G. UCC Course Proposal ("C") form revision [ysun@csusm.edu](mailto:ysun@csusm.edu)
- XVI. Information item
  - A. PAC recommendation letter for Sociology program [lshaw@csusm.edu](mailto:lshaw@csusm.edu) *see handouts link*
  - B. AY 12/13 report from the Faculty Grants Committee [afiegen@csusm.edu](mailto:afiegen@csusm.edu)
- XVII. Senators' concerns and announcements

**Next Senate meeting: May 1<sup>st</sup>**

## CONSENT CALENDAR

### UCC Course & Program Change Proposals

SUBJ	No.	New No.	Course/Program Title	Form	Originator	Rec'd AP	To UCC	Action
COMM	444		Narratives in Organizations	C-2	B. Morris	2/4/13	2/12/13	4/15/13
LTWR	345		Native American Literatures	C	R. Lush	11/20/12	11/28/12	4/15/13
NURS	596		Topics in Advanced Nursing	C	J. Daugherty	1/23/13	1/28/13	4/22/13
WMST	326		Feminist Art & Motherhood	C	S. Lutjens	12/6/12	1/22/13	4/22/13

1

**Report from BLP, Master's in Social Work (MSW) (from CEHHS)**

The Budget and Long Range Planning Committee (BLP) has discussed the proposed Master's in Social Work (MSW). We gave attention to the immediate and long-range enrollment prospects for this proposed degree program as well as the resource implications of the program's launch. We appreciate the cooperation of the faculty proposers from CEHHS and the assistance of the leadership within Extended Learning, which proved invaluable in allowing us to complete our work. BLP submits the following analysis of the impact of this program to the Academic Senate to guide senators in their consideration of the proposal.

This 60-unit program would be run as a self-support program through Extended Learning. MSW programs are subject to accreditation by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE). CSWE accreditation is required for any MSW program whose graduates intend to apply "for licensure as a clinical social worker in California or any other state" (P-form, p. 16). The application for accreditation is currently underway.

**Program Demand:**

The P-form discusses likely demand for the program. For example, existing MSW programs throughout the CSU are enrolled at capacity and are turning students away:

"In fall 2010, system wide there were 5,925 applicants. Of those applicants, only 1,686 were offered admission. Although there is some duplication due to applications at multiple campuses, there are nonetheless a significant number of qualified applicants who were not admitted due to limited capacity" (MSW P-form, p. 21).

Survey research conducted at CSUSM indicates Sociology, Human Development, Liberal Studies, and Social Sciences as promising majors from which MSW applicants can be recruited (P-form, p. 21). While BLP members raised questions about job prospects for MSW candidates given contemporary budget cutbacks in the public and nonprofit sectors, the proposers and Extended Learning remain confident about the marketability of the degree. For example, the P-form cites Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) projections indicating especially strong job growth in the field of social work through 2020 (p. 19). An email from Mike Schroder, Dean of Extended Learning, notes, "The BLS forecasts a 34% employment growth for healthcare social workers, 31% for mental health and substance abuse social workers, and 20% for child, family, and school social workers" (email from Dean Schroder, January 25, 2013). Locally, the San Diego Workforce Partnership projects "an 8.1 percent reported growth rate for social workers in San Diego County" (cited in P-form, p. 19).

In delivering a self-support program, it is critical that costs be accurately projected to establish a tuition and fee structure that provides sufficient program resources while also allowing the marketing of a cost-competitive program to students. Current projections estimate a tuition structure of \$475/unit (running \$28,500 for a 60-unit program), as well as a mandatory campus fee of \$477 for each semester in which a student enrolls in the program (P-form, p. 24, as updated by EL memo, 2/6/13).<sup>1</sup> Program proposers

---

<sup>1</sup> According to EL Associate Dean Sarah Villarreal, "These campus-based mandatory fees include Academic Records, ASI, Athletics, and EL Technology Fee, and the Student Union fee." A full breakdown of these fees for EL students can be found at <http://www.csusm.edu/el/aboutus/fees.html>. The campus fees for students enrolled in state-support programs can be found at [http://www.csusm.edu/schedule/spring\\_2013/fees\\_and\\_charges.html](http://www.csusm.edu/schedule/spring_2013/fees_and_charges.html).

and Extended Learning officials are confident that this tuition/fee structure allows for the marketing of a cost-competitive program. When asked for follow-up information about the cost-competitiveness of the program, Extended Learning provided a detailed cost comparison, which is attached with this report.

**Accessibility Concerns:** The Department of Sociology provided a detailed review of the program proposal. One concern expressed was that the "packed curriculum" would disadvantage less financially secure students (Memo from Sociology). It should be noted that the program will provide both a full-time and a part-time option from its very first year. Sociology's memo also requested that EL and the program proposers work to build scholarships that would be available to financially disadvantaged students from underrepresented populations. While there is currently no line item in the Social Work budget to cover scholarships, students in this program will be eligible for financial aid available to students in stateside programs. Additionally, several financial aid programs exist specifically to support MSW students, including the California Social Work Education Center (CalSWEC), for which CSUSM's MSW students could become eligible beginning possibly as early as Year 2 of our program. Further, EL is currently exploring options to develop scholarship programs for students in self-support programs (MSW response memo, p. 3).

**Resource Implications:** This is a resource-intensive program, and a significant portion of costs is driven largely by accreditation requirements established and evaluated by the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE). For example, accreditation requirements specify Student-Faculty Ratios (SFRs) at 12:1 or below (P-form, p. 27). As a self-support program, all resources must be funded through tuition and fees. Thus, it is critical that program costs are carefully considered in advance of the program's launch. The draft budget developed by Extended Learning has been made available on BLP's Community page. We explore some of the critical resource requirements here.

#### *Faculty*

Searches for two tenure-track faculty positions (including one faculty position to serve as MSW Director) are currently underway;<sup>2</sup> if the program is approved by the Academic Senate and ultimately by the Chancellor's Office, a full-time lecturer will also be appointed to serve as Field Director before the program launches. Once the program is launched, CSWE requires that two more tenure-track faculty be hired by the start of its second year and that at least one more position must be added by its third year. Lecturer faculty will be hired as needed to cover individual classes.

#### *Space*

No labs or other "clinical facilities" have been requested for the program. The MSW will be offered through use of existing classrooms on the CSUSM campus, so Academic Planning & Resources (APR) will need to accommodate classroom requests within existing instructional space. The program will also

---

<sup>2</sup> Per CSWE accreditation requirements, two tenure-track faculty positions must be in place before the program launches. Should the Senate decline to approve the program, the faculty searches will be cancelled; should the program be approved by CSUSM but delayed past Fall 2013 (for example, pending approval from the Chancellor's Office), the Dean of CEHHS and the Interim Provost have agreed that the tenure-track faculty members hired would teach courses for Human Development, also within the College of Education & Health & Human Services. It should also be noted that the CBA does allow that if no program exists for tenure-track faculty members to offer, then layoff procedures could potentially be implemented for such faculty. Questions have been raised about whether the Director will be hired as a faculty or MPP position: according to CEHHS Dean Janet Powell, this will be a faculty position.



require substantial office space to accommodate anticipated hires (6 full-time faculty positions plus lecturers by the program's third year of operation, as well as 1 staff support position). The offices will be assigned from within University Hall; a consultative process within CEHHS is currently underway for assigning office spaces for faculty and staff throughout University Hall.<sup>3</sup>

#### *Staff*

The program will require 1 full-time staff support position, to be funded by Extended Learning. While tenure-track faculty members and the assigned Field Director will carry significant advising responsibilities, students' degree advising will be provided by staff within Extended Learning. According to EL's Dean Schroder, 2 full-time staff members from Extended Learning will be available to work with MSW students.

#### *Library*

Library database subscriptions have been a matter of ongoing discussion between the program champions and the Library. Research conducted by the Library indicates that a fully-funded program could require up to \$26,000/year in subscription fees (*not* allowing for standard inflationary rate increases). Based on consultation with curriculum consultants from SDSU and further discussions with the Library and the program's faculty champions, Extended Learning has currently budgeted \$12,524/year for the first 5 years of the program,<sup>4</sup> but this number would need to be revisited after incoming faculty members can be consulted. Library faculty and staff will monitor usage of research materials as well as Inter-Library Loan (ILL) and other assistance requests to evaluate whether/how the subscriptions and support services need to be expanded.

#### *IITS*

Per the existing Extended Learning's existing MOU with CSUSM, IITS services are funded by "Direct chargeback for pre-approved services on a billed-quarterly basis (to include both instructional and administrative services)." Also, "Added contract services are paid separately."

**Potential Impact on Other Programs:** The Department of Sociology expressed concerns that launching an MSW at CSUSM could reduce demand for the existing Master's in Sociological Practice (MASP) degree as well as the B.A. in Sociology if "undergraduates assume a line of progress from an HD B.A. to the MSW" (Memo from SOC). MSW champions responded to a request from SOC that CEHHS make clear "how program leaders and advisers will distinguish the MSW from the MASP degree when they are asked to do so by prospective students" (Memo from SOC). As noted by MSW champions, MSW students will be recruited from a range of undergraduate majors (rather than just HD); the MSW champions also urged collaboration between SOC and MSW to help Undergraduate Advising Services and Career Center staff to understand the difference between the theoretical, research-oriented MASP and the direct practice and clinically-oriented MSW degree (MSW response memo).

---

<sup>3</sup> At BLP's request, CEHH's Dean Powell provided a breakdown of anticipated office space needs across CEHHS and available within University Hall as the building is renovated over the next several years. According to the Dean's office and as reviewed by BLP, the planned renovation of University Hall will result in sufficient office space to house all tenure-track faculty within CEHHS into the next several years. According to Dean Powell, office space for tenure-track and lecturer faculty as well as staff will be allocated through a consultative process to include the entire College.

<sup>4</sup> Depending on enrollment demand, in Year 2 one or both of the two concentrations will be offered: 1) Behavioral Health; and 2) Children, Youth, and Families. It is anticipated that any optional specializations (and resulting Library subscription needs) will evolve as the first cohorts move through the program and as the program builds out.

### Masters of Social Work

Total Units	School (CSU/California)	Length	*Total Cost: Tuition	Per Semester	per unit
63	CSU Chico	2	\$ 16,412.00	\$ 4,103.00	\$ 260.51
60	CSU Dominguez Hill	2	\$ 12,696.00	\$ 3,174.00	\$ 211.60
60	CSU Fullerton	2	\$ 13,476.00	\$ 3,369.00	\$ 224.60
60	CSU Long Beach	2	\$ 13,476.00	\$ 3,369.00	\$ 224.60
63	CSU Northridge	2	\$ 13,860.00	\$ 3,465.00	\$ 220.00
60	CSU Sacramento	2	\$ 10,944.00	\$ 2,736.00	\$ 182.40
60	Humboldt State University	2	\$ 12,696.00	\$ 3,174.00	\$ 211.60
60	San Diego State University	2	\$ 15,688.00	\$ 3,922.00	\$ 261.47
54	San Jose State University	2	\$ 13,476.00	\$ 3,369.00	\$ 249.56
60	UC Berkeley	2	\$ 56,940.00		\$ 949.00
78	Loma Linda University	2	\$ 51,480.00		\$ 660.00
60	USC	2	\$ 85,200.00		\$ 1,420.00
60	Azusa Pacific University	2	\$ 33,600.00		\$ 560.00
Average 2 year program			\$ 26,918.77		\$ 433.49

Total Units	School (National)	Length	Cost: Tuition		per unit
61	Hawaii Pacific University	2 yrs.	44,835		735
50	University of Denver	1 or 2 yrs.	40,000		800
60	Morgan State University	2 yrs.	24,000		400
71	Boston College	3 or 4 yrs.	63,900		900
60	New Mexico State University	2 or 3 or 4 yrs.	40,020		667
60	Arizona State University	2 or 3 yrs.	36,000		600
60	Millersville University	2 or 4 yrs.	25,200		420
52	Walla Walla University	2yrs.	27,820		535
Average 2 year program			\$ 37,721.88		\$ 632.13
Average CSU/CA/National			\$ 33,819.52		\$ 612.26

60	***CSU San Marcos Graduate Tuition		\$ 13,476.00	\$ 3,369.00	\$ 224.60
60	EL MSW		\$ 28,500.00		\$ 475.00

\*Total cost of tuition based on 4 academic semesters including summer term

\*\*Most CSU MSW programs are funding by state support

\*\*\*Tuition based on Fall 2012 Tuition and Fees

\*San Marcos will be the only self support 2 year program

## **UCC Report:**

After careful review and extensive discussion with the originators and among UCC members, UCC approved The Master of Social Work (MSW) Program. The program is a two year, 60 units, and full-time graduate program. It consists of 30 units in the generalist foundation year and 30 units in the concentration year. Students will choose one of these two concentrations: Children, Youth, and Families (CYF), or Behavioral Health (BH). Students in either the CYF or BH concentrations may select one optional specialization from one of these two areas: Health Care and Older adults, or Military and Veterans' Services. The MSW program will adhere to the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2008 Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) for assessments and student learning outcomes. To make its graduates to be eligible for licensure as a clinical social worker in California or any other state, the University will apply for MSW program national accreditation by the Commission on Accreditation of the CSWE.

---

### **Proposed Catalog Language for the Master of Social Work:**

The Master of Social Work (MSW) program is designed to develop culturally competent, ethical, and effective professionals for direct social work practice with diverse populations. Students choose from concentrations in Children, Youth and Families, and Behavioral Health, with optional specializations in Healthcare and Older Adults, and Military and Veterans' Services. The MSW program will prepare students for direct practice in public, private, and non-profit agencies and organizations in social services, child welfare services, human services, healthcare, and mental health settings.

#### **Mission**

The Mission of the Master of Social Work program at California State University San Marcos is to provide an academic and professional education of the highest quality to students seeking to become committed social work professionals engaged in direct social work practice in culturally, economically, and socially diverse rural and urban environments, especially in San Diego and Riverside Counties and the Southern California region. The program's concentrations focus on serving the needs of children, youth, and families, and caring for the behavioral health needs of individuals and families in our communities. Working primarily in public, private, and nonprofit agencies, graduates help culturally-diverse individuals, families, organizations, and communities recognize their strengths, access needed resources, and empower themselves to reach their goals and potential.

The goals of the MSW program are based on the mission of the MSW program, and are intended to further operationalize the mission. They are applicable to both the foundation and advanced concentration curriculum. These goals are listed below.

The following eleven program goals are based upon the mission of the MSW program and are consistent and congruent with the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) Educational Policies 1.0, 1.1, and 1.2.

#### **Program Goals:**

1. To provide a curriculum built on a liberal arts perspective that is evidence-based with an emphasis on critical thinking in the examination, analysis, and communication of relevant information;
2. To provide a curriculum that is current and relevant regarding social work knowledge, skills, and values required to meet the service needs of the diverse populations of San Diego and Riverside Counties and the Southern California region;
3. To educate social work professionals for practice in public social service and not-for-profit organizations accountable for responding to societal problems such as poverty, mental illness, family instability, and child abuse;
4. To educate social work professionals who can assist individuals, families, and groups at various levels of functioning in the accomplishment of self-determined life goals and in the resolution of difficulties and distress through problem solving;
5. To prepare students to aid and support improved social functioning of individuals, families, and groups, using a range of planned prevention and intervention methods, empowering the recipients of services, minimizing risk factors, and promoting protective factors;
6. To educate and develop students to understand the impact of racism, sexism, and other forms of oppression and discrimination, and to have the skills to address the social problems that are a result of these forms of oppression;
7. To prepare students to adhere to the ethical codes and principles set forth by the National Association of Social Workers;
8. To prepare social work students to understand the role that economic, political, and various social systems contribute to social and economic justice and injustice;
9. To prepare social work students to be effective and responsive facilitators of change in increasingly complex, and socially, culturally, and racially diverse communities, and reflect the professional social worker's commitment to social justice in a culturally- and economically-diverse environment;
10. To prepare social work students to develop and use research, knowledge, and skills to support their practice;
11. To create social workers who are committed to their own continued education because they understand active participation in life-long learning is an important aspect to effective and professional social work practice.

#### **Student Learning Outcomes:**

Upon completion of the MSW program, graduates will demonstrate the following 14 program objectives:

1. Understand and are committed to the role of social work as a profession, including its values and ethics.

2. Analyze and apply knowledge of human behavior in the context of social environments from a biopsychosocial strengths-based perspective using applicable theories and research.
3. Understand, value, and respect multiculturalism, as well as recognize and apply skills and techniques to be agents of change to issues of racism, sexism, homophobia and other forms of oppression, discrimination, and social and economic injustice at the individual, family, organizational, and governmental levels.
4. Use practice communication skills necessary for effective social work practice with systems of all sizes.
5. Apply theoretical frameworks that explain individual and family development across the life span, as well as developmental theories that apply to groups, organizations and communities.
6. Apply knowledge and skills of a generalist social work perspective that is contextually and culturally competent.
7. Develop and practice intervention techniques that are effective in advancing social and economic justice.
8. An ability to analyze social welfare policies for their effectiveness in alleviating social problems.
9. Utilize advocacy and practice techniques for influencing change and strategies that are consistent with social work values and promote social advancement.
10. Apply knowledge and skills of advanced social work practice in the specialized areas of Children, Youth and Families and Behavioral Health.
11. An ability to evaluate research findings for their contribution to evidence based social work practice.
12. Commitment and ability to assess one's own skills and techniques in practice.
13. Able to function effectively within the structure of organizations and various service delivery systems, and apply skills and knowledge to facilitate change necessary to promote social work values and ethics.
14. Utilize supervision and consultation appropriately.

### **Admission Requirements and Application**

Admission into the Master of Social Work program requires an undergraduate degree from an accredited college or university. Computer literacy is expected. The bachelor's degree course of study typically includes a liberal arts foundation and coursework in the social and behavioral sciences. The appropriateness of undergraduate preparation and relevant experience will influence admission to the program.

Specific admission criteria are:

- Applicant must meet the general requirements for admission to graduate studies at CSUSM.
- Overall minimum undergraduate grade point average of 3.0 in the last 60 semester (90 quarter) units completed, and no less than a minimum of a 2.85 overall undergraduate GPA.
- Completion of an undergraduate course in statistics, preferably in the social and behavioral sciences.
- TOEFL/TWE if appropriate with a minimum TOEFL score of 550 and a 4.5 on the TWE
- Submission of a completed MSW program application.
- One set of official transcripts from college and universities attended with official verification of graduation.
- A personal statement (500-750 words) stating the applicant's reasons for pursuing the MSW degree, describing the applicant's relevant work/volunteer experience, and demonstrating the applicant's past academic performance. The personal statement must conform to the guidelines as specified in the Admissions Packet.
- Three letters of recommendation, including at least two academic references for those applicants who have earned their undergraduate degree within three years of applying for the MSW program. Applicants who have earned their undergraduate degree more than three years prior to applying are expected to provide at least one academic reference letter. These three letters of recommendation consist of submitting the Recommender Forms as specified in the Admissions Packet. <http://www.csusm.edu/el/degreeprograms/msw/mswrecommendationform.pdf>.
- A resume verifying volunteer, internship, or other paid or unpaid experience in social work or human services positions, with preferably 500 or more total service hours in this field.
- In accordance with Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) standards, the MSW program does not grant academic credit for previous life or work experience.

### **Degree Requirements and Courses**

The Master of Social Work (MSW) is a two year, 60 units, full-time graduate program. The social work Direct Practice curriculum consists of 30 units in the generalist foundation year and 30 units in the concentration year. Students will choose one of these two concentrations: Children, Youth, and Families (CYF), or Behavioral Health (BH). Students in either the CYF or BH concentrations may select one optional specialization from one of these two areas: Health care and older adults, or military and veterans' services.

Students in the MSW program must maintain a 3.0 minimum GPA to graduate. Any units applied to the MSW program and not earned in residence at CSU San Marcos must have approval by the student's graduate advisor and the MSW Program Director or designee. All requirements for the MSW degree must be completed within five years from starting the MSW coursework. Those students electing to complete a traditional qualitative or quantitative thesis must secure IRB approval, have supervision by a thesis advisor, and successfully complete an oral defense of their thesis. Students not doing a traditional thesis must successfully complete a capstone project, inclusive of a grant proposal, community risk assessment, curriculum development, historical thesis, policy analysis, or agency based project.

During the program, students will complete 1,000 hours of field experience in local and regional social services or related agencies or organizations. Students must maintain a minimum 3.0 GPA in the MSW program, earn Credit in all Field Instruction courses and Thesis and Capstone Project/ Thesis courses and earn at least a "C" (2.0) in all other required courses.

### Course of Study

Students in full-time (2 year) attendance will proceed with the graduate coursework in the following manner:

#### Year 1: Foundation Year Courses

##### Fall Semester: 15 Units

MSW 500  
MSW 510  
MSW 520  
MSW 540  
MSW 525

##### Spring Semester: 15 Units

MSW 501  
MSW 511  
MSW 512  
MSW 541  
MSW 550

#### Year 2: Concentration Year Courses

##### Children, Youth, and Families (CYF) Concentration

##### Fall Semester: 15 units

MSW 630A  
MSW 642  
MSW 602  
MSW 650  
Elective

##### Spring Semester: 15 units

MSW 631A  
MSW 635A  
MSW 643  
MSW 698  
Elective

##### Behavioral Health (BH) Concentration

##### Fall Semester: 15 units

MSW 630B  
MSW 642  
MSW 602  
MSW 650  
MSW 6xx

##### Spring Semester: 15 units

MSW 631B  
MSW 635B  
MSW 643  
MSW 698  
Elective

Students in part-time (3 year) attendance will proceed with the graduate coursework in the following manner:

#### Year 1: Foundation Course

##### Fall Semester: 6 units

MSW 500  
MSW 520

##### Spring Semester 6 units

MSW 501  
MSW 525

##### Summer Semester 6 units

MSW 602  
Elective

#### Year 2: Foundation Course

##### Fall Semester: 9 units

MSW 510  
MSW 540  
MSW 550

##### Spring Semester 9 units

MSW 511  
MSW 512  
MSW 541

##### Summer Semester 6 units

MSW 650  
Elective

#### Year 3: Concentration Course

##### Fall Semester: 9 units

MSW 630A  
MSW 642  
MSW 698

##### Children, Youth, and Families (CYF) Concentration

##### Spring Semester: 9 units

MSW 631A  
MSW 635A  
MSW 643

##### Behavioral Health (BH) Concentration

##### Fall Semester: 9 units

MSW 630B  
MSW 642  
MSW 698

##### Spring Semester: 9 units

MSW 631B  
MSW 635B  
MSW 643

### Advancement to Candidacy

The student will advance to Master's Degree candidacy upon the completion of 30 semester credit hours of coursework, satisfying the Graduate Writing Assessment Requirement ( GWAR), and approval of a Capstone Project/ Thesis proposal by the student's Capstone Project/ Thesis Advisor. The GWAR may be completed either by an acceptable standardized test score for the Analytical Writing subtest of the GMAT or GRE, or a paper(s) that receive(s) a passing score as described in University policies.

### Continuation

Students must maintain a 3.0 GPA and a grade of at least C in all classes; if the cumulative GPA falls below 3.0 for two consecutive semesters, the student will be dropped from the program. A student who earns less than a C in any course will be allowed to repeat the course one time with the next cohort. Failure to pass the course a second time with a C or better will provide justification for disqualification from the program. Students may repeat up to two (2) courses in order to meet graduation requirements. Students must be continuously enrolled unless they apply for a leave of absence. Students who are not continuously enrolled, or who have a leave of absence of longer than two semesters, must petition the program for continuation. All requirements for the degree must be finished within five years after the beginning of any coursework in the graduate program. The program will disqualify an enrolled

student whose academic achievement or field practicum performance does not meet the minimum standards of the social work profession.

**New Courses being approved together with the MSW:**

MSW	500	Human Behavior & Social Environment I
MSW	501	Human Behavior & Social Environment II
MSW	510	Generalist Practice I
MSW	511	Generalist Practice II: Individuals, Families & Groups
MSW	512	Generalist Practice III: Organizations and Communities
MSW	520	Social Welfare Policies
MSW	525	Law and Ethics in Social Work
MSW	540	Field Instruction I
MSW	541	Field Instruction II
MSW	550	Research Methods in Social Work
MSW	602	Human Behavior & Social Environment III
MSW	630A	Advanced Direct Practice I: Individuals/CYF
MSW	630B	Advanced Direct Practice I: Individuals/BH
MSW	631A	Advanced Direct Practice II: Groups & Families/CYF
MSW	631B	Advanced Direct Practice II: Groups & Families BH
MSW	635A	Advanced Policy: CYF
MSW	635B	Advanced Policy: BH
MSW	642	Advanced Field Instruction I
MSW	643	Advanced Field Instruction II
MSW	650	Advanced Research Methods in Social Work
MSW	655	Social Work Leadership Practice
MSW	661	Social Work in Health Care
MSW	662	Social Work with Older Adults
MSW	667	Addictions: Assessment and Treatment
MSW	670	Cognitive and Brief Therapies
MSW	671	Direct Practice with Military and Veteran Families
MSW	672	Direct Practice with Military Personnel and Veterans
MSW	690	Selected Topics in Social Work
MSW	695	Capstone Project/Thesis II
MSW	698	Thesis I
MSW	699	Capstone Project/Thesis Extension

## FAC: RTP for SSP-ARs

**Rationale:** As a representative of SSP, AR counselor faculty, Fritz Kreisler (Lead Counselor, Counseling Services) brought to FAC a revision of the current SSPAR RTP document (dated August 2000). The current document is available at [http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/student\\_services\\_professional\\_academic\\_related\\_retention\\_tenure.html](http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/student_services_professional_academic_related_retention_tenure.html). The document has been thoroughly revised to capture current best practices and for clarity. Because so many changes were made, the proposed document does not track the changes. The changes are summarized below:

Because of the recent approval of the student mental health fee, CSUSM has, for the first time in more than a decade, been able to expand the staff and hire tenure track counselor faculty. The RTP policy in place was quite old and obsolete in a number of ways (for example, the administrative organization describing positions responsible for evaluating the faculty had become non-existent). There have also been important changes in the University's RTP policy and in the CBA during this period. To a large degree, then, this is a new policy rather than a set of corrections to the old one, although the core of it remains unchanged.

For counselor faculty, each faculty member has a position description that, in some detail, describes the duties which that faculty member is expected to perform, including the relative amount of time that each duty entails. The counselor faculty are potentially a varied group in terms of their duties, so it is not possible, in the RTP policy, to be very specific about standards. Fortunately, the position description goes a long way towards defining these expectations, and each faculty member has one. Nevertheless, three general areas are common to all counselor faculty: professional performance, professional development, and service (to the department, division, university, and community). The new RTP policy tries to describe these and give examples of the kinds of activities and engagements crucial to each, since each will weigh crucially in evaluations. The policy thus tries to be as explicit as possible, considering the variability of the specific duties of each faculty member; it describes, hovering above these position-specific duties and standards, the three broad domains expected of each faculty member; and it acknowledges the position description as the ultimate source of information on the basis of which faculty will be evaluated. The new policy has been vetted and approved by FAC as being consistent with both the University's RTP policy and with the CBA.

FAC approved the proposed document on 3/4/13.

**Definition:** Standards governing RTP process for counselor faculty (SSP,AR) in the Division of Student Affairs.

**Authority:** CSU Unit 3 Collective Bargaining Agreement; CSU San Marcos University Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Policy

**Scope:** Eligible Unit 3 Counselor faculty in the Division of Student Affairs at California State University San Marcos.

### Procedure

#### I. RTP STANDARDS for SSP, AR Faculty

##### A. Preamble

1. This document sets forth general standards and criteria for retention, tenure, and promotion of full-time counselor faculty.
2. The provisions of this document are to be implemented in conformity with University RTP Policies and Procedures (Retention, Tenure and Promotion Standards); the CSU-CFA Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), Articles 13, 14, 15; and the University Policy on Faculty Ethics.

##### B. Definitions of Terms and Abbreviations

1. The definitions, terms, and abbreviations are defined in the University RTP Standards document. For clarity, the use of "is" is informative, "shall" is mandatory, "may" is permissive, "should" is conditional, and "will" is intentional.

- 53
- 54 2. A “standard” is a reference point or formalized expectation against which progress can be
- 55 measured for retention, tenure, and promotion.
- 56
- 57 3. Faculty have a right to performance expectations that are clearly articulated. Divisional and
- 58 departmental RTP Standards provide consistency in guiding tenure-track faculty in the
- 59 preparation of their working personnel action files (WPAFs).
- 60
- 61 4. Divisional and departmental RTP Standards educate others outside of the discipline, including
- 62 deans, university committees, and the provost, with respect to the practices and expectations of a
- 63 particular department/discipline/field.
- 64

## 65 **II. INTRODUCTION AND GUIDING PRINCIPLES**

- 66 A. All standards and criteria reflect the University, Division and Department mission and vision
- 67 statements and advance the goals embodied in those statements.
- 68
- 69 B. The performance areas that shall be evaluated include professional performance, professional
- 70 development, and service to the department, Division, University and community. While there
- 71 will be diversity in the contributions of counselor faculty members, the Division affirms the
- 72 university requirement of sustained high quality performance and encourages flexibility in the
- 73 relative emphasis placed on each performance area. Candidates must submit a curriculum vita
- 74 (CV) and narrative statements describing the summary of professional performance, professional
- 75 development, and service for the review period. The faculty member must meet the standards in
- 76 each of the three areas.
- 77
- 78 C. Items assessed in one area of performance shall not be duplicated in any other area. Items shall be
- 79 cross-referenced in the CV, narrative statements, and WPAF to demonstrate connections across
- 80 all three documents. Candidates who integrate their activities across areas may explain how their
- 81 work meets given standards/criteria for each area.
- 82
- 83 D. The Division and Department recognizes innovative and unusual contributions.
- 84
- 85 E. Retention, tenure, and promotion decisions are made on the basis of the evaluation of individual
- 86 performance. Ultimate responsibility for understanding the standards, meeting the standards, and
- 87 effectively communicating how they have met the standards rests with the candidate. In addition
- 88 to this document, the candidate should refer to and follow the University RTP Standards.
- 89 Candidates should also note available opportunities that provide guidance on the WPAF and
- 90 describe the responsibilities of the candidate in the review process (e.g., Provost’s RTP meetings;
- 91 Faculty Center Professional Development, and advice and counsel by tenured faculty).
- 92 Candidates are encouraged to avail themselves of such opportunities.

## 93 **III. GENERAL STANDARDS**

- 94 A. Retention: A positive recommendation for retention requires that the candidate’s record clearly
- 95 meet the articulated standards for the granting of a retention decision in each of the three areas:
- 96 professional performance, professional development, and service to the Department, Division,
- 97 University, and community.
- 98
- 99 1. Candidates for retention will show effectiveness in each area of performance and
- 100 demonstrate progress toward meeting the tenure requirements in the areas of
- 101 professional performance, professional development, and service to the Department,
- 102 Division, University, and community.
- 103
- 104 B. Tenure and/or Promotion: A positive recommendation for tenure and/or promotion requires that
- 105 the candidate’s record clearly meet the articulated standards for the granting of a



100 tenure/promotion decision in each of the three areas: professional performance, professional  
101 development, and service to the Department, Division, University, and community.

- 102 1. Candidates for the rank SSP, AR II require an established record of effectiveness  
103 in professional performance, professional development, and service to the  
104 Department, Division, University, and community.
  - 105 2. Candidates for the rank of SSP, AR III require, in addition to continued  
106 effectiveness, an established record of initiative and leadership in professional  
107 performance, professional development, and service to the Department, Division,  
108 University, and community. Promotion to the rank of SSP, AR III will be based  
109 on the record of the individual since promotion to the rank of SSP, AR II.
  - 110 3. The granting of tenure at any rank recognizes accomplishments and services  
111 performed by the candidate during their career. The record must show sustained  
112 and continuous activities and accomplishments. The granting of tenure is an  
113 expression of confidence that the faculty member has both the commitment to  
114 and the potential for continued development and accomplishment throughout  
115 their career. Tenure will be granted only to individuals whose performance  
116 records meet the standards required to earn promotion to the rank at which tenure  
117 will be granted.
- 118 C. Early Tenure (prior to the 6th year in rank): This option for SSP, AR I is considered an exception.  
119 A positive recommendation for early tenure requires that the candidate's record clearly meet the  
120 articulated standards for the granting of a tenure and/or promotion decision in ALL areas. To be  
121 eligible for early tenure, a candidate must show a sustained record of successful experience at a  
122 university, and that experience must include at least one full year at California State University  
123 San Marcos prior to the year of review for tenure (CBA – 13.3.)
- 124 D. Faculty who are hired at an advanced rank without tenure may apply for tenure after two years of  
125 service at CSUSM (i.e., in fall of their third year at CSUSM). A positive recommendation  
126 requires that the candidate's record at CSUSM clearly demonstrate a continued level of  
127 accomplishment in all areas and, together with the candidate's previous record, be consistent with  
128 the articulated standards for the granting of tenure at the faculty member's rank.

#### 129 **IV. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE**

- 130 A. Because the SSP, AR classification is flexible enough to potentially include professionals with  
131 varied responsibilities within the Division and University, the standards that apply to Professional  
132 Performance will be drawn directly from each employee's Staff Position Description. Sections 4  
133 and 5 of the faculty member's Staff Position Description list in detail the Major Responsibilities  
134 of the position. For each Major Responsibility, the specific duties as well as the relative  
135 importance (and expected time commitment) of each is delineated. Hence, each counselor faculty  
136 member has a clear set of expectations with respect to their responsibilities as an employee. The  
137 Position Description shall be included in the WPAF and is the basis of evaluation standards for  
138 each faculty member's reviews.
- 139 B. Candidates will provide a clear and concise reflective narrative statement of their professional  
140 activities and performance thereof. This may include a summary of the most important areas of  
141 accomplishment during the review period, connecting these to the mission of the Department  
142 and/or Division.
- 143 C. Candidates will include evidence of accomplishments of their duties in this domain, appropriate  
144 to those duties as delineated in the Position Description. For example:
- 145 1. If the candidate's Position Description entailed a high importance and time commitment  
146 to clinical work, the candidate would provide evidence that the expected time was in fact  
147 invested in this area, as well as evidence of the quality of performance (such as the Client  
148 Satisfaction Survey, letters from colleagues, etc.).
  - 149 2. If the Position Description included supervisory duties, the candidate would list the  
150 names of pre- and/or post-doctoral supervisees, feedback/evaluation forms from  
151 supervisees, and endorsements by colleagues of participation in the internship program.

- 152 3. If the Position Description included the expectation that the candidate offer workshops or  
153 similar outreach programs to the campus, they would include a list of programs they have  
154 offered in the period being reviewed, as well as participant evaluation forms, and, e.g.,  
155 letters from university departments that co-sponsored or hosted these programs, if  
156 applicable.  
157

## 158 **V. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

- 159 A. It is essential to the University's mission that each SSP, AR demonstrate continued commitment,  
160 dedication, and growth as a member of the profession. Thus, it is essential that SSP, ARs  
161 conform *at a minimum* (where applicable) to state-mandated requirements for continuing  
162 education to maintain professional licensure and high ethical standards. Such continuing  
163 education must consist of courses approved by state and/or national professional accreditation  
164 boards. It is expected that the professional development activities contribute to the employee's  
165 growth in areas directly pertinent to the responsibilities and duties listed in their Position  
166 Description. For counselor faculty who supervise interns, at least one course in supervision will  
167 be taken within each (2-year) licensure cycle. In addition, it is the employee's responsibility, in  
168 accordance with state licensing laws, to stay abreast of the laws and ethics of the profession.  
169 B. Candidates will provide a clear and concise reflective narrative statement of their professional  
170 development during the period under review. This will include a list of the courses taken,  
171 connecting these to the department and/or Division mission, especially describing how these  
172 contributed to growth in areas pertinent to the duties of the candidate and to their overall  
173 trajectory of growth as a professional.  
174 C. Candidates will include copies of certification of licensure renewal, if applicable, during the  
175 period of review.  
176 D. If there were additional accomplishments within the Professional Development area, candidates  
177 will describe these activities and provide evidence thereof. For example, if the candidate  
178 conducted research (for the Department, Division, University, or professional field of inquiry) or  
179 scholarly activities, or edited scholarly publications, a list of this work should be included in this  
180 section of the WPAF.  
181 E. Tenure and/or Promotion from SSP, AR II to SSP, AR III  
182 In addition to sustained growth and maintaining licensure, candidates for promotion to SSP, AR  
183 III should demonstrate leadership and training beyond this minimum. This may be accomplished  
184 by developing a special expertise in at least one area of practice pertinent to serving our students  
185 and University. This would lead to becoming an expert so that this training might allow others to  
186 refer certain students to the candidate and for the candidate to offer more intensive specialized  
187 training to, e.g., interns or other appropriate staff. The area(s) of expertise need not be limited to  
188 applied clinical practice, but must pertain directly to some aspect of the candidate's duties and  
189 leadership roles within the Department and the University.  
190

## 191 **VI. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR SERVICE TO THE DEPARTMENT, DIVISION, 192 UNIVERSITY, AND COMMUNITY**

193 The Division of Student Affairs places a high value on service as an essential component of faculty work.  
194 The Division views activities that enhance the functioning of the candidate's Department, as well as the  
195 Division, University, and wider community as integral components of faculty service. Service activities  
196 are expected to advance the mission statements of the Department, Division, and University.  
197 Evaluation of service will focus on determining a profile of the candidate's service activity. To determine  
198 such a profile, service will be assessed by holistic evaluation of the candidates' reflective statement,  
199 service work, and selected items that the candidate believes best reflect their progress, as described in the  
200 University RTP Standards document and further illustrated below.

- 201 A. Candidates will provide a clear and concise reflective self-assessment of their service activities  
202 and the impact of this work. Candidates may include statements regarding any short-term and  
203 long-term goals for service activities, connection to the mission of the Department, Division,  
204 and/or University, reasons for their involvement, and the impact of their service activities.

- 205 B. Evidence of Service to the Department may include, but is not limited to:
- 206 • Leadership/participation in Departmental accreditation efforts
- 207 • Development of new programs for the Department
- 208 • Development of policies, procedures, and/or protocols within the Department
- 209 • Collaboration with colleagues within the Department
- 210 C. Evidence of Service to the Division and/or University may include, but is not limited to:
- 211 • Leadership/membership in groups that carry on the business of the Division or University
- 212 (e.g., standing committees [elected or appointed], *ad hoc* committees, shared governance
- 213 bodies, task forces, etc.)
- 214 • University professional activities (e.g., service toward university accreditation, etc.)
- 215 • Role as an advisor for a student organization
- 216 • Mentoring of students, faculty, staff (e.g., in the Faculty Mentoring Program)
- 217 D. Evidence of Service to the Community may include, but is not limited to:
- 218 • Active participation, including leadership roles, in professional organizations at the county,
- 219 state, and/or national/international levels
- 220 • Consultation and expert services to community agencies
- 221 • Providing continuing education for community
- 222 • Service Awards and Special Recognition
- 223 E. Assessment of Service
- 224 1. General Standards
- 225 Candidates will be assessed on the quality of the evidence provided, the evidence of
- 226 sustained service, and the totality of their work. When judged as a group, not one
- 227 indicator may be used to determine the overall rating of service activity. Note: Submitting
- 228 letters from committee chairs about attendance is not considered best practice.
- 229 2. Candidates for retention must provide appropriate and effective evidence of significant
- 230 internal service.
- 231 3. Candidates for promotion from SSP, AR I to SSP, AR II must provide evidence of
- 232 effective sustained internal and external service contributions.
- 233 4. Candidates for promotion from SSP, AR II to SSP, AR III must provide evidence of
- 234 leadership in one or more service activities in addition to demonstrating sustained active
- 235 participation in both internal and external service activities.

## GEC: Lower Division General Education Certification Forms

***Rationale:*** In the spring of 2012, Academic Senate approved lower division general education learning outcomes (GELOs). These forms have been updated to include the new GELOs, programmatic goals and LEAP guidelines, all needed for WASC accreditation. The programmatic goals are driven by the Association of American Colleges and Universities' Liberal Education and America's Promise (LEAP) Initiative, which was put into place by EO 1065.

*From EO 1065:*

*Each CSU campus shall define its GE student learning outcomes, to fit within the framework of the four "Essential Learning Outcomes" drawn from the **Liberal Education and American Promise** (LEAP) campaign, an initiative of the Association of American Colleges and Universities.*

- *Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World*
- *Intellectual and Practical Skills*
- *Personal and Social Responsibility*
- *Integrative Learning*

*The forms have also been updated to allow for streamlined submission and review of the courses.*

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A1: Oral Communication**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> ____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date	
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>				_____ <i>DC Initial</i>
	Support	Do not support*		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
_____ GEO Coordinator	_____ Date	_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	
	Support	Do not Support*		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	
	Approve	Do not Approve		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date			

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A1: Oral Communication**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: A1 Oral Communication General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content.**  
*[Please type responses into the tables.]*

<b>Oral Communication GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
A1.1 Find and evaluate a variety of source material in order to plan, develop and craft extemporaneous public presentations.		
A1.2 Deliver extemporaneous, in-person presentations in English that contain logically coherent and adequately supported assertions, organized to intentionally affect the specific listening audience.		
A1.3 Speak with confidence to a live audience in ways that reflect her or his distinct perspective and identity.		
A1.4 Apply communication theory, concepts, principles to make rhetorical choices (regarding language, organization, content/support, and delivery) to be effective with a variety of audiences and purposes (i.e., inform, persuade, entertain, commemorate).		
A1.5. Actively listen, critically evaluate and thoughtfully respond to the diverse perspectives of all members of the community.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of all Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A1: Oral Communication**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All A1 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
Each course shall require students to present multiple major speech assignments. These speech assignments, delivered in-person, in English, before a full classroom audience, shall be individually graded and, taken together, will account for at least 50 percent of the course grade.	
Each course shall include several additional speaking assignments and exercises designed to enable students to master the skills required for the major assignments and/or to develop skills in additional forms of public speaking.	
Various written assignments to support the speaking experience shall be assigned and instructor feedback provided on these assignments.	
Each course shall include readings, lecture/discussions, and/or other sources of foundational knowledge as described in the GE Handbook.	
The course must accommodate students' multiple oral presentations.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A2: Written Communication**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*		Support      Do not support*
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ GEW Director	_____ Date	_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*		Support      Do not Support*
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Approve      Do not Approve		
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		
_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date		

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:                  Phone                  Email:



**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A2: Written Communication**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: A2 Written Communication General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content.**  
[Please type responses into the tables.]

<b>Written Communication GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
A2.1: Formulate/construct a readily identifiable, specific, arguable, and suitably complex thesis (e.g., provide a focus).		
A2.2: Use substantial and varied evidence to effectively support the thesis.		
A2.3: Compose a clear and compelling introduction and conclusion.		
A2.4: Compose and organize paragraphs with smooth and logical transitions between them.		
A2.5: Consistently construct clear sentences using proper mechanics (e.g., spelling, punctuation) such that the work is concise, fluid and engaging.		
A2.6: Construct written work to be effectively tailored to a particular audience and purpose.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)	Do not complete. This information is provided in Part A.	Do not complete. This information is provided in Part A.
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A2: Written Communication**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All A2 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Writing assignments shall give students repeated practice in pre-writing, organizing, writing, revising, and editing. A minimum of six essays, totaling a minimum of 8000 words, is required.	
Reading for the course will be extensive and intensive and will be linked to the division or College offering the course. It shall include useful models of writing for academic, general, and special audiences.	
The course will include a substantial written product, such as a long essay, a portfolio of written work, or a final essay exam, as the course's culminating activity. The culminating activity will incorporate revision (multiple drafts).	
At the discretion of the university or the instructor, students may be required to attend tutoring sessions as a corequisite to completing the course.	
Courses approved for Written Communication shall include an assessable Information and Computer Literacy component that will require students to develop an understanding of the core information sources and literature of the discipline.	
Student learning shall be measured by formative (process-oriented, such as comments and grades on writing) and summative (through the culminating activity) methods.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A3: Critical Thinking**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*		Support      Do not support*
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*		Approve      Do not Approve
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A3: Critical Thinking**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: Critical Thinking General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Critical Thinking GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
A3.1: Distinguish matters of fact from issues of judgment or opinion and derive factual or judgmental inferences from unambiguous statements of knowledge or belief.		
A3.2: Judge the reliability and credibility of sources.		
A3.3: Effectively argue a point of view by clarifying the issues, focusing on the pertinent issues, and staying relevant to the topic.		
A3.4: Understand the nature of inductive and deductive reasoning, identify formal and informal fallacies of reasoning, and employ various methods for testing the strength, soundness, and validity of different argument forms.		
A3.5: Understand the basic concepts of meaning (sense, reference, connotation, etc.) and identify different methods of word definition.		
A3.6: Understand logic and its relationship to language by identifying the basic components of reasoning, including the propositional content of statements, the functions of premises and conclusions in the makeup of arguments, the linkage between evidence and inference, and the rules of inference and logical equivalence.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)	Do not complete. This information is provided in Part A.	Do not complete. This information is provided in Part A.
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA A3: Critical Thinking**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All A3 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
Critical thinking may be taught in the context of a subject area, by including specific attention to general principles of critical thinking and applying them to examples and exercises in the subject area.	
The course proposals will demonstrate the application of information literacy to the course materials.	
All critical thinking courses will be open to all students regardless of their majors; therefore, the basic reasoning skills listed in the above objectives must be explicitly covered.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B1/B3: Physical Science with a Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date	
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>				
			<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support	Do not support*	Support	Do not support*
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	
	Support	Do not Support*	Approve	Do not Approve
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date	

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B1/B3: Physical Science with a Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: B/B3 Physical Science with Lab General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Physical Science w/ Lab GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
B1.1 Students will explain accepted modern physical or chemical principles and theories, their areas of application, and their limitations.		
B1.2 Students will apply the discipline's customary methods to solve problems through data collection, critical evaluation of evidence, the application of quantitatively rich models, and /or employment of mathematical and computer analysis.		
B1.3 Students will be able to articulate what makes a good scientific theory, incorporating values of parsimony, agreement with experimental or observational evidence, and coherence with other mathematical or physical theories.		
B1.4 Students will be able to identify areas in which ethics either (1) directs or limits physical science research or (2) is informed by the products of this research		
B3.1 Students will demonstrate that they can conduct experiments, make observations, or run simulations using protocols and methods common in the scientific discipline in which the course is offered.		
B3.2 Students will be able to interpret the results of experiments, observations or simulations, understanding random and systematic errors associated with those activities, and making appropriate conclusions based on theories or models of the scientific discipline in which the course is offered.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B1/B3: Physical Science with a Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All B1/B3 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required in 3+ unit courses.	
Courses shall include an evaluation of written work which assesses both content and writing proficiency, using a writing style and use of language that is appropriate for the sciences.	
Courses should demonstrate to students that the applications of physical science principles and theories can lead to lifelong learning in science and to productive and satisfying life choices.	
Courses should demonstrate to students the ways in which science influences and is influenced by societies in both the past and the present.	
Courses should empower students to communicate effectively to others about scientific principles and their application to real-world problems.	
Courses shall build the students' information literacy in a way that is appropriate to the field and level of the course.	
Courses shall require students to think critically so that they are able to distinguish scientific arguments from pseudo-scientific myths or opinions.	



**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B1: Physical Science – No Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		_____	<i>DC Initial</i>
	Support      Do not support*	Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*	Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B1: Physical Science – No Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: B1 Physical Science General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Physical Science GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
B1.1 Students will explain accepted modern physical or chemical principles and theories, their areas of application, and their limitations.		
B1.2 Students will apply the discipline's customary methods to solve problems through data collection, critical evaluation of evidence, the application of quantitatively rich models, and /or employment of mathematical and computer analysis.		
B1.3 Students will be able to articulate what makes a good scientific theory, incorporating values of parsimony, agreement with experimental or observational evidence, and coherence with other mathematical or physical theories.		
B1.4 Students will be able to identify areas in which ethics either (1) directs or limits physical science research or (2) is informed by the products of this research		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of all Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B1: Physical Science – No Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All B1 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
Courses shall include an evaluation of written work which assesses both content and writing proficiency, using a writing style and use of language that is appropriate for the sciences.	
Courses should demonstrate to students that the applications of physical science principles and theories can lead to lifelong learning in science and to productive and satisfying life choices.	
Courses should demonstrate to students the ways in which science influences and is influenced by societies in both the past and the present.	
Courses should empower students to communicate effectively to others about scientific principles and their application to real-world problems.	
Courses shall build the students' information literacy in a way that is appropriate to the field and level of the course.	
Courses shall require students to think critically so that they are able to distinguish scientific arguments from pseudo-scientific myths or opinions.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B2/B3: Life Science with a Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*	Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*	Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B2/B3: Life Science with a Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: B2/B3 Life Science with Lab General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content.**  
**[Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Life Science w/ Lab GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
B2.1: Students will state or identify accepted modern biological principles and/or use knowledge of those principles to solve problems in the biological sciences.		
B2.2: Students will describe and apply the discipline's primary methods to problems through hypothesis development, critical evaluation of evidence, data collection, fieldwork, and/or employment of mathematical and computer analysis.		
B2.3: Students will describe various theories relevant to the discipline.		
B2.4: Students will identify the limitations of scientific endeavors.		
B2.5: Students will identify and consider the value systems and ethics associated with human inquiry.		
B3.1 Students will demonstrate that they can conduct experiments, make observations, or run simulations using protocols and methods common in the scientific discipline in which the course is offered.		
B3.2 Students will be able to interpret the results of experiments, observations or simulations, understanding random and systematic errors associated with those activities, and making appropriate conclusions based on theories or models of the scientific discipline in which the course is offered.		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B2/B3: Life Science with a Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of all Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals: The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All B2/B3 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.**

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required in 3+ unit courses.	
Courses in the life sciences will take as their primary focus such concepts found in traditional life science disciplines (e.g., levels of organization of living systems, from molecules to ecosystems, structures and functions of living organisms, principles of genetics, patterns and theories of evolution, interactions of organisms with each other and their environment).	
Courses will require students to develop an understanding of the core information sources and the literature of the science disciplines.	
Courses will require students to think critically so that they are able to distinguish scientific arguments from pseudo-scientific myths or opinions.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B2: Life Science – No Lab Component**  
*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*	Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*	Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B2: Life Science – No Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: B2 Life Science General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Life Science GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
B2.1: Students will state or identify accepted modern biological principles and/or use knowledge of those principles to solve problems in the biological sciences.		
B2.2: Students will describe and apply the discipline's primary methods to problems through hypothesis development, critical evaluation of evidence, data collection, fieldwork, and/or employment of mathematical and computer analysis.		
B2.3: Students will describe various theories relevant to the discipline.		
B2.4: Students will identify the limitations of scientific endeavors.		
B2.5: Students will identify and consider the value systems and ethics associated with human inquiry.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		



**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B2: Life Science – No Lab Component**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All B2 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required in 3+ unit courses.	
Courses in the life sciences will take as their primary focus such concepts found in traditional life science disciplines (e.g., levels of organization of living systems, from molecules to ecosystems, structures and functions of living organisms, principles of genetics, patterns and theories of evolution, interactions of organisms with each other and their environment).	
Courses will require students to develop an understanding of the core information sources and the literature of the science disciplines.	
Courses will require students to think critically so that they are able to distinguish scientific arguments from pseudo-scientific myths or opinions.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B3: Physical Science Lab Only Course**  
*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*	Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*	Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B3: Physical Science Lab Only Course**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: B3 Physical Science Lab Only General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Physical Science w/ Lab GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
B3.1 Students will demonstrate that they can conduct experiments, make observations, or run simulations using protocols and methods common in the scientific discipline in which the course is offered.		
B3.2 Students will be able to interpret the results of experiments, observations or simulations, understanding random and systematic errors associated with those activities, and making appropriate conclusions based on theories or models of the scientific discipline in which the course is offered.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals: The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All B3 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.**

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B3: Physical Science Lab Only Course**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 850 words of writing shall be required in 1unit courses.	
Courses shall include an evaluation of written work which assesses both content and writing proficiency, using a writing style and use of language that is appropriate for the sciences.	
Courses should demonstrate to students that the applications of physical science principles and theories can lead to lifelong learning in science and to productive and satisfying life choices.	
Courses should demonstrate to students the ways in which science influences and is influenced by societies in both the past and the present.	
Courses should empower students to communicate effectively to others about scientific principles and their application to real-world problems.	
Courses shall build the students' information literacy in a way that is appropriate to the field and level of the course.	
Courses shall require students to think critically so that they are able to distinguish scientific arguments from pseudo-scientific myths or opinions.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B4: Mathematics and Quantitative Reasoning**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*	Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*	Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B4: Mathematics and Quantitative Reasoning**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: B4 Quantitative Reasoning General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content.**  
[Please type responses into the tables.]

<b>Math/Quant Reasoning GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
B4.1: Explain and apply a variety of fundamental mathematical concepts, symbols, computations and principles.		
B4.2: Determine which quantitative or symbolic reasoning methods are appropriate for solving a given problem and correctly implement those methods.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals: The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All B4 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.**

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B4: Mathematics and Quantitative Reasoning**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
All courses offered in area B4 must have a prerequisite of at least intermediate algebra and must use a level of mathematics beyond that of intermediate algebra. No remedial algebra courses (e.g., Math 10, 20, and 30) can be used to satisfy this requirement. Even if a course has intermediate algebra as a prerequisite, it will not satisfy the Quantitative Reasoning Requirement unless it also meets each of the following three conditions:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It must focus on the use of mathematical language and formal reasoning in a variety of diverse disciplines, using a broad range of examples.</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It must provide some historical perspective on the role which this approach has played in the development of human knowledge and of our understanding of the world.</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It must demonstrate a variety of methods, such as the use of abstract symbols, of numeric techniques, of logical reasoning, of geometry, etc.</li> </ul>	
A <b>statistics component</b> may be included which must:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop the students' ability to comprehend the power and broad utility of the fundamental mathematical models presented, rather than merely teaching rote statistical skills; and</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Must indicate applications to several areas.</li> </ul>	
A <b>computer science component</b> may be included which must:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teach a computer language that is suitable for use in diverse areas;</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teach this language in such a way that the student is led to a fundamental understanding of the nature of problem solving by combining data structures with algorithms; and</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide fundamental skills in the use of computers for the application of university level quantitative methods to the solution of problems in many diverse areas.</li> </ul>	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C1: Arts**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*	Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*	Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone      Email:



**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C1: Arts**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: C1 Arts General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Arts GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
C1.1 Students will describe the ways in which art informs us of issues of diversity (such as race, class and gender) in a global, national or local context.		
C1.2: Students will apply theoretical and/or critical perspective to the study of art past and present.		
C1.3: Students will recognize and explain various artistic styles from diverse cultures and peoples.		
C1.4: Students will use appropriate vocabulary to describe and analyze works of artistic expression within the historical context in which the work was created.		
C1.5: Articulate various theoretical principles in their analysis of works in the arts and humanities. <b>[Methods courses]</b>		
C1.6: Use relevant research methods to analyze and interpret works in the arts and humanities. <b>[Methods courses]</b>		
C1.7: Students will create works of art that demonstrate facility with the key techniques of the art form in question. These courses will be taught face-to-face, rather than online. <b>[Creative Activity Courses]</b>		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C1: Arts**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All C1 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required in 3+ unit courses,	
Assessment of student learning will take a multitude of forms, including writing assignments, exams, discussion, and creative projects and performances.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C2: Humanities**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		DC Initial	
	Support      Do not support*	Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*	Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C2: Humanities**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: C2 Humanities General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Humanities GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
C2.1: Analyze and interpret the ways in which the humanities engage issues of human existence and human diversity, such as race, ethnicity, gender, sexuality, and dis/ability.		
C2.2: Explore how humanistic traditions, disciplines, and methods inform our understanding of the social world, fostering critical evaluation of social, political, economic and environmental influences on human life.		
C2.3: Apply multiple theoretical, critical, and analytical perspectives to the study of history, the arts, and the humanities, in order to interpret and appreciate the humanistic traditions of diverse cultures and peoples.		
C2.4: Articulate how theoretical approaches come to play in the creation and analysis of works in the humanities. <b>[Methods Courses]</b>		
C2.5: Use accepted research methods to analyze and interpret cultural formations, ranging from works in the humanities, to historical processes of development and change. <b>[Methods Courses]</b>		
C2.6: Students will sharpen their understanding of concepts and methods of criticism by creating works that demonstrate facility with the artistic or literary techniques in question. <b>[Creative Activity Courses]</b>		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C2: Humanities**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All C2 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required in 3+ unit courses.	
Assignments will provide instruction in discipline-specific conventions of writing, research, and reference citation.	
Students will gain practice in appropriate, general or discipline-specific research methods and/or critical techniques, to strengthen the quality of their interpretation and analysis of the cultural works or historical subject matters at hand.	
Students will gain familiarity with information resources and technologies relevant to the discipline, subject matters, and topics of study in question.	
Assessment of student learning will take various forms, through multiple kinds of assignments that are appropriate to the methods of the discipline and the topics being studied.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C3: Language Other Than English (LOTER)**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*	Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*	Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C3: Language Other Than English (LOTER)**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: C3 Language other than English General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>LOTER GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
C3.1: Demonstrate an intermediate level of speaking and listening competence in a language other than English.		
C3.2: Demonstrate an intermediate level of reading and writing competence in a language other than English.		
C3.3: Identify several important figures in the target culture(s), and know why they are important.		
C3.4: Express themselves in complete sentences at the intermediate level with sufficient accuracy and sociolinguistic appropriateness so as to be understood by a native speaker accustomed to interacting with nonnative speakers.		
C3.4: Compare and contrast the student's home culture with target-language culture(s).		
C3.5: Describe the diversity of cultures found within the target language speech community.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA C3: Language Other Than English (LOTER)**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All C3 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
All language course proposals/syllabi shall require the application of information literacy to the course material. This includes opportunities for students to read, evaluate and analyze information, and report results of their analysis clearly. Courses will be assigned a librarian as a resource person to facilitate the information literacy and library use components.	
All language courses will include a component which requires students to communicate ideas orally (or manually in the case of signed languages).	



**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA D: Social Science**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*		Support      Do not support*
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*		Approve      Do not Approve
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA D: Social Science**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: D Social Science General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Social Science GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
D.1 Students will describe and critically apply social science theories and methods to problems. This may include the development of research questions, critical evaluation of evidence, data collection, fieldwork, and/or employment of mathematical analysis.		
D.2 Students will analyze the impact of race, class, gender and cultural context on individuals and/or local and global societies.		
D.3 Students will outline the contemporary and/or historical perspectives of major political, intellectual, psychological, economic, scientific, technological, or cultural developments		
D.4 Students will explain the usefulness of a disciplinary perspective and field of knowledge for social issues and problems.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA D: Social Science**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All D courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
Instructors will include an evaluation of students' written work which assesses both content and writing proficiency.	
Courses will include a component requiring students to develop an understanding of the core information resources and literature of the disciplines.	
All social sciences core course proposals/syllabi shall require information literacy: This includes opportunities for students to read, evaluate and analyze social science information, and report results of their analysis clearly. Courses will be assigned a librarian as a resource person to facilitate the information literacy and library use components.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA D7: Interdisciplinary Social Science**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*		Support      Do not support*
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*		Approve      Do not Approve
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA D7: Interdisciplinary Social Science**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: D7 Interdisciplinary Social Science General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Interdisciplinary Social Science GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
D.1 Students will describe and critically apply social science theories and methods to problems. This may include the development of research questions, critical evaluation of evidence, data collection, fieldwork, and/or employment of mathematical analysis.		
D.2 Students will analyze the impact of race, class, gender and cultural context on individuals and/or local and global societies.		
D.3 Students will outline the contemporary and/or historical perspectives of major political, intellectual, psychological, economic, scientific, technological, or cultural developments		
D7.1 Students will explain the usefulness of an interdisciplinary approach for studying social phenomena and issues.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA D7: Interdisciplinary Social Science**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All D7 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
Instructors will include an evaluation of students' written work which assesses both content and writing proficiency.	
Courses will include a component requiring students to develop an understanding of the core information resources and literature of the disciplines.	
All social sciences core course proposals/syllabi shall require information literacy: This includes opportunities for students to read, evaluate and analyze social science information, and report results of their analysis clearly. Courses will be assigned a librarian as a resource person to facilitate the information literacy and library use components.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA Dcg: American Institutions – Constitution and Government**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _ _ _ _		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*		Support      Do not support*
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*		Approve      Do not Approve
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA Dcg: American Institutions – Constitution and Government**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: Dcg American Institutions – Constitution/Government General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Constitution/Government GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Dc/g.1: Students will be able to distinguish the major features of the United States and California constitutions.		
Dc/g.2: Students will be able to describe the relationships between governmental institutions and actors and nongovernmental actors such as political parties and interest groups as well as the effects of these relationships on political processes and outcomes.		
Dc/g.3: Students will be able to explain constitutional rights, including landmark cases that address free speech, religious freedom and racial and gender equality as well as due process rights.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		



**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA Dcg: American Institutions – Constitution and Government**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All Dcg courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words (OR 850 words/1 unit, 1700 words/2 units) of writing shall be required in each course.	
Instructors will include an evaluation of students' written work which assesses both content and writing proficiency. Writing assignments in courses meeting the Dc, Dg, and/or the Dh requirement shall be analytical in nature.	
<b>As per EO 1061</b> , the course content must include:	
1. The political philosophies of the framers of the Constitution and the nature and operation of United States political institutions and processes under that Constitution as amended and interpreted.	
2. The rights and obligations of citizens in the political system established under the Constitution.	
3. The Constitution of the state of California within the framework of evolution of federal-state relations and the nature and processes of state and local government under that Constitution.	
4. Contemporary relationships of state and local government with the federal government, the resolution of conflicts and the establishment of cooperative processes under the constitutions of both the state and nation, and the political processes involved.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA Dh: American Institutions – History**  
*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date	
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>				
			<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*		Support      Do not support*	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	
	Support      Do not Support*		Approve      Do not Approve	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date	

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA Dh: American Institutions – History**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: Dh American Institutions – History General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>History GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Dh 1. Students will be able to identify and discuss connections between the national past and present.		
Dh 2. Students will evaluate differences and changes in interpretations of U.S. history as a discipline, and the U.S. government as a system.		
Dh 3. Students will demonstrate an ability to analyze, synthesize, compare, and critically evaluate multiple types of evidence about the past.		
Dh 4. Students will recognize different cultural practices, economic structures, and political institutions and be able to explain why they have changed over time.		
Dh 5. Students will critically evaluate the varieties of experience found in the historical record, exploring diversity as a component of history.		
Dh 6. Students will recognize the influence of global forces and identify their connections to local and national developments.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA Dh: American Institutions – History**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All Dh courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
Instructors will include an evaluation of students' written work which assesses both content and writing proficiency. Writing assignments in courses meeting the Dc, Dg, and/or the Dh requirement shall be analytical in nature.	
Writing assignments in courses meeting the Dh requirement will require students to address historical issues.	
<b>As per EO 1061</b> , the course content must include: 1. Significant events covering a minimum time span of approximately one hundred years and occurring in the entire area now included in the United States of America, including the relationships of regions within that area and with external regions and powers as appropriate to the understanding of those events within the United States during the period under study.	
2. The role of major ethnic and social groups in such events and the contexts in which the events have occurred.	
3. The events presented within a framework that illustrates the continuity of the American experience and its derivation from other cultures, including consideration of three or more of the following: politics, economics, social movements, and geography.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program**  
**GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**  
**• AREA E: Lifelong Learning, Self-Development and Information Literacy**  
*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

Course Proposer	Date	Department Chair	date	
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>				
		Support	Do not support*	DC Initial
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Library Faculty	Date	Impacted Discipline Chair		Date
		Support	Do not support*	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Impacted Discipline Chair	Date	GEC Chair		Date
		Support	Do not Support*	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		Approve	Do not Approve	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone:      Email:

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA E: Lifelong Learning, Self-Development and Information Literacy**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: E Lifelong Learning and Self-Development General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Lifelong Learning and Self-Development GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
E1.1 Describe the physiological, social/cultural, and psychological influences on their own well-being.		
E1.2 Identify and actively engage in behaviors that promote individual health, well-being, or development.		
E1.3 Describe the value of maintaining behaviors that promote health, well-being and development throughout their lifespan.		
E1.4 Describe how their well being is affected by the university's academic and social systems and how they can facilitate their development through active use of campus resources and participation in campus life.		
E2.1 Students will demonstrate their critical thinking skills by locating, analyzing and synthesizing information.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)	Do not complete. This information is provided in Part A.	Do not complete. This information is provided in Part A.

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program**  
**GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**  
**• AREA E: Lifelong Learning, Self-Development and Information Literacy**  
*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals:** *The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All E courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.*

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 2500 words of writing shall be required for 3+ unit courses.	
Courses in this area highlight the students' self-development and promote the acquisition of skills that will allow the student to be a life-long learner. Courses that examine human development across the lifespan will not satisfy the Area E requirement unless the students' own growth is the focal point of the course.	
Courses will focus on the interdependence of the physiological, social/cultural, and psychological factors which contribute to students' own personal development.	
Content will cover factors that promote and detract from students' ability to achieve optimal individual health, well-being or development across various points in their lives.	
These courses will include at least 5 hours of class time dedicated specifically to information literacy instruction taught in collaboration with library faculty.	
Specifically, under the context of academic research, students will be able to articulate their information need, formulate a search strategy, use the appropriate tool to find information, evaluate information, and integrate these sources into their research assignments.	
Courses will require assignments that will assist the student in understanding how to use information in an academic and scholarly community.	

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B3: Life Science Lab Only Course**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**ABSTRACT**

<b>Course Abbreviation and Number:</b>	<b>Course Title:</b>	
<b>Number of Units:</b> _____		
<b>College or Program:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> CHABSS <input type="checkbox"/> CSM <input type="checkbox"/> CEHHS <input type="checkbox"/> COBA <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<b>Desired term of implementation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer   Year: _____	<b>Mode of Delivery:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> face to face <input type="checkbox"/> hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> fully on-line
<b>Course Proposer (please print):</b>	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Submission Date:</b>

**1. Course Catalog Description:**

**2. GE Syllabus Checklist: The syllabi for all courses certified for GE credit must contain the following:**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Course description, course title and course number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Student learning outcomes for General Education Area and student learning objectives specific to your course, linked to how students will meet these objectives through course activities/experiences
<input type="checkbox"/>	Topics or subjects covered in the course
<input type="checkbox"/>	Registration conditions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Specifics relating to how assignments meet the writing requirement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tentative course schedule including readings
<input type="checkbox"/>	Grading components including relative weight of assignments

**SIGNATURES**

_____ Course Proposer	_____ Date	_____ Department Chair	_____ date
<i>Please note that the department will be required to report assessment data to the GEC annually.</i>			
		<i>DC Initial</i>	
	Support      Do not support*		Support      Do not support*
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Library Faculty	_____ Date	_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date
	Support      Do not Support*		Approve      Do not Approve
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
_____ Impacted Discipline Chair	_____ Date	_____ GEC Chair	_____ Date

**\* If the proposal is not supported, a memo describing the nature of the objection must be provided.**

Course Coordinator:      Phone      Email:



**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B3: Life Science Lab Only Course**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part A: B3 Life Science Lab Only Course General Education Learning Outcomes (GELOs) related to course content. [Please type responses into the tables.]**

<b>Life Science w/ Lab GELOs this course will address:</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GELO.</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
B3.1 Students will demonstrate that they can conduct experiments, make observations, or run simulations using protocols and methods common in the scientific discipline in which the course is offered.		
B3.2 Students will be able to interpret the results of experiments, observations or simulations, understanding random and systematic errors associated with those activities, and making appropriate conclusions based on theories or models of the scientific discipline in which the course is offered.		

**Part B: General Education Learning Outcomes required of all GE courses related to course content:**

<b>GE Outcomes required of <u>all</u> Courses</b>	<b>Course content that addresses each GE outcome?</b>	<b>How will these GELOs be assessed?</b>
Students will communicate effectively in writing to various audiences. (writing)		
Students will think critically and analytically about an issue, idea or problem. (critical thinking)		
Students will find, evaluate and use information appropriate to the course and discipline. (Faculty are strongly encouraged to collaborate with their library faculty.)		

**Part C: GE Programmatic Goals: The GE program aligns with CSUSM specific and LEAP Goals. All B3 courses must meet at least one of the LEAP Goals.**

<b>GE Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course addresses this LEAP Goal:</b>
LEAP 1: Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 2: Intellectual and Practical Skills	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 3: Personal and Social Responsibility	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
LEAP 4: Integrative Learning	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<b>CSUSM Specific Programmatic Goals</b>	<b>Course content that addresses the following CSUSM goals. Please explain, if applicable.</b>
CSUSM 1: Exposure to and critical thinking about issues of diversity.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):
CSUSM 2: Exposure to and critical thinking about the interrelatedness of peoples in local, national, and global contexts.	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please describe):

**California State University, San Marcos General Education Program  
GENERAL EDUCATION NEW COURSE CERTIFICATION REQUEST**

**• AREA B3: Life Science Lab Only Course**

*See GE Handbook for information on each section of this form*

**Part D: Course requirements to be met by the instructor.**

<b>Course Requirements:</b>	<b>How will this requirement be met by the instructor?</b>
Course meets the All-University Writing requirement: A minimum of 850 words of writing shall be required in 1 unit courses.	
Courses in the life sciences will take as their primary focus such concepts found in traditional life science disciplines (e.g., levels of organization of living systems, from molecules to ecosystems, structures and functions of living organisms, principles of genetics, patterns and theories of evolution, interactions of organisms with each other and their environment).	
Courses will require students to develop an understanding of the core information sources and the literature of the science disciplines.	
Courses will require students to think critically so that they are able to distinguish scientific arguments from pseudo-scientific myths or opinions.	

## GEC: Upper-Division General Education Area Learning Outcomes

**Rationale:** For the past several years the General Education Committee (GEC) has been working on the creation of learning outcomes for the CSUSM general education curriculum in order to be in compliance with CSU Chancellor's Executive Orders 1033 and 1065 (the text of these orders can be accessed at: <http://www.calstate.edu/eo/>). These Executive Orders required all CSU campuses to develop general education learning outcomes, or GELOs, reflecting the goals and values of their general education programs.

GELOs for the 18 areas of lower-division general education were approved by the Academic Senate last year. The three sets of GELOs below are for the three upper-division general education areas: Mathematics, Quantitative Reasoning, Physical and Life Science (BB); Humanities and the Arts (CC); and Social Sciences (DD). Approval of GELOs for the three upper-division general education areas will be an essential step towards full compliance with Executive Orders 1033 and 1065, and will also be a critical step toward completion of the CSUSM General Education Handbook. Language regarding the special rules (i.e. "Course Requirements") governing which courses can be used to satisfy UDGE requirements in each area will be included in the GE Handbook and will reflect current practices (e.g., science and mathematics majors can take a course outside of their discipline and this course will count for BB credit; courses in these areas must include these elements...). Lastly, the GELOs (both lower- and upper-division) will play an essential role in facilitating assessment of the general education program in the future, as required by the university's accrediting body – the Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC).

**Definition:** Learning outcomes for the three areas of upper-division general education (BB, CC and DD)

**Authority:** General Education Committee

**Scope:** Upper-division general education courses

Mathematics, Quantitative Reasoning, Physical and Life Science (BB)

1. Students will apply principles of mathematics, natural sciences, or computational science to problems in the discipline of the course.
2. Students will apply the principles of mathematics, natural science, or computational science to contemporary issues beyond the discipline of the course (e.g., political, societal, business, cultural, diversity, health, or environmental).
3. Students will explain how a field of mathematics or science has progressed over time, giving examples of (a) well-established laws and theories that are no longer debated in scientific and mathematical circles, and (b) areas in which there are unanswered questions or where the application of well-established principles to new situations carries some uncertainty or controversy.
4. Students will explain and/or use methods that mathematicians or scientists utilize to generate knowledge in a particular field, and be able to critically examine instances in which deviations from these methods may result in less reliable conclusions.

52 Humanities and the Arts (CC)

- 53
- 54 1. Students will be able to identify various approaches to spirituality, the arts, philosophy, and/or
- 55 intellectual thought.
- 56
- 57 2. Students will utilize critical analysis and/or creative activity in order to examine the cognitive
- 58 and affective aspects of human experiences.
- 59
- 60 3. Students will analyze the aesthetic, metaphysical, or ethical manifestation of the human mind
- 61 in diverse historical and/or cultural contexts.
- 62

63 Social Sciences (DD)

- 64
- 65 1. Students will do one or both of the following:
- 66 a. Analyze problems using social scientific reasoning.
- 67 b. Explain the historical and/or social context of major political, economic,
- 68 scientific, technological, or cultural developments.
- 69
- 70 2. Students will analyze the ways in which individuals, societies and culture are affected by two
- 71 or more of the following:
- 72 a. Gender
- 73 b. Ethnicity
- 74 c. Class
- 75 d. Regional and/or Global identities
- 76
- 77 3. Students will be able to explain the value of multidisciplinary and/or interdisciplinary
- 78 approaches.

## FAC: Library RTP policy revision

**Rationale:** On 3/25/13, FAC approved the Library's revised RTP document. This revision captures four years of work in the Library. Sue Thompson, elected FAC member, served as contact between FAC and the Library faculty. The revision is so substantial that the item will be presented as new (rather than a marked up version of the existing policy). . The current document is dated August 2003 and is available at [http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/library\\_retention\\_tenure\\_and\\_promotion\\_standards.html](http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/library_retention_tenure_and_promotion_standards.html).

The major changes are summarized below.

*This is the first complete rewrite of the library RTP standards since the original document. The document was re-organized and brought into line with current RTP standards and common practices in other college RTP documents*

### Professional performance

- Clarified the variety and breadth of work expected of most library faculty.
- Clarified the role of the Assignment of Responsibility in explaining each librarian's unique set of responsibilities for their job.
- Listed in detail the various types of work library faculty responsible for including areas of specialization, such as instruction or metadata, as well as cross-cutting responsibilities, such as professional development or coordination of a unit.

### Research

- Clarified the types of service activities librarians typically engage in
- Categorized research activities according to level of rigor and importance with Category A for significant, referred publications, Category B for other types of research products such as presentations and non-referred publications, and Category C for research under development.
- The standards for retention, tenure and promotion were tied to the 3 categories.

### Service

- Explain the importance of service
- Distinguish between shared governance-related service at library and university level vs. service activities supporting the discipline of librarianship.

## RETENTION, TENURE, AND PROMOTION (RTP) STANDARDS-- LIBRARY

**Definition** Standards governing retention, tenure, and promotion process for faculty in the Library.

**Authority** The collective bargaining agreement between the California State University and the California Faculty Association.

**Scope** Eligible Library faculty at California State University San Marcos.

### **I. PREAMBLE**

This document sets forth general standards and criteria for retention, tenure, and promotion of full-time faculty in the Library. The provisions of this document are intended to be implemented in conformity with university-wide retention, tenure and promotion policies. The Library is guided also by the standards of the American Library Association (ALA) and Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL).

## II. DEFINITIONS OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

The Library uses the same definitions, terms, and abbreviations as defined in the University RTP document.

- A. The University RTP standards require “Teaching, Research/Creative Activity, and Service.” The Library standards require “Professional Performance, Research/Creative Activity and Service.”
- B. For clarity, the use of "is" is informative, "shall" is mandatory, "may" is permissive, "should" is conditional, and "will" is intentional.
- C. A “standard” is a reference point or formalized expectation against which progress can be measured for retention, tenure, and promotion.
- D. Tenure-track refers to all fulltime, permanent faculty librarians. More specific designations of status should use the terms untenured and tenured.

## III. GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- A. All standards and criteria reflect the University and Library mission statements and advance the goals embodied in those statements, including the following:
  - 1. Library faculty are active scholars.
  - 2. Enhance student learning through sustained excellence in professional performance, research, and service to the university and profession.
  - 3. Promote individual and cultural diversity and multiple perspectives.
  - 4. Value intellectual engagement, academic freedom, community, integrity, innovation, and inclusiveness.
- B. The Library respects the intellectual freedom of their faculty by avoiding standards that are too prescriptive while emphasizing the unique nature of the library and information science discipline.
- C. The performance areas to be evaluated include professional performance, research and service. While there will be diversity in the contributions of faculty members to the University, the Library affirms the university requirement of sustained high quality performance and encourages flexibility in the relative emphasis placed on each performance area. The relative weight given to the three criteria may vary over time as reflected in the librarian’s Assignment of Responsibility and as described in their curriculum vita and narrative. The faculty member must meet the minimum standards in each of the three areas.
- D. These standards are designed to allow individual library faculty scope for achievement in various areas of library and information science and scholarship encompassing the values in the University and Library mission statements. While not expected to meet each and every criterion listed below, library faculty are required to progressively expand their contributions within the Library, the University, and the profession.
- E. Library RTP Standards serve as guidelines for library faculty as they progress in their career and also to educate others outside of the discipline, including deans, university committees, and the provost, with respect to the practice and standards of library and information science.
- F. Faculty have a right to clearly articulated performance expectations. Library RTP Standards provide consistency in guiding tenure-track faculty in the preparation of their working personnel action files (WPAFs).
- G. Retention, tenure, and promotion decisions are made on the basis of evaluation of individual performance, and ultimate responsibility for meeting all standards and criteria rests with the candidate. Sound advice and counsel by tenured faculty can significantly contribute to the achievement of the highest level of individual performance and should be available. Candidates may choose whether to avail themselves of such advice and counsel.

#### IV. WPAF

Items shall be cross-referenced in the CV, narrative statements, and WPAF to demonstrate connections across all three documents. Items submitted in one area of performance shall not be duplicated in any other area of performance evaluation. However this does not prohibit the candidates from describing the interconnections between multiple performance areas.

Should a conflict exist between the Library document and the University document, the latter prevails. Library WPAF files shall contain the following:

- A. Cover memo requesting review.
- B. Complete index of material in the WPAF.
- C. Current curriculum vitae.
- D. Library Assignment of Responsibility (all successive documents for the review period).
- E. Reflective statement[s] that addresses the standards for review (not to exceed 15 pages).
- F. Evidence of success arranged and labeled to correspond with the standards for review (not to exceed 30 items).
- G. All previous personnel reviews.
- H. RTP Standards—Library.
- I. Library mission statement.

#### IV. ELECTION OF PEER REVIEW COMMITTEE

Election procedures are defined in the Library Faculty Council bylaws. Should a conflict exist between these procedures and the University's RTP (section IV Responsibilities of those involved in the review cycle, C. Election and composition of the Peer Review Committee), the University's RTP prevails.

#### V. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA

- A. Retention: A positive recommendation for retention requires that the candidate's record clearly meets the articulated standards for the granting of a retention decision in each of the three areas: professional performance, scholarly research/creative activities, and scholarly service.
- B. Tenure and/or Promotion: A positive recommendation for tenure or promotion requires that the candidate's record clearly meets the articulated standards for the granting of a tenure/promotion decision in each of the three areas: professional performance, scholarly research/creative activities, and scholarly service.
- C. Early Tenure is considered an exception. A positive recommendation for either early tenure or early promotion requires that the candidate's record clearly meets the articulated standards for the granting of a tenure and/or promotion decision in ALL areas. To be eligible for either early tenure or early promotion, a candidate must show a sustained record of successful experience at California State University San Marcos.

##### A. Professional Performance

The primary area for evaluation is the library faculty member's success in professional performance. Because the Library faculty position is flexible enough to potentially include professionals with varied responsibilities within the Library, the standards that apply to Professional Performance stem from each employee's Assignment of Responsibility. The candidate develops their Assignment of Responsibility in consultation with the Dean with a view to articulating the faculty member's individual approach to the goals of the Library Mission Statement.

The library's primary role is to support the teaching and learning mission of the university. Librarians facilitate and enhance student learning through their individual areas of librarianship. All aspects of academic librarianship ultimately support teaching and learning, even when direct contact with students and faculty is not immediately apparent.

159  
160 A. 1. Professional Performance

161 This section provides guidance on professional performance responsibilities for the retention, tenure and  
162 promotion of library faculty. In addition, it serves to define library faculty work to an external audience.  
163 Professional Performance activities may include but are not limited to:

- 164 a. Accomplish professional objectives and effectively fulfill responsibilities as described in the  
165 candidates' Library Assignment of Responsibility.
- 166 b. Assess use of library services and analyze changing research trends in order to anticipate  
167 user needs and future library directions.
- 168 c. Initiate new ideas, technological innovations, or alternative approaches in library programs.
- 169 d. Communicate and collaborate with colleagues and users, such as developing productive  
170 liaison relationships with faculty and students and outreach programs to the community, and  
171 demonstrate versatility and teamwork, including the ability to work effectively in a range of  
172 Library function and subject areas.
- 173 e. Develop and implement instruction, reference/point-of-use instruction, and other means to  
174 support the development of students' information literacy throughout the CSUSM  
175 curriculum.
- 176 f. Develop library collections in assigned subject areas that support the curricular needs of the  
177 campus.
- 178 g. Plan technology, develop systems, and evaluate effectiveness of automated systems  
179 designed to support student research, provide access to library resources and services, and  
180 facilitate library processes.
- 181 h. Establish and manage bibliographic systems that facilitate the organization and control of  
182 information resources.
- 183 i. Establish and manage effective acquisition of information resources.
- 184 j. Establish, manage and facilitate user access to library collections and resources and provide  
185 resource sharing to external collections.
- 186 k. Demonstrate professional growth by systematically updating knowledge of and skills in  
187 current practices, trends, research and technology in assigned area of responsibility.
- 188 l. Possess and share a level of expertise and knowledge of current practices that are  
189 acknowledged and sought by colleagues and other professionals.
- 190 m. Demonstrate effective leadership as coordinator of a library area including establishing and  
191 achieving goals in area of responsibility, planning and coordinating resources, and  
192 collaborating across the library. Demonstrate effective communication and management in  
193 the supervision and evaluation of library staff and operations.
- 194 n. Demonstrate growth in leadership in identifying and solving problems, managing projects,  
195 and initiating programs and services.
- 196 o. Mentor and encourage the professional development of faculty, staff, students, interns, and  
197 others as appropriate.
- 198 p. Effectively represent and advocate for the library to the rest of the university. Promote  
199 efforts for cooperation and collaboration with other campus entities, the community, and  
200 professional groups.

201  
202 A. 2. Criteria for the evaluation of professional performance includes: :

- 203 a. Effectiveness, impact, and/or expertise in fulfillment of the candidate's Assignment of  
204 Responsibility.
- 205 b. Effectiveness, impact, and/or expertise regarding the candidate's leadership, supervision,  
206 administration, and/or mentorship.
- 207 c. Impact of professional development and growth towards advancing the candidate's  
208 disciplinary knowledge and/or expertise in their area of responsibility.



A. 3. Evidence for professional performance

It is the responsibility of the candidate to provide effective evidence and documentation of their professional performance. The candidate will articulate the relevance of submitted items in the narrative.

For librarians who provide instruction as articulated in their Assignment of Responsibility, student evaluations of instruction are required in the WPAF, as per the Library's policy. Student evaluations are not included in the 30 item limit for WPAF evidence.

Selected items representing professional performance, such as:

- a. Samples of work demonstrating effectiveness, impact, and/or expertise in fulfillment of the candidate's Assignment of Responsibility.
- b. Materials resulting from or demonstrating leadership, supervision, administration, or mentorship.
- c. Materials illustrating professional development and growth.
- d. Other relevant materials as listed in the University's Retention, Tenure, and Promotion document (Section II.E.3.c of Faculty Personnel Procedures for Retention, Tenure, and Promotion).

A. 4. Standards and Expectations for Professional Performance for retention, tenure and promotion

- a. For Retention at the rank of Senior Assistant Librarian or Associate Librarian, untenured library faculty shall demonstrate increasing effectiveness in professional performance in fulfillment of the candidate's Assignment of Responsibility; professional development and growth; and leadership.
- b. Granting of Tenure at any rank recognizes accomplishments and services performed during the probationary years. Further, the granting of tenure is an expression of confidence that the faculty member has both the commitment to and the potential for continued development and accomplishment throughout their career. Tenure will be granted only to those individuals who meet the standards required to earn promotion to the rank at which tenure will be granted.
- c. For Promotion to Associate Librarian, library faculty shall demonstrate sustained and significant effectiveness, beyond that required for retention, in professional performance in fulfillment of the candidate's Assignment of Responsibility; professional development and growth; and leadership.
- d. For Promotion to Librarian, library faculty shall demonstrate sustained and significant effectiveness, beyond that required for promotion to Associate, in professional performance in fulfillment of the candidate's Assignment of Responsibility; professional development and growth; and leadership and initiative. As more experienced faculty, associate librarians being considered for promotion to librarian are held to a higher standard.

**B. Research/Creative Activity**

Research/Creative Activity ("scholarship") is the second area of evaluation for the retention, tenure and promotion of library faculty. It is essential to the University's mission that each Library faculty member demonstrates continued commitment, dedication, and growth as a scholar. The criteria emphasize quality and sustained scholarship over the entire period of review.

For Librarians, scholarship may be basic, applied, theoretical, integrative, and/or related to pedagogy. In all cases, scholarship results in an original contribution to the discipline and practice of library and information science and includes dissemination and scholarly communication beyond the university.

Individual or collaborative research is valued by the Library. Scholarly contributions in other subjects may be considered when they are directly related to the Library Assignment of Responsibility.

B. 1. Research/Creative Activity may include but is not limited to the following three categories.

Instructions on using the categories appear in section B.4.:

Category A:

- Peer-reviewed articles published/accepted by refereed journals.
- Peer-reviewed published/accepted book chapters.

- Books published in/accepted for publication.
- **Papers published in/accepted for refereed conference proceedings.**

#### **Category B:**

- **Editorially reviewed articles and book chapters.**
- **Paper presentations panel presentations, or poster sessions (other than Category A work[s] accepted for refereed conference proceedings).**
- Creation of significant and innovative electronic resources.
- Refereeing a book, journal article, monograph, or conference paper.
- Editing a journal, book, or monograph.
- Funded external research grants, university research grants, or research fellowships.

#### **Category C:**

- Proposals for external research grants, university research grants, or research fellowships.
- Submitted manuscripts.
- Manuscripts in progress.
- Research in progress.

#### **B. 2. Criteria for the evaluation of Research/Creative Activity include, but are not limited to:**

- Type of publication (e.g. peer-reviewed; selected by editor; mainstream press).
- Extent of research dissemination and contribution to the discipline.
- Type of presentation (refereed, invited, etc.), level of presentation venue (i.e. local, regional, statewide, nationwide, or international), and the sponsoring organization.
- Competiveness, selectivity, and prestige of grants, fellowships, or awards within the discipline.
- Impact on student learning and university's mission.
- Specific contribution to multiple authored pieces.

#### **B. 3. Evidence of Research/Creative Activity includes, but is not limited to:**

- **Published article, book chapter, book, software/creative activity.**
- **Acceptance letter for publication (e.g. journal, edited work, etc.).**
- **Conference proposal, invitation, and accepted materials (paper, presentation, poster, refereed proceedings, etc.).**
- **Research grants/fellowships/award proposal, award letter, special recognition, and/or final report.**
- **Institutional Review Board (IRB) documentation.**
- **Results of research including data, reports, etc.**
- **Documentation of completed or in-process research.**

#### **B. 4. Standards and expectations for Research/Creative Activity for retention, tenure, and promotion:**

##### **a. Retention (tenure-track Senior Assistant and Associate Librarian ranks)**

- For retention at the Senior Assistant Librarian rank, the librarian shall develop a research agenda and build evidence of research such as acceptance of publications and/or conference presentations.
- For retention at the probationary Associate Librarian rank, the librarian shall provide evidence of research such as a focused research agenda, publications, and/or conference presentations.
- Candidates for retention at the Senior Assistant and probationary Associate Librarian ranks should at a minimum include:
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> year retention: evidence from Category C.

- 4<sup>th</sup> year retention: evidence from Category C and evidence of progress toward fulfillment of tenure and promotion requirements in Categories A and B.

b. Tenure and/or promotion to Associate Librarian rank:

- Library faculty at this rank shall demonstrate an established research agenda and continued scholarship. Only items published after appointment may be considered. If service credit was awarded, the candidate should include evidence of accomplishments from the service credit time period specified. The following describe the research standards for a faculty member to be promoted from Senior Assistant to Associate Librarian rank and/or receive tenure:
  - A minimum of one item from Category A.
  - A minimum of two additional items from Category A and/or B.
  - Items from Category C, if applicable.

c. Promotion to Librarian rank:

- Library faculty at this rank shall provide evidence of an established research agenda, sustained and significant research, and nationally recognized expertise. Only published items not considered in the last promotion may be considered. The following describe the research standards for a faculty member to be promoted from Associate to Librarian rank:
  - A minimum of two items from Category A.
  - A minimum of four additional items from Category A and/or B.
  - Items from Category C, if applicable.

### C. Service

Service is the third area of evaluation for the retention, tenure and promotion of library faculty. The Library faculty defines service activities as activities that are informed by one's Professional Performance and Scholarship but are clearly beyond the scope of the Assignment of Responsibility. For academic librarians service is essential to understanding educational needs, impacting student learning and influencing information policies locally, nationally, and internationally.

The Library faculty views activities that enhance the institution and the profession, locally, nationally and internationally as integral components of the faculty service responsibility. While the mix and magnitude of service may vary, an appropriate level of service to the library, profession and university is expected. A librarian will participate actively in shared governance in the library, campus, and university system. It is also expected that a librarian will participate in some manner of service to the profession. Professional service at the local, state, national or international level contributes to advancing the discipline of librarianship and to staying current on issues and trends. Alternative forms of service should clearly involve expertise, disciplinary knowledge and experience.

#### C. 1. Service activities may include but are not limited to:

- **Serving as chair or member of a Library, Senate, Academic Affairs, or CSU committee.**
- **Serving as a member, contributing to a committee assignment, and/or holding leadership positions in professional organizations.**
- Mentoring or advising other faculty, staff, and/or students.
- Organizing meetings, conferences, workshops.
- Contribution to professional newsletters.
- Accepting administrative activities or special assignments above and beyond the outlined duties of the position.
- Presenting through seminars, conferences, and lectures in area of expertise to community and non-expert groups.
- Developing exhibits or bibliographies in area of expertise.
- Serving as a subject expert or consultant.

- Providing content expertise to develop a program, conference, workshop, or seminar.
- Writing or speaking on area of expertise for community, media and other general audiences.

C. 2. Criteria for the evaluation of each type of service are:

- Depth of the expertise and preparation.
- Contributions that relate directly to Professional Performance or Scholarly activities.
- Impact of the service.
- Relevance to the library and university mission.

C. 3. Evidence:

The reflective statement should discuss the goals of the service and reflect on the quality of the contribution. A complete list of achievements in service should be effectively delineated in the comprehensive CV, and items presented as evidence should be discussed in the reflective statement.

Examples of evidence:

- Documents, reports or other evidence of the impact of the librarian's service achievement.
- Letters showing extent and level of contribution from appropriate organizers, officers, panel chairs, editors or similar officials of regional or national organizations.
- Documents describing candidate involvement as an officer, speaker, panelist, external reviewer, referee, consultant, visiting lecturer, etc.
- Programs or flyers describing the event and/or listing the candidate's contribution.
- Awards earned for the service (e.g., certificates, plaques).

C. 4. Standards and expectations for service for continuation or promotion at each rank:

a. For Retention at tenure-track Senior Assistant and Associate Librarian ranks, the librarian shall provide evidence of active participation in shared governance. Typical service includes participation in library and senate committees and beginning to be active in professional organizations and/or developing their expertise.

b. For Tenure and/or promotion to Associate Librarian rank, the librarian shall provide evidence of service through sustained contribution and or by taking a leadership role within the library, university, and/or profession in responding to the needs of the academic community. A library faculty member at this rank will apply her/his expertise to appropriate service activities where their impact is manifest.

c. For promotion to the Librarian rank, the librarian shall provide evidence of service and of its recognition beyond the university that demonstrates substantial and sustained service contributions to the university, to the community and/or to the discipline of library and information science.

## APC Academic Calendar Assumptions

~~March 3, 2010~~ March 18, 2013

APC presents the AY ~~2010~~2014-2014-2018 calendars with the following assumptions and restrictions.

- **The Fall semester** begins on a Monday and contains fifteen weeks of instruction, but we lose four weekdays: Labor Day, Veterans Day, Thanksgiving Day, and the Friday after Thanksgiving Day. The exact dates are determined by working back from the last Wednesday or Thursday before December 24, and declaring that day to be the last day in the grading period. For most years, this last grading day will be a Thursday which gives a four-day grading period (Monday through Friday) with final exams ending the preceding Saturday; occasionally there will only be a three-day grading period (Monday through Wednesday). There are thus always 71 instructional days in the Fall. Since the day of the week for Veterans Day changes from year to year, there is **no fixed pattern of MTWRF(Sa) frequencies**. For already approved ~~2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11~~2011-12, 2012-13, and 2013-14 calendars, these were ~~14-14~~15-15-14-14-13-(14), 14-13-15-14-14-(14) and 14-13-15-15-13-14-14-(14), respectively. **For the proposed 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14**2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18 calendars, these will be 14-14-15-14-14-(14), 14-15-14-14-14-(14), 14-15-15-14-13-(14), and 14-15-15-14-13-(13)~~14-15-15-14-13, 13-15-15-14-14 and 13-15-15-14-14~~, respectively. Saturday classes do not meet over Thanksgiving weekend or on November 11 if it is a Saturday; the latter occurs in Fall 2016.
- **The Spring semester** begins on either the Monday or Tuesday after Martin Luther King, Jr. Day. The exact dates are determined by setting the faculty preparation period to begin on the earliest Wednesday or Thursday that can be placed in the state February period (which can begin in January but be no longer than 45 calendar days). For most years, the first day will be a Wednesday which gives a three-day faculty preparation period (Wednesday through Friday); occasionally there will only be a two-day faculty preparation period. It effectively contains fifteen weeks of instruction, one complete week for Spring Break week, and one more holiday. The holiday is Martin Luther King, Jr. Day in years where instruction begins the Tuesday immediately following Martin Luther King, Jr. Day (in which case Spring Break is moved to the same week as Cesar Chavez Day), and it is Cesar Chavez Day in years where instruction begins Monday following Martin Luther King, Jr. Day (in which case Spring Break is moved up to the midpoint of the semester, the ninth week after the start of instruction). There are always a total of 74 instructional days in the Spring. Since the “additional holiday” (besides Spring Break) is either Martin Luther King, Jr. Day (always a Monday) or Cesar Chavez Day which falls on different days of the week each year, there is no fixed pattern of MTWRF(Sa) frequencies. For already approved 2011-12, 2012-13, and 2013-14, these were 15-15-15-14-15, 14-15-15-15-15, and 14-15-15-15-15, respectively. and t **The MTWRF(Sa) frequency pattern for the proposed 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18** ~~2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14~~ calendars will be 14-15-15-15-15-(14), 15-15-15-14-15-(14), 15-15-15-15-14-(14), and 15-15-15-15-14-(14)~~15-15-15-14-15, 15-15-15-15-14, 14-15-15-15-15, and 14-15-15-15-15~~. Saturday classes meet on the weekend at the beginning of Spring Break, but not on the weekend at the end of Spring Break.
- **Together** the Fall and Spring semesters always contain **145 instructional days**, the minimum required number. There are usually fourteen Saturdays in the Fall and always 14 Saturdays in the Spring semester, but these are not officially counted as “instructional days,” since Saturday is not a typical class day.
- **Summer session** runs for **10 weeks**. The first day of instruction is a Monday at least one full week after grades are due for the Spring semester, and the last days of instruction ~~are~~ is a Saturdays at least one full week before the fall faculty preparation period. The MTWRF(Sa) frequency pattern varies from year to year depending on the location of Independence Day. Also, when the 4<sup>th</sup> of July is a Friday, there are no Saturday classes on July 5; this occurs in Summer

2014. For the proposed Summers of ~~2011~~2014, ~~2012~~2015, 2016 and ~~2013~~2017 these will be 10-10-10-10-9-(9), 10-10-10-10-9-(9), 9-10-10-10-10-(10) and 10-9-10-10-10-(10) ~~9-10-10-10-10-(11), 10-10-9-10-10-(11) and 10-10-10-9-10-(11)~~, respectively.

- **All grading for the Fall semester is completed before Winter Break.** There are either four days in this grading period (Monday through Thursday) unless December 25 falls on a Friday or Saturday, in which case the grading period is shortened to three days (Monday through Wednesday); this occurs in Fall 2015.
- **There is an entire week set aside for final exams for each semester.** It runs Monday through Saturday in the Fall and Saturday through Friday in the Spring. In the Spring semester, the last day of final exams is also the first of two Commencement dates; exams will only be offered in the early morning on this day. The Saturdays of finals week count as academic work days (academic work days are instructional days, faculty preparation days, final exam days and grading days).
- There is a four day (Tuesday through Friday) **faculty preparation period** the week before class start in the Fall; Convocation is held during this period. There is usually a three-day (Wednesday through Friday) faculty preparation period for the Spring semester; occasionally there are only two days (Thursday and Friday) in this preparation period – this shortening of the faculty preparation period occurs in Spring 2015.
- There is a four day (Monday through Thursday, after Commencement weekend) **grading period** at the end of the Spring semester.
- There is **no instruction in the week before the faculty preparation period**, and Summer session grades are due the Thursday of that week. This allows working days for grading, as Summer session classes end the preceding week.
- There is **one full week of "processing time"** between the end of the Spring semester and the start of Summer session. At least this much time is necessary for Advising and EMS Operations/Registrar to act on Spring grades for disqualification, etc.

#### Additional Comments:

- **Impact on Lecturer Benefits:** By delaying the start of the Spring semester, these calendars meet the Chancellor's Office requirement for CSU San Marcos that make the January pay-period be the fifth pay period of the Fall semester rather than the first pay-period of the Spring semester; which. This makes many lecturers eligible for an additional month of benefits (in January).
- **Alignment of Spring Break with Easter:** The Academic Senate asked the old APP to investigate this back in 1997-98, and APP concluded that there was too much variability in terms of when Easter Sunday falls. In the ~~three~~ four years in question with this new set of calendars, Easter Sunday is observed:
  - April ~~85, 2012~~2015: This is the Sunday at the end of the week following the proposed Spring Break (which occurs after 10 weeks of instruction ~~10<sup>th</sup> week of the semester~~).
  - March ~~31~~27, ~~2013~~2016: This ~~already is the first~~ is the Sunday at the end of the week following the proposed Spring Break (which occurs after 8 weeks of instruction ~~11<sup>th</sup> week of the semester~~)
  - April, ~~2016, 2014~~2017: Easter comes late this year; ~~There are only three~~ 3 weeks of instruction after this date.
  - April 1, 2018: This Sunday is a week after Spring Break (which occurs after 8 weeks of instruction). As Cesar Chavez Day is observed on Friday, March 30, 2018, this will be a three-day weekend.
- **Observance of Cesar Chavez Day.** The new calendars move Spring Break away from Cesar Chavez Day whenever this is possible.

- If classes do not begin until the week after Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, then it is possible to remove a full week of classes (for Spring Break) and Cesar Chavez day from the Spring instructional days and still met the minimum requirement for total instructional days in the academic year.
- If classes meet the week of Martin Luther King, Jr. Day and Spring Break were moved to any other week besides the week with Cesar Chavez Day, then the calendar would be one instructional day short, and these calendars are already at the minimum. In those years, taking Spring Break in the week with Cesar Chavez Day effectively removes only four days of instruction from the week – because all CSU campuses are required to be closed in observance of Cesar Chavez Day; any other week would remove five days.

Note that the location of Spring Break will occasionally jump back and forth between the week after the eighth week of classes and the week after the tenth week of classes. In the four proposed calendars, there is the following pattern:

- Spring 2015: Spring Break contains Cesar Chavez Day and occurs after 10 weeks of instruction.
- Spring 2016: Cesar Chavez Day falls in the week after Spring Break, which occurs after 8 weeks of instruction.
- Spring 2017: Cesar Chavez Day falls in the week after Spring Break, which occurs after 8 weeks of instruction.
- Spring 2018: Cesar Chavez Day falls in the week after Spring Break, which occurs after 8 weeks of instruction.
- \_\_\_\_\_
- **Location of the Summer Session.** In most years, the rules for determining the positions of the Spring and Fall semesters leave twelve full weeks after the week in which Spring grades are due and the week with the fall Faculty Preparation period, and Summer Session is assigned to the middle ten weeks. In Summer 2015, there are 13 available weeks. After consultation with the Registrar, APC has put the additional “free” week between the Summer Session and the Fall semester.
- **AB 970.** Assembly Bill 970 prohibits the CSU Board of Trustees from increasing mandatory systemwide fees within the 90 days prior to the start of the Fall semester (or quarter) at any CSU campus. One CSU campus was required to delay the start of its Fall 2013 semester in order to allow a 90 day interval between the May Board of Trustees meeting and its originally scheduled start. While the dates have not yet been set for Board of Trustees meetings in 2014 and beyond, it is very unlikely that the proposed calendars would need to be further adjusted as part of the CSU system complying with AB 970.

# 2014-2015 ACADEMIC CALENDAR

## SUMMER 2014 Term

June 2 (Mon)	First day of classes for 10-week Summer classes and classes in first half-Summer block
July 3 (Thur)	Last day of classes for classes in first half-Summer block
July 4 (Fri)	Independence Day holiday — campus closed (No classes scheduled for Saturday, July 5)
July 7 (Mon)	First day of classes for classes in second half-Summer block
August 1 (Fri)	Initial Period for filing applications for Spring 2015 begins
August 9 (Sat)	Last day of classes for 10-week Summer classes and classes in second half-Summer block
August 14 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Summer term

## FALL 2014 Semester

August 19-22 (Tue-Fri)	Faculty pre-instruction activities
<i>To Be Determined</i>	Convocation for faculty and staff
August 25 (Mon)	First day of classes
September 1 (Mon)	Labor Day holiday — campus closed
October 1 (Wed)	Initial period for filing applications for Fall 2015 begins
October 17 (Fri)	Last day of class for first session of Fall half-semester classes*
October 18 (Sat)	First day of class for second session of Fall half-semester classes*
November 11 (Tue)	Veterans Day – campus closed
November 27-28 (Thur-Fri)	Thanksgiving holiday – campus closed (No classes scheduled for Saturday, November 29)
December 6 (Sat)	Last day of classes
December 8-13 (Mon-Sat)	Final examinations
December 18 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Fall semester
<i>To Be Determined</i>	Staff accumulated holidays – campus closed

## SPRING 2015 Semester

January 15-16 (Thur-Fri)	Faculty pre-instruction activities
January 19 (Mon)	Martin Luther King, Jr. Day — campus closed
January 20 (Tue)	First day of classes
March 13 (Fri)	Last day of class for first session of Spring half-semester classes*
March 14 (Sat)	First day of class for second session of Spring half-semester classes*
March 30-April 4 (Mon-Sat)	Spring break
March 31 (Tue)	Cesar Chavez Day — campus closed
May 8 (Fri)	Last day of classes
May 9-15 (Sat-Fri)	Final examinations
May 15-16 (Fri-Sat)	Commencement
May 21 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Spring semester

**(Note: This calendar is not intended to be construed as an employee work calendar.)**

*\*Some Fall and Spring semester classes meet in a half-semester term.*



## 2015-2016 ACADEMIC CALENDAR

### SUMMER 2015 Term

June 1 (Mon)	First day of classes for 10-week Summer classes and classes in first half-Summer block
July 2 (Thur)	Last day of classes for classes in first half-Summer block
July 3 (Fri)	Independence Day holiday (observed) — campus closed (No classes scheduled for Saturday, July 4)
July 6 (Mon)	First day of classes for classes in second half-Summer block
August 1 (Sat)	Initial Period for filing applications for Spring 2016 begins
August 8 (Sat)	Last day of classes for 10-week Summer classes and classes in second half-Summer block
August 13 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Summer term

### FALL 2015 Semester

August 25-28 (Tue-Fri)	Faculty pre-instruction activities
<i>To Be Determined</i>	Convocation for faculty and staff
August 31 (Mon)	First day of classes
September 7 (Mon)	Labor Day holiday — campus closed
October 1 (Thur)	Initial period for filing applications for Fall 2016 begins
October 23 (Fri)	Last day of class for first session of Fall half-semester classes*
October 24 (Sat)	First day of class for second session of Fall half-semester classes*
November 11 (Wed)	Veterans Day – campus closed
November 26-27 (Thur-Fri)	Thanksgiving holiday – campus closed (No classes scheduled for Saturday, November 28)
December 12 (Sat)	Last day of classes
December 14-19 (Mon-Sat)	Final examinations
December 23 (Wed)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Fall semester
<i>To Be Determined</i>	Staff accumulated holidays – campus closed

### SPRING 2016 Semester

January 20-22 (Wed-Fri)	Faculty pre-instruction activities
January 25 (Mon)	First day of classes
March 18 (Fri)	Last day of class for first session of Spring half-semester classes*
March 19 (Sat)	First day of class for second session of Spring half-semester classes*
March 21-26 (Mon-Sat)	Spring break
March 31 (Thur)	Cesar Chavez Day — campus closed
May 13 (Fri)	Last day of classes
May 14-20 (Sat-Fri)	Final examinations
May 20-21 (Fri-Sat)	Commencement
May 26 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Spring semester

**(Note: This calendar is not intended to be construed as an employee work calendar.)**

*\*Some Fall and Spring semester classes meet in a half-semester term.*

## 2016-2017 ACADEMIC CALENDAR

### SUMMER 2016 Term

June 6 (Mon)	First day of classes for 10-week Summer classes and classes in first half-Summer block
July 4 (Mon)	Independence Day holiday — campus closed
July 9 (Sat)	Last day of classes for classes in first half-Summer block
July 11 (Mon)	First day of classes for classes in second half-Summer block
August 1 (Mon)	Initial Period for filing applications for Spring 2017 begins
August 13 (Sat)	Last day of classes for 10-week Summer classes and classes in second half-Summer block
August 18 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Summer term

### FALL 2016 Semester

August 23-26 (Tue-Fri)	Faculty pre-instruction activities
<i>To Be Determined</i>	Convocation for faculty and staff
August 29 (Mon)	First day of classes
September 5 (Mon)	Labor Day holiday — campus closed
October 1 (Sat)	Initial period for filing applications for Fall 2017 begins
October 21 (Fri)	Last day of class for first session of Fall half-semester classes*
October 22 (Sat)	First day of class for second session of Fall half-semester classes*
November 11 (Fri)	Veterans Day – campus closed
November 24-25 (Thur-Fri)	Thanksgiving holiday – campus closed (No classes scheduled for Saturday, November 26)
December 10 (Sat)	Last day of classes
December 12-17 (Mon-Sat)	Final examinations
December 22 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Fall semester
<i>To Be Determined</i>	Staff accumulated holidays – campus closed

### SPRING 2017 Semester

January 18-20 (Wed-Fri)	Faculty pre-instruction activities
January 23 (Mon)	First day of classes
March 17 (Fri)	Last day of class for first session of Spring half-semester classes*
March 18 (Sat)	First day of class for second session of Spring half-semester classes*
March 20-25 (Mon-Sat)	Spring break
March 31 (Fri)	Cesar Chavez Day — campus closed
May 12 (Fri)	Last day of classes
May 13-19 (Sat-Fri)	Final examinations
May 19-20 (Fri-Sat)	Commencement
May 25 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Spring semester

**(Note: This calendar is not intended to be construed as an employee work calendar.)**

*\*Some Fall and Spring semester classes meet in a half-semester term.*

155  
156  
157  
158  
159

## 2017-2018 ACADEMIC CALENDAR

### SUMMER 2017 Term

June 5 (Mon)	First day of classes for 10-week Summer classes and classes in first half-Summer block
July 4 (Tue)	Independence Day holiday — campus closed
July 8 (Sat)	Last day of classes for classes in first half-Summer block
July 10 (Mon)	First day of classes for classes in second half-Summer block
August 1 (Tue)	Initial Period for filing applications for Spring 2018 begins
August 12 (Sat)	Last day of classes for 10-week Summer classes and classes in second half-Summer block
August 17 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Summer term

### FALL 2017 Semester

August 22-25 (Tue-Fri)	Faculty pre-instruction activities
<i>To Be Determined</i>	Convocation for faculty and staff
August 28 (Mon)	First day of classes
September 4 (Mon)	Labor Day holiday — campus closed
October 1 (Sun)	Initial period for filing applications for Fall 2018 begins
October 20 (Fri)	Last day of class for first session of Fall half-semester classes*
October 21 (Sat)	First day of class for second session of Fall half-semester classes*
November 10 (Fri)	Veterans Day (observed) – campus closed (No classes scheduled for Saturday, November 11)
November 23-24 (Thur-Fri)	Thanksgiving holiday – campus closed (No classes scheduled for Saturday, November 25)
December 9 (Sat)	Last day of classes
December 11-16 (Mon-Sat)	Final examinations
December 21 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Fall semester
<i>To Be Determined</i>	Staff accumulated holidays – campus closed

### SPRING 2018 Semester

January 17-19 (Wed-Fri)	Faculty pre-instruction activities
January 22 (Mon)	First day of classes
March 16 (Fri)	Last day of class for first session of Spring half-semester classes*
March 17 (Sat)	First day of class for second session of Spring half-semester classes*
March 19-24 (Mon-Sat)	Spring break
March 30 (Fri)	Cesar Chavez Day (observed) — campus closed
May 11 (Fri)	Last day of classes
May 12-18 (Sat-Fri)	Final examinations
May 18-19 (Fri-Sat)	Commencement
May 24 (Thur)	Grades due from instructors; last day of Spring semester

**(Note: This calendar is not intended to be construed as an employee work calendar.)**

*\*Some Fall and Spring semester classes meet in a half-semester term.*

Faculty Preparation Day (includes Convocation)

(Weekday) Instructional Day

Saturday class day; counts as an Academic Work Day if classes begin or end on this day

Final Exam Day (note that Saturday is an Academic Work Day)

Evaluation Day, "Other Days," and Grades Due Day

Commencement (not an Academic Day)

University Holiday (Date required by Board of Trustees Policy)

University Holiday (May have been moved from some other holiday date)

Spring Break Week

Likely dates from future calendars not yet developed

Days on which it is likely that the campus will be closed for Winter Break

2013-14 through 2017-18 (rev)

**June 2013**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

**July 2013**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

**August 2013**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

**September 2013**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

**October 2013**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

**November 2013**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

**December 2013**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

**January 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

**February 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	

**March 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

**April 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

**May 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

**June 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

**July 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

**August 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

2013-14 through 2017-18 (rev)

**September 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

**October 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

**November 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

**December 2014**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

**January 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

**February 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28

**March 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

**April 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

**May 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

**June 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

**July 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

**August 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

**September 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

**October 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

**November 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

**December 2015**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

2013-14 through 2017-18 (rev)

**January 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

**February 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29					

**March 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

**April 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

**May 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

**June 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

**July 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

**August 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

**September 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

**October 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

**November 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

**December 2016**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

**January 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

**February 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28				

**March 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

**April 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

2013-14 through 2017-18 (rev)

**May 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

**June 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

**July 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

**August 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

**September 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

**October 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

**November 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

**December 2017**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

**January 2018**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

**February 2018**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28			

**March 2018**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

**April 2018**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

**May 2018**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

**June 2018**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

**July 2018**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

**August 2018**

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	



September 2018

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

October 2018

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

November 2018

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

December 2018

Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

1                   **GEC: Grade Minima for CSU General Education Courses in the “Golden Four”**  
2

3    ***Rationale:*** *The current CSUSM practice for the “Golden Four” (Written Communication/English*  
4    *Composition, Mathematical Concepts/Quantitative Reasoning, Oral Communication and Critical*  
5    *Thinking) currently requires students to pass with a minimum grade of a D. In 2011, the Academic Senate*  
6    *for the California State University passed a resolution supporting the increase of the minimum grades to*  
7    *a C. Transfer students are already required to meet the minimum grade of C for the Golden Four. The*  
8    *GEC recommends that CSUSM also adopt this policy for native students to ensure equity across the*  
9    *entire student body. Attached is a spreadsheet illustrating the grade distribution in Golden Four courses.*

10  
11   **Definition:**

12  
13   **Authority:**

14  
15   **Scope:**           Undergraduate students

16  
17   The General Education requirements in Areas A1 (Oral Communication), A2 (Written Communication),  
18   A3 (critical Thinking) and B4 (Mathematics/Quantitative Reasoning) must be passed with a minimum  
19   grade of C (2.0).  
20

## BLP/UCC: SoE Dual Language certificate

**Report from BLP:** The Budget and Long Range Planning Committee (BLP) has reviewed the P-Form for a proposed teaching certificate program, "Dual Language Certificate." We thank faculty proposer Ana Hernández for her thoughtful responses to our queries, which enabled us to complete our work in a timely fashion.

Teaching certificates offered through the School of Education may be taken as stand-alone programs (for example, for currently employed teachers who wish to develop new skills) or can be folded into a Master's in Education degree. This program will be funded through traditional state support.

Program Demand: Detailed projections are not typically provided in P-forms for certificate programs. However, the proposal details the need for such "dual language immersion programs" in California (P-form, p. 1). This program would prepare teachers to provide instruction "for linguistically diverse students, including native English speakers and English language learners in the same classroom" to allow students to "learn[] content in two languages" (P-form, p. 1). While SDSU already provides such teacher training, the P-form notes the need for such training in the CSUSM service area, as several local school districts have already launched programs for which teachers will be needed, and additional districts have expressed interest in doing so. Further, the San Diego County Office of Education is supporting the expansion of such programs (P-form, p. 4).

Resource Implications: *"Stateside" Program Delivery:* This program is planned for traditional state support funding. The 12-unit program's four new proposed courses will all be offered as "stateside" courses that will contribute to the School of Education's FTES numbers and will require state funding for delivery. Even if the program's actual launch may be delayed due to ongoing stateside resource constraints, BLP believes curriculum review should continue to move forward. Senate approval of programs now will position them for implementation when resources are available.

*Faculty:* The program requires no new hires. The program can be run entirely through the efforts of the 7 current tenure-track and 2 current lecturer faculty.

*Staff:* The School of Education will need to ensure sufficient staff advising support for students as well as other administrative support.

*Space:* The revised P-form plans for all courses within the certificate program to be delivered fully online, so no classroom space will be required. While the tenure-track faculty offering this curriculum will already hold office space, any lecturers hired will need to be accommodated with office space as well.

*IITS:* All of the proposed courses will be run as fully online courses. IITS's report notes the standard protocols for IITS support for faculty teaching fully online courses.

*Library:* Based on an analysis conducted by the Library, 2 new journal subscriptions should be obtained to support the courses in this curriculum: *Bilingual Research Journal* and *NABE Journal of Research and Practice* (annual cost=currently estimated at about \$300/year, but inflationary costs cannot be accurately anticipated). The revised P-form notes that these resources will also be helpful for several existing courses (particularly EDUC 602, 653, 654). While the P-form states that no additional Library instruction is requested for this proposal, we advise the instructors teaching the new courses to follow standard protocols in consulting with Library faculty and staff regarding information literacy and other research-related needs that students will need to master to complete course assignments.

*Potential Impact on Other Programs:* No signatures from other programs are indicated on the P-form.

#### **Report from UCC:**

---

For the complete curriculum associated with this proposal, visit the Curriculum Review website under COEHHS, lines 133-137:

[http://www.csusm.edu/academic\\_programs/curriculumscheduling/catalogcurricula/2012-13\\_curriculum.html#COEHHS](http://www.csusm.edu/academic_programs/curriculumscheduling/catalogcurricula/2012-13_curriculum.html#COEHHS)

### **Proposed Catalog Language for the Dual Language Certificate**

The local Dual Language Certificate issued by the School of Education is designed for educators to meet the growing need of dual and multilingual education at local, national and international levels. The certificate program examines a variety of school-based models: One-Way (foreign/second language immersion programs), Two-Way (bilingual immersion programs), Developmental (maintenance bilingual programs), and Revitalization of Indigenous Languages programs. The certificate will offer candidates, teachers and school administrators an opportunity to better understand the theoretical principles, research, and instructional practices of teaching and learning in dual language immersion settings. The scope of the certificate includes 12 units of coursework (4 courses) that examines the program goals, instructional strategies, assessments, curriculum, needs of diverse populations, cross-cultural competence, leadership, and advocacy. The local Dual Language Certificate is a program within the Master's of Arts (MA) in Education – General-Option Program.

- The four (4) courses are offered at the MA-level so that candidates completing the DL program can apply their courses towards a Master's of Arts in Education – General-Option Program – a 30-unit degree program.
- Candidates can complete the local DL Certificate coursework in two to four semesters.
- The DL Certificate courses will be offered *fully online* in order to include a wider representation of candidates from various communities. Students must have access to a computer with camera and audio. Students must obtain a minimum passing grade of B- in each of the required DL courses and maintain a cumulative GPA of 3.0 in all coursework.
- The final portfolio is an online submission in which candidates write a narrative reflecting upon how s/he has met the DL Certificate Learning Outcomes and attach assignments and graded rubrics from the courses they completed as evidence.

#### **Student Learning Outcomes**

The learning outcomes are addressed throughout the four classes. Candidates will understand, analyze, and reflect on the major concepts, principles, theories, and research related to Dual Language Education through the following:

- content instruction in the primary and second language to native speakers and English Learners
- curriculum development, program assessment, and inclusion of students with special needs or struggling learners
- data-informed instruction and assessment of linguistically diverse students
- cross-cultural competence for students and implications for educational leadership in diverse societies

***Courses Required:***

EDUC 656 .....	3 units
EDUC 657 .....	3 units
EDUC 658 .....	3 units
EDUC 659 .....	3 units

*Program Total: 12 units*

---

**New Courses being approved together with this Certificate:**

EDUC 656	Principles of Dual Language & Multilingual Education
EDUC 657	Cross-Cultural Competence for Educ. Leadership in Diverse Societies
EDUC 658	Practices and Strategies for Bilingualism/Multilingualism Development
EDUC 659	Curriculum Development, Program Assessment, and Inclusion for Dual Language and Multilingual Education

## BLP/UCC: SoN Palliative Care certificate

**Report from BLP:** The Budget and Long Range Planning Committee (BLP) has discussed the proposed certificate in Palliative Care. We gave attention to the immediate and long-range enrollment prospects for this proposed degree program as well as the resource implications of the program's launch. We appreciate the cooperation of the faculty proposers from CEHHS as we prepared this report. BLP submits the following analysis of the impact of this program to the Academic Senate to guide senators in their consideration of the proposal.

This certificate program would be run as a self-support program through Extended Learning. The certificate would include a set of coursework from the Post-Master Science of Nursing degree if this content is not provided in a given student's MSN program; depending on students' MSN backgrounds and existing coursework, the certificate would require anywhere between 23 and 32 units of additional coursework. The CSU has received considerable outside funding to support new offerings in this field, and an Institute for Palliative Care has been launched at CSUSM.<sup>1</sup> The Institute's Director and staff member(s) will be funded through the outside grant, and some grant funding has been made available to the School of Nursing to support the development of new courses for this program, but course delivery will be funded through self-support (Extended Learning).

**Program Demand:** Detailed projections are not typically provided in P-forms for certificate programs. However, the P-form does attest to the need for palliative care in California in light of health trends, and it lists several organizations throughout much of the Southern California region that are in need of nurses trained in palliative care (P-form, pp. 4-5). Input from members of the School of Nursing's Community Task Force, which includes representatives from such local institutions as Tri-City Medical Center, Sharp Healthcare, UCSD, and Scripps HealthCare, indicates that local health care organizations are poised to send practicing nurses for palliative care training when CSUSM launches such a program (email from Denise Boren, March 18, 2013). Further, since no comparable program yet exists in Orange, Riverside, or San Diego Counties, the School of Nursing anticipates that demand will be steady and strong (email from Denise Boren, March 18, 2013).

Extended Learning's draft budget for the program (available on BLP's Community page) estimates that students' tuition will run \$635/unit, as is standard for all other NURS units run through EL. Additional campus fees for EL students can be found at <http://www.csusm.edu/el/aboutus/fees.html>.<sup>2</sup>

### **Resource Implications:**

**Faculty:** This proposed program includes the creation of 12 new courses, ranging from 1-4 units. BLP has inquired about the program's capacity to offer additional courses when the School of Nursing appears to be stretched so thinly already, in light of their "impacted" status and their small number of tenure-track faculty. There is currently 1 tenure-track faculty member who can teach courses in the program, but most courses would be taught by lecturers. The School of Nursing's Director, Dr. Denise Boren, remains confident that qualified lecturers can be readily identified and hired to teach the requisite courses, in part because organizations such as UCSD's Moores Cancer Center, the Elizabeth Hospice, and the CSU's own

---

<sup>1</sup> CSUSM's policy on Centers & Institutes can be found at [http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/centers\\_institutes\\_csusm.html](http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/centers_institutes_csusm.html). All such bodies undergo a review, to include a financial review, during their 5th year.

<sup>2</sup> Campus fees for students enrolled in state-support programs can be found at [http://www.csusm.edu/schedule/spring\\_2013/fees\\_and\\_charges.html](http://www.csusm.edu/schedule/spring_2013/fees_and_charges.html).

new Palliative Care Institute all have qualified professionals on staff who are available to contribute to this program (email from Denise Boren, March 13, 2013). All instructors who will teach courses are doctoral or master's prepared nurses, experienced and certified in the palliative care specialization. *Space:* Since the P-form focused on facilities available to the School of Nursing in the SMACC building across the street from campus, BLP requested an update on the program's space needs in light of the School's anticipated move to University Hall in 2015. According to School of Nursing Director Denise Boren, they will increase the current capacity of the "skills lab" from 6 to 10 beds, of the "simulation center" from 3 to 4 rooms, and "exam rooms for Nurse Practitioners" (from 1 to 4). The new facility will have a computer lab to facilitate distance learning with the Temecula site, a conference room, and a "multipurpose room" (email from Denise Boren, March 13, 2013).

Dr. Boren's March 13 email also referred to "a designated computer lab." In response to follow-up questions from BLP, it is anticipated that NURS will have priority scheduling for U-Hall 270 (a PC lab) (email from Bill Ward, March 26, 2013). According to IITS, this is currently one of just 2 PC labs that can accommodate classes of 45 students; it will be imperative that IITS be provided funding to establish and maintain sufficient computer lab space for classes in other programs. This may also affect the scheduling of other classes, as remaining computer lab space may be tighter in the M-R 9 a.m.-2 p.m. windows. Past practice has required that courses offered through self-support programs are scheduled only after all "stateside courses" have been assigned classroom space; APC is currently looking into whether a standard policy along these lines should be developed, and we urge that any such policy should be in place before NURS's move to the main campus.

*Staff:* This program is distinct from the CSU's new Institute for Palliative Care. All staff support, including advising services and staff support needed to administer clinical placements, must be funded and staffed by Extended Learning. The P-form indicates that the primary limit on enrollment will be the "availability of clinical placements for the students" (P-form, p. 3). It is imperative that Extended Learning accurately project such staff support expenses in calculating the student tuition/fee structure. The School of Nursing has both a full-time and part-time Clinical Placement Coordinator; the part-time coordinator will do clinical placements for the Nurse Practitioner and Clinical Nurse Specialist programs.

*Library:* The Library's existing database subscriptions will go far in meeting the program's needs. However, the Library and EL are working through a new agreement to support the purchase of appropriate monographs necessary to this specialized field.

*IITS:* Per Extended Learning's existing MOU with CSUSM, IITS services are funded by "Direct chargeback for pre-approved services on a billed-quarterly basis (to include both instructional and administrative services)," and "Added contract services are paid separately." While the P-form does not specify that online instruction will be integrated into the program, IITS's report detailed points that the School of Nursing faculty should consider as they begin to offer the new proposed courses and that must be factored into any MOU with Extended Learning.

**Report from UCC:** In April, after careful review and extensive discussion with the originators and among UCC members, UCC approved a [Palliative Care Certificate Program](#) Post Master of Science in Nursing together with 12 new courses. Palliative Care Certificate Program trains nursing professionals in the principles and practice of palliative care. This program is self-support and an important component of the CSU Institute for Palliative Care at CSUSM, a first statewide educational and workforce development initiative in palliative care. The program requires completion of 23 to 32 units depending on the courses taken by the students in the MSN program. Those students that graduate from another Advanced Practice Nurse specialty program offered at CSUSM will need to complete 23 units. Others may be required to take up to 32 units of course work.

For the complete curriculum associated with this proposal, visit the Curriculum Review website, under COEHHS, lines 108-120:  
[http://www.csusm.edu/academic\\_programs/curriculumscheduling/catalogcurricula/2012-13\\_curriculum.html#COEHHS](http://www.csusm.edu/academic_programs/curriculumscheduling/catalogcurricula/2012-13_curriculum.html#COEHHS)

**Proposed Catalog Language for the:  
Palliative Care Nurse Practitioner Certificate and  
Palliative Care Clinical Nurse Specialist**

Note: This catalog copy shows new certificates in the context of the four already existing post-master's certificates.

**Clinical Nurse Leader (CNL) Certificate**  
**Clinical Nurse Specialist (CNS) Certificate**  
**Family Nurse Practitioner (FNP) Certificate**  
**Family Psychiatric Mental Health Nurse Practitioner (PNP) Certificate**  
**Palliative Care Nurse Practitioner Certificate**  
**Palliative Care Clinical Nurse Specialist Certificate**

The Post Master of Science in Nursing (MSN) certificate is awarded to students who complete up to 38 units of study, depending on the certificate, gap analysis findings of courses needed, and applicable courses in the students MSN program. Applications from students who hold a master's degree in nursing will be reviewed individually to determine the courses needed. These certificate programs are eligible for financial aid.

**Admission and Application Requirements**

Admission to the Post-MSN certificate program requires a master's degree in nursing from a Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (CCNE) or National League of Nursing (NLN) accredited program, a minimum GPA of 3.0, and a copy of a State of California RN license.

Students should have computing skills sufficient to complete post-graduate work including word processing, PowerPoint, and ability to use the internet for research of the best evidence for practice.

**Special Requirements**

Students in the nursing program must pass a physical health examination, and demonstrate a sufficient immunization record consistent with that required of clinical placement in local health care agencies.

The academic advisor for the School of Nursing, working with the Office of Disabled Student Services, will assist students to determine what accommodations will be required for students to meet the standards of our program.

A complete application consists of:

- a completed application form;
- one set of official transcripts from colleges and universities attended with indication of a MSN degree;
- proof of licensure as a registered nurse in California;
- a reference list of two person's qualified to assess the applicant's potential to succeed as a post-graduate student, including one nursing faculty in the student's MSN program; and
- a resume or curriculum vitae.



Applicants will be admitted annually. To be considered for admission, all required applications should be received by March 1. Review of applications will continue until all the openings have been filled. Applicants are notified of admission decisions following this process.

### **Core Courses**

For all certificates, the following core courses are required:

	<b>Units</b>
NURS 502	2
NURS 503A	1
NURS 504	3
NURS 506	3

### **Clinical Nurse Leader (CNL) Certificate**

	<b>Units</b>
NURS 550	3
NURS 552	3
NURS 554	3
NURS 556	3
NURS 557	3
NURS 558	3
NURS 559	3
NURS 598A	1
NURS 598B	2

NURS 598B is the culminating experience – the CNL Immersion Project.

### **Clinical Nurse Specialist (CNS) Certificate**

	<b>Units</b>
NURS 540	2
NURS 532A	3
NURS 533A or 533E	3
NURS 534A	2
NURS 535A or 535E	3
NURS 539	6
EDUC 608	3
NURS 598A	1

For Pediatric CNS specialty:

NURS 520	2
----------	---

For Adult/Gerontology specialty:

NURS 560	2
----------	---

For Advanced Public Health specialty:

NURS 512	2
NURS 514	3

### **Family Nurse Practitioner (FNP) Certificate**

	<b>Units</b>
NURS 540	2
NURS 532A	3

193	NURS 533D	3
194	NURS 534A	2
195	NURS 535D	3
196	NURS 520	2
197	NURS 526	1
198	NURS 560	2
199	NURS 539	6
200	NURS 598A	1

**Family Psychiatric Mental Health Nurse Practitioner (PNP) Certificate**

203		<b>Units</b>
204	NURS 580	3
205	NURS 582	3
206	NURS 584	3
207	NURS 532B	3
208	NURS 533C	3
209	NURS 534B	2
210	NURS 535C	3
211	NURS 539	6
212	NURS 598A	1

NURS 598A is the culminating experience and includes successful completion of a comprehensive exam that will prepare the student for national certification.

**Palliative Care Nurse Practitioner**

216		<b>Units</b>
217	NURS 536	2
218	NURS 542	1
219	NURS 544	1
220	NURS 546	1
221	NURS 548	1
222	NURS 532C	2
223	NURS 533F	4
224	NURS 534C	2
225	NURS 535F	4
226	NURS 539F	4
227	NURS 598A	1

NURS 598A is the culminating experience and includes successful completion of a comprehensive exam that will prepare the student for national certification.

**Palliative Care Clinical Nurse Specialist**

232		<b>Units</b>
233	NURS 536	2
234	NURS 542	1
235	NURS 544	1
236	NURS 546	1
237	NURS 548	1
238	NURS 532C	2
239	NURS 533G	4
240	NURS 534C	2
241	NURS 535G	4
242	NURS 539G	4
243	NURS 598A	1

NURS 598A is the culminating experience and includes successful completion of a comprehensive exam that will prepare the student for national certification.

---

*New courses being approved together with the two new certificates:*

NURS 532C	Advanced Concepts of Palliative Care Nursing Across the Lifespan in Acute Care
NURS 533F	Advanced Field Study: Advanced Mgmt of Palliative Care Clients Across the Lifespan in Acute Care - NP
NURS 533G	Advanced Field Study: Advanced Mgmt of Palliative Care Clients Across the Lifespan in Acute Care - CNS
NURS 534C	Advanced Concepts of Palliative Care Nursing Across the Lifespan in the Community
NURS 535F	Advanced Field Study: Advanced Mgmt of Palliative Care Clients Across the Lifespan in the Community - NP
NURS 525G	Advanced Field Study: Advanced Mgmt of Palliative Care Clients Across the Lifespan in the Community - CNS
NURS 539F	Advanced Palliative Care Externship - NP
NURS 539G	Advanced Palliative Care Externship - CNS
NURS 542	Current Topics in Total Pain Management
NURS 544	Palliative Care for Special Populations
NURS 546	Loss, Grief, and Bereavement
NURS 548	Self-Assessment, Self-Awareness, and Self-Care

## BLP/UCC: CoBA stackable certificates

**Report from BLP:** To assist members of the Academic Senate in their consideration of program proposals, BLP reviews P-forms to assess enrollment prospects as well as likely resource implications of launching a proposed program. We thank Dr. Mohammad Oskoorouchi, the proposer, and the leadership from Extended Learning for their timely responses to our questions and our feedback.

**Overview:** This program is conceived as contributing new options to the existing MBA program. The “stackable certificate program” proposed here would allow a new pool of MBA students to complete successive segments that would eventually lead to an MBA. The two options contained in this proposal are the “Business Intelligence (BI)” and “International Business (IB)” options. This program is distinct from the existing MBA program: the existing MBA runs through state support and requires that students have at least 3 years of professional experience to be eligible for admission. The new options will be run as a separate, self-support MBA program through Extended Learning; these options are designed to attract recent college graduates from fields outside of business. And, unlike the current MBA program, no professional experience is required for admission to these new options; instead, the new options are intended to be marketed heavily among international students.

The program consists of “three discrete, stackable phases known as the Foundation, the Core, and the Specialization,” which allows students “three entry and exit points into and out of the program” (P-form, p. 2). This program is envisioned as a year-round program, with many courses being run through 5-week Special Sessions. The “Foundation” courses will be offered in the summer, and some “Core” courses will be offered in early January. The third of the three certificates contributing to the MBA would require students to fulfill 12 units of specialized instruction (currently Business Intelligence or International Business, although additional specializations may be developed later).

**Program Demand:** The P-form discusses 3 target populations for the new MBA options: 1. recent Business graduates; 2. non-Business graduates “seeking business education for career advancement into management level assignments” ; and 3. International students (P-form, p. 1). At BLP's request, additional information on demand for MBA programs was provided; these data focused on national trends rather than CSUSM's unique program, but they are available on BLP's web page. This additional report indicated that MBA programs are still able to recruit applicants even while the economy appears to be improving; the report points particularly to demand among students from China and India, two countries targeted for recruiting for CSUSM's new program.

Delivering a self-support program requires that costs be accurately projected to establish a tuition and fee structure that provides sufficient program resources while also allowing the marketing of a cost-competitive program to students. According to the draft budget provided by Extended Learning and available on BLP's web page, students in this program will pay tuition of \$700/unit, in addition to standard campus fees for EL students.<sup>3,4</sup> Further, the International Business option will require that students spend time abroad, which will of course result in additional expenses.<sup>5</sup>

### **Resource Implications:**

---

<sup>3</sup> A full breakdown of these fees for EL students can be found at <http://www.csusm.edu/el/aboutus/fees.html>. The campus fees for students enrolled in state-support programs can be found at [http://www.csusm.edu/schedule/spring\\_2013/fees\\_and\\_charges.html](http://www.csusm.edu/schedule/spring_2013/fees_and_charges.html).

<sup>4</sup> Once the existing “professional fee” attached to each unit taken in the state-support executive MBA is taken into account, the tuition/fee structure for the state-support and self-support MBA options is very comparable.

<sup>5</sup> In response to a query from BLP, MBA Director Mohammad Oskoorouchi provided more information about the international “culminating experience.” This is conceived as a 5-week international experience. While international students will gain this experience here in the San Diego area, “native” students will spend 5 weeks in a foreign setting arranged by CoBA and Extended Learning, and under the supervision of a CSUSM faculty member. Additional expenses of at least \$4000-\$5000 should be anticipated, although BLP members' experience indicates that this figure may still be low. As Dr. Oskoorouchi noted to BLP, “We believe that an international business education cannot be completed without an actual international experience” (email from Dr. Oskoorouchi, 4/7/13).

*Faculty:* No new tenure-track faculty hires are anticipated for this program; all current CoBA tenure-track faculty are listed in the P-form as potential contributors to the new MBA options. Tenure-track faculty currently may be assigned to teach self-support courses 1) as overload assignments (up to a limit of 25% of their regularly stateside salary); 2) as part of their regularly assigned teaching load; or 3) as "buy-outs" from existing faculty obligations. Individual faculty participating in the program may currently choose how to participate in the program.

When asked by BLP about whether the new self-support MBA options might negatively impact the staffing of the existing (stateside) MBA program, Dr. Oskoorouchi replied (in an email from 4/7/13),

To be honest we already have problems staffing the current MBA Program as it is a weekend program and requires certain expertise. Most of our Accounting courses are taught by lecturers who are TT at other institutions. This is an issue that CoBA needs to address in the long run. However, I don't believe the new program would have anything to do with it. We have a policy on overload teaching, which puts a 12-unit cap on the number of units a TT faculty can teach on overload including EL and summer stateside. Those faculty who would like to teach on overload they have already maxed out their quota through summer school and other CoBA's self support program. The new program will attract faculty who would like to teach MBA level courses but have not been participating so far due to weekend schedule (regular load teaching) and faculty who have not been teaching on overload because they spend summer on research (overload teaching).

The program's draft budget (developed by Extended Learning, and available on BLP's web page) anticipates an entering cohort of 35 students in Year 1, potentially growing to cohorts of 60 students by Year 4. Dr. Oskoorouchi anticipates that cohorts will NOT be broken into multiple sections but will instead be provided instruction through larger classes (email from Dr. Oskoorouchi, 4/7/13). EL's pay scale establishes that larger classes will require that instructors be hired at a higher salary and that they may be eligible for Teaching Assistants (TA's).

*MBA Director Support:* Extended Learning's draft budget includes \$36,000/year for assigned time for the Faculty Program Director. While the MBA Director currently receives 8 units of assigned time each year, the new options will fund 18 units of assigned time for this position (at \$2,000/unit). This in effect will allow the self-support MBA options to underwrite the MBA Director's position.

*Staff:* EL's draft budget includes a part-time position for career advisor. COBA and Extended Learning need to make explicit their understanding of the staffing support needed to handle the schedule-building, degree advising, and other duties inherent in running such a program.

*Library:* Under EL's draft budget (posted on BLP's web page), the Library will receive 1% of the tuition revenue. The estimate for Year 1 is \$10,560; by Year 4, EL estimates the Library will receive \$18,840/year. NOTE: EL has since informed BLP that the Library needs for all Extended Learning programs will be assessed on an annual basis to ensure that no undue (and no unfunded) demands are being placed on Library collections by self-support programs.

Many of the materials needed for this program are already available based on existing database and other subscriptions; however, CSUSM has not covered inflationary costs for standard Library subscriptions for several years. It is anticipated that Library support for the new MBA options will help cover basic Library needs to support the existing CoBA programs as well as the new program; the Library's report provided an extensive list of databases, films, and other holdings that would benefit all CoBA programs, and Library and CoBA faculty will need to collaborate to determine where the funds can be most effectively spent. Librarian Ann Fiegen will continue to support existing COBA programs while supporting students in the new program with in-class instruction (as scheduled by individual faculty) and one-on-one consultations. The new program may include a "graded module" for Library instruction for a research methods course (BA604).

IITS: No new IITS needs have been identified specifically for instruction in this program. Per EL's existing MOU with CSUSM, IITS services are funded by "Direct chargeback for pre-approved services on a billed-quarterly basis (to include both instructional and administrative services); further, "added contract services are paid separately."

Space: The proposal anticipates that all courses would be offered Mondays-Thursdays, between 9 a.m.-12 noon, commonly known as high-demand periods for CSUSM's class scheduling. Academic Scheduling has followed a practice of prioritizing state-support classes in the schedule-build process, so self-support MBA courses may by necessity run at least some classes in the Foundation Building, which is owned and operated by Extended Learning. We anticipate that APC will be putting forward a formal policy on this issue in AY 2013-14, but all parties should be aware of past practice when the proposal is presented to the Senate.

Potential Impact on Other Programs: No programs were asked to sign off on the P-form.

**Report from UCC:** In April, after careful review and extensive discussion with the originators and among UCC members, UCC approved a Stackable Certificate MBA Program Leading to a Specialized MBA Degree in CoBA together with 29 new courses. CoBA currently offers an MBA program on the state side for working professionals. The newly proposed two self-support specialized MBA options are mostly designed for students with 0-3 years of work experience. The options are: Business Intelligence (BI) and International Business (IB). The program includes three stackable phases, including Foundation, the Core, and the Specialization. Those completing or satisfying the requirements of all three phases will earn an MBA. Upon satisfactory completion of each phase of the program, students will receive a certificate, which can be a Certificate of Business Foundations, a Certificate of Core Business Education, a Certificate of BI/IB, and upon completion of the final project/culminating experience, an MBA. The entire program including the Foundations will run for 15 months and consists of 48 units. Those students for whom the Foundation is waived can complete the MBA program in 12 months with 36 units.

---

For the complete curriculum associated with this proposal, visit the Curriculum Review website, under COBA, lines 22-51:

[http://www.csusm.edu/academic\\_programs/curriculumscheduling/catalogcurricula/2012-13\\_curriculum.html#CoBA](http://www.csusm.edu/academic_programs/curriculumscheduling/catalogcurricula/2012-13_curriculum.html#CoBA)

**Proposed Catalog Language for the:**  
**Certificate of Advanced Study in Business Foundations**  
**Certificate of Advanced Study in Core Business Knowledge**  
**Certificate of Advanced Study in Business Intelligence**  
**Certificate of Advanced Study in International Business**  
**Master of Business Administration, Business Intelligence Option**  
**Master of Business Administration, International Business Option**

### **Description of the Program**

The program consists of 36-48 units in three discrete, stackable phases: the Foundation, the Core, and the Specialization in Business Intelligence (BI) or International Business (IB). This three-phased approach offers three entry and exit points into and out of the program. Only those completing or satisfying the requirements of all three phases will earn an MBA.

**Student Learning Outcomes:** Graduates of this program will be able to:

- **Knowledge**

- Demonstrate knowledge of core business concepts, models, and theories in the areas of management, marketing, operations management, management information systems, accounting, and finance.
- Select the proper tactical tools, theories and methodologies to use for approaching solutions to strategic problems.

- **Skills**

- Apply knowledge of tactical tools, theories, and methodologies in solving business problems through rigorous case studies and projects.
- Analyze quantitative and qualitative data to critical argument and decisions.
- Present data-driven decisions through effective oral and written communication

- **Behaviors**

- Demonstrate the ability to work collaboratively in team projects as participants and as leaders
- Identify potential areas of ethical conflicts and offer solutions to them,
- Evaluate the ramifications of strategic decisions in a global context.

### **Program Schedule**

The program has been designed for students with 0-3 years of work experience, and offered during weekday morning hours. This schedule accommodates students who work part-time. The length of the program is 12-15 months.

### **MBA Bridge (2 units):**

Before beginning the program, all students will be required to complete a 2-unit MBA Bridge program focused on basic skills including career planning, business communication, and quantitative methods. *Admission to the bridge requires admission to the MBA Program.*

### **The Foundation (0-12 units) – Certificate of Business Foundations**

The Foundation is a multi-disciplinary 500-level pre-MBA program consisting of six 2-unit courses, offered during the 10 week summer session. It is designed as a refresher of basic business courses for those with undergraduate business degrees and as an introduction to business disciplines for those holding undergraduate degrees in non-business disciplines. This 12-unit sequence is required of all entering students. Domestic students with business degrees may petition to waive the Foundation. *Admission to the Foundation requires successful completion of the MBA Bridge.* Students receive a certificate of completion for the Foundation if they successfully complete all Foundation courses with a GPA of 2.5 or higher.

Number	Units	Course Title
ACCT 502	2	Foundations of Accounting
FIN 502	2	Foundations of Finance
MKTG 502	2	Foundations of Marketing
MGMT 502	2	Foundations of Management
OM 502	2	Foundations of Operations Management
MIS 502	2	Foundations of Management Information Systems

### **The Core (16 units) – Certificate of Core Business Knowledge**

The Core is a multi-disciplinary graduate 600-level set of eight 2-unit courses. They are distinct from, and more advanced than the Foundation, and assume mastery of the basic business education. *Admission to the Core requires successful completion of the Foundations with a GPA of 3.0 or higher, or a waiver of the Foundations and successful completion of the MBA Bridge.* Students whose Foundations GPA falls below 3.0 may petition to move forward to the Core, but if approved, they will be placed on academic probation.

Students who successfully complete the Core but do not continue in the program may earn a Certificate of Core Business Knowledge. Successful completion of this certificate requires a minimum GPA of 2.5 and a minimum grade of 2.0 in all courses.

Number	Units	Title
BA 602	2	Statistics for Business Decisions
MGMT 602	2	Leadership and Ethics
BA 604	2	Research Methods for Business
ACCT 602	2	Accounting for Managers
MKTG 602	2	Marketing Management
FIN 602	2	Corporate Finance
MGMT 604	2	Human Resources
MGMT 606	2	Managing the Sustainable Enterprise

### Specialization (12 units) – Certificates of BI/IB

The specialization has two tracks: Business Intelligence and International Business that each consists of six advanced-level 2-unit courses. In an information-driven global economy, there is a great need for MBA graduates with these specializations. *Admission to the Specialization requires successful completion of Foundation and the Core with a cumulative GPA of 3.0 or higher. Students whose cumulative GPA falls below 3.0 may petition to move forward to the Specialization, but if approved, they will be placed on academic probation.* Students who do not wish to continue in the program, but receive a certificate must complete BA 675 (or BA 650) and have a GPA of 2.5 or higher. Students who continue in the program will receive their certificate when they successfully complete BA 675.

Business Intelligence Track			International Business Track		
Number	Units	Title	Number	Units	Title
MIS 612	2	Data Management for Business Intelligence	GBM 612	2	International Culture and Negotiations
OM 612	2	Business Analytics	OM 612	2	Business Analytics
OM 614	2	Business Forecasting	MKTG 614	2	Global Marketing and Sales
MIS 614	2	Data Warehousing	FIN 612	2	International Finance
MIS 616	2	Data Mining in Action	OM 616	2	Global Supply Chain Management
MKTG 612	2	Customer-Focused Marketing Intelligence	GBM 614	2	Executive Seminar: Doing Business in...

### Culminating Experience (6 units)

After completing their specializations, students will be required to complete Strategic Management in Global Environment as a Capstone course. BI Option students will take a 4-unit Business Intelligence Masters Project. IB Option students will participate in a 4-unit international experience involving travel to a foreign country with a faculty member to participate in company visits and a project. International students will have the option to complete their international experience under the direction of a faculty member in the US who will guide them in local company visits and project work equivalent to that done by the US students overseas. Completion of the Culminating Experience will lead to the completion of the MBA Degree.

Business Intelligence Specialization			International Business Specialization		
Number	Units	Title	Number	Units	Title
BA 675	2	Strategic Management in Global Environment	BA 675	2	Strategic Management in Global Environment
BA 685	4	Business Intelligence Masters Project	GBM 685	4	International Experience

### General Admissions Requirements



- A GMAT score of 500 or above, with a minimum 30th percentile score in the Verbal section, a minimum 30th percentile score in the Quantitative section, and a 4.0 score in the Analytical Writing section.
- A Grade Point Average (GPA) of “B” (3.0) or better in the last 60 graded semester units, from a regionally accredited university. Only regular courses from four-year colleges and/or universities will be used in calculating an applicant’s GPA; no extension courses or community college courses will be included.

#### **Important notes:**

- Students must satisfactorily meet the GMAT requirement before being admitted to the MBA Core. They may enter the Bridge and the Foundation prior to completing the GMAT. Students not meeting this requirement may not be admitted to the Core, but can receive a certificate for completing the Foundation.
- All graduate applicants, regardless of citizenship, whose preparatory education was principally in a language other than English must demonstrate competence in English. English proficiency may be demonstrated by tests such as TOEFL or IELTS. International graduate students must meet university proficiency minima as defined in this catalog. Students not meeting the graduate level proficiency but who meet the undergraduate minimum level may be admitted to the Bridge and Foundations, but must meet graduate level proficiency minimum requirements to be admitted to the Core.
- The admission committee reviews applications not meeting all minimum requirements for an admission decision.

#### **Advancement to Candidacy**

In order to be considered for advancement, MBA students must be in good standing with an overall graduate GPA of at least a 3.0, have fulfilled the master’s student Graduate Writing Assessment Requirement, and have no more than 6 units (including BA 675 and BA 685) remaining towards the completion of the MBA program.

#### **Graduation Requirements**

Completion of the MBA degree requires: (1) an overall GPA of at least 3.0 (B average) in all coursework within the program, (2) a minimum grade of 2.0 (C) in each course, (3) fulfillment of the master’s student Graduate Writing Assessment Requirement, (4) advancement to candidacy and (5) fulfillment of the culminating experience requirement.

---

#### New Courses being approved together with the MBA option and certificates:

ACCT 502	Foundations of Accounting
ACCT 602	Accounting for Managers
BA 500	MBA Bridge
BA 602	Statistics for Business Decisions
BA 604	Research Methods for Business
BA 675	Strategic Management in Global Environments
BA 685	Business Intelligence Master's Project
FIN 502	Foundations of Finance
FIN 602	Corporate Finance
FIN 612	International Finance
GBM 612	International Culture and Negotiations
GBM 614	Executive Seminar Doing Business in Selected Country/Region
GBM 685	International Experience
MGMT 502	Foundations of Management
MGMT 602	Leadership and Business Ethics
MGMT 604	Human Resource Management
MGMT 606	Managing the Sustainable Enterprise
MIS 502	Foundations of Management Information Systems
MIS 612	Data Management for Business Intelligence
MIS 614	Data Warehousing
MIS 616	Data Mining in Action

276	MKTG 502	Foundations of Marketing
277	MKTG 602	Marketing Management
278	MKTG 612	Customer-Focused Marketing Intelligence
279	MKTG 614	Global Marketing and Sales
280	OM 502	Foundations of Operations Management
281	OM 612	Business Analytics
282	OM 614	Business Forecasting
283	OM 616	Global Supply Chain Management

## APC: Maximum number of units during winter intersession

**Rationale:** According to the Credit Hour Policy, each unit of credit in a course represents approximately 45 hours of student effort (both inside the classroom/laboratory and in work outside of class). In a traditional semester-length lecture course, students are expected to devote two additional hours outside of class for each hour of lecture, for a total of three hours per unit in every week of a semester. Intersession is a compacted time period and therefore needs guidelines for the number of units a student can register for so that the work may be completed successfully.

The limit on the number of units is based on the maximum number of calendar days available, and not on the number of weekdays, because the definition of the Credit Hour Policy explicitly states that the student work underlying each unit of credit includes out-of-class work.

The limits are developed assuming that classes do not begin until the first workday after January 1 because the campus is entirely closed for the preceding week, and in many years there are no workdays between the day that grades are due for the Fall semester (i.e., the last day of that semester) and the campus closure week. Classes also end two workdays before the start of the Faculty Preparation Period to allow instructors one day to assign and report Winter intersession grades before the start of the Spring semester.

For a period of intense study, 10½ hours is assumed to be the maximum number of hours per day that a student can realistically be engaged in study.

To understand the implications of this policy, the lengths of the intersessions have been computed for the winter intersession in 2013-14 and the four years whose calendars are currently under consideration at the Academic Senate. The following table shows how much daily student effort would be required for a 2-unit and a 3-unit course in those intersessions and what the class length would be for courses delivered entirely through face-to-face instruction meeting on every weekday during the intersession. In every case, students would be permitted to enroll for up to three units during a Winter intersession.

Academic Year	Available weekdays	Available weekend days	Units	Daily student effort (in hr:min)	Approx. length (incl. breaks) of a face-to-face class meeting every weekday (in hours)
2013-14	8	4	2	6:15	4
2013-14	8	4	3	9:23	5.5
2014-15	8	4	2	6:15	4
2014-15	8	4	3	9:23	5.5
2015-16	10	2	2	6:15	3
2015-16	10	2	3	9:23	4.5
2017-18	9	2	2	6:49	3.5
2017-18	9	2	3	10:14	5
2018-19	9	2	2	6:49	3.5
2018-19	9	2	3	10:14	5

**Definition** The purpose of this policy is to establish guidelines for the maximum number of units students can take during Winter intersession.

**Authority** *The president of the university*

**Scope** *This policy applies to undergraduate and graduate students and curriculum.*

### **Course Registration Limit for Students**

- A. Prior to the development of the Winter intersession course schedule, Academic Programs, Extended Learning and Enrollment Management Services Operations/Registrar will jointly set the maximum student course load for the intersession at the greatest integer less than  $(10.5 N)/37.5^6$ , where  $N$  is the number of calendar days between the first workday after January 1 and two workdays before the start of the Spring Faculty Preparation Period, inclusive.
- B. No courses in excess of the limit computed in A. will be offered during the intersession.
- C. Students desiring to register for units in excess of the limit computed in A must obtain approval for a course overload from their academic advisor. Before approving a course overload, the academic advisor must discuss with the student the feasibility of successfully completing the requested courses in light of the definition of a credit unit. If such requests are denied, appeals may be made to the appropriate college dean (or designee) of their major. In general, only students with superior academic records and a demonstrated need for such excess enrollment will be allowed to enroll for course overloads.

---

<sup>6</sup> 10.5 is the limit on the number of clock hours that a student can be expected to be studying each day; 37.5 is the number of clock hours corresponding to 45 (50-minute) academic hours.

**APC Resolution: Priorities for assignment of classrooms**

WHEREAS, The majority of instruction on the CSUSM main campus takes place in state-financed buildings which are expected to meet certain room utilization targets based on (state-support) FTES generated in their labs and classrooms; and

WHEREAS, An agreement was made at the time the University Scheduling Office separated into Academic Scheduling and Event Scheduling regarding a prioritization scheme for the use of FTES-generating classrooms and labs during fall and spring semesters, to wit:

1. Up until the time that the semester schedule goes live, only state-support (FTES-generating) classes may be scheduled in these rooms.
2. Once the semester schedule has been posted, self-support classes and additional state-support classes can be scheduled on a first-come, first-served basis.
3. After the third week of classes (to allow for the Academic Scheduler to make room adjustments for classes that need different rooms) rooms are available for general scheduling (for example, events and meetings); and

WHEREAS, This agreement has worked well and continues to work well, but is currently just a “practice” and not a policy; and

WHEREAS, The growing number of self-support offerings raises the concern that self-support courses might displace state-support courses in the scheduling of facilities that are intended to generate FTES; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the appropriate University offices codify the current classroom scheduling prioritization practice for fall and spring semesters as an administrative policy.

## UCC: C Form revision

**Rationale:** UCC began work in 2010-11 on updating the form for proposing new courses: the C Form. This work continued in 2011-12 and was carried over to 2012-13. The proposed form also draws upon similar work from CHABSS CAPC in 2011-12. It reflects the fact that the administrative software has changed (from Banner to PeopleSoft) since the last revision of the C Form (Spring 2007) and several practices that have emerged over the years in the review of courses at UCC (e.g., requiring proposals to identify student learning outcomes).

The major changes/additions are as follows:

- The new form integrates a "Curriculum Guide" into the form ( to help explain to proposers exactly what is being asked. For example, the new form explains the course numbering system and what a generic course is. Explanations can be found both in the small font text below the items and in the pull-down menus, which are often annotated.
- There is a new item (#16) dealing with dual-listed undergraduate and graduate courses.
- There is a new item (#19) asking whether this is a course that does not need to be included in determining the final exam schedule.
- There is a new item (#21) asking for the course student learning outcomes, which have been required at UCC for a half-dozen years even though they are not on the old C Form.
- Supporting documentation can still be provided in the form of a detailed course outline (#22), but the form now specifies certain items that must be included in an outline.
- There is a new item (#25) on course fees.
- The Proposer and the Department Chair sign a statement acknowledging the need to work with IITS/ATS to ensure that the course is accessible.

Other changes:

- The items have been re-ordered, mostly in keeping with suggestions from CHABSS CAPC.
- The list of colleges (#4) has been updated
- PeopleSoft allows a longer course title abbreviation (#3) than Banner did
- The two lines on Prerequisites and Corequisites have been replaced with lines (#12a-f) on all of the Registration Conditions currently used.
- The pre-baccalaureate grading mode that PeopleSoft uses to identify courses whose grades should not be factored into a student's GPA has been added to the Grading Basis item (#17).
- The mode of instruction item (#20) has the most commonly used instructional modes as presets.
- The item on course offering frequency (#24) suggests more precise responses that can be coded into PeopleSoft.
- The item on uses of the course has been phrased more precisely to distinguish between uses as a requirement (#26) and as an elective (#27).
- Since authority to approve course has been delegated by the President to the Provost/VPAA since January 1999, there no longer is a signature line on the form for the President.

### List of drop-down responses in the proposed new C Form:

Drop-down options for item 4:

COBA  
COEHHS  
CHABSS  
CSM  
FYP  
Other

Drop-down options for item 5:

54 Summer  
 55 Fall  
 56 Spring  
 57  
 58 Drop-down options for item 7:  
 59 No  
 60 Yes  
 61  
 62 Drop-down options for item 8:  
 63 Yes  
 64 No  
 65  
 66 Drop-down options for item 9:  
 67 No  
 68 Yes  
 69  
 70 Drop-down options for item 13; "Title" reads: For most courses, the answer will be no.  
 71 No  
 72 Yes, Consent of Instructor [Be sure to include statement in 12d]  
 73 Yes, Consent of Department (Chair or designee) [Be sure to include statement in 12d]  
 74  
 75 Drop-down options for item 14; "Title" reads: For most courses, the answer will be no.  
 76 No  
 77 Yes, this is an independent study/research course.  
 78 Yes, this is an internship course.  
 79 Yes, this is a generic course and students will be allowed to retake the course as topics change.  
 80 Yes, but this course is not described by the three situations immediately above. (Please complete the second  
 81 part of this item.)  
 82  
 83 Drop-down options for item 15:  
 84 No  
 85 Yes, all cross-listings are identified in item #1.  
 86 Yes, but not all cross-listings are identified in item #1.  
 87  
 88 Drop-down options for item 16a:  
 89 No  
 90 Yes; this course is part of a normal 400-level and 500-level pairing.  
 91 Yes; this course is part of a 400-level and 600-level pairing, and a strong rationale for this exceptional  
 92 pairing is provided.  
 93 Yes; this course is part of a 300-level and 500-level pairing, and a strong rationale for this exceptional  
 94 pairing is provided.  
 95  
 96 Drop-down options for item 17; "Title" reads: Most courses use the "Graded +/-" grading basis  
 97 Graded +/- (Grades are F, D-, D, D+, C-, C, C+, B-, B, B+, A- and A)  
 98 Graded RP (Grades are F, D-, D, D+, C-, C, C+, B-, B, B+, A- and A; also allows the use of the RP  
 99 [Report in Progress] grading symbol)  
 100 CR/NC (Grades are CR [Credit] and NC [No Credit])  
 101 CR/NC w/RP (Grades are CR [Credit] and NC [No Credit]; also allows the use of the RP [Report in  
 102 Progress] grading symbol)  
 103 PreBacc (Grades are F, D-, D, D+, C-, C, C+, B-, B, B+, A- and A; used for pre-baccalaureate  
 104 courses to exclude them from GPA calculations and being counted toward graduation)  
 105 PB/CRNC (Grades are CR [Credit] and NC [No Credit]; used for pre-baccalaureate courses to exclude  
 106 them from GPA calculations and being counted toward graduation)  
 107  
 108 Drop-down options for item 19:  
 109 Yes; some sections of this course may need a final exam period.

110 No; sections of this course will never need a final exam period or room during finals week.  
 111  
 112 Drop-down options for item 20 (Primary Lecture); “Title” reads: Select [blank] if there is no lecture  
 113 [blank]  
 114 02: 1 weekly instructional hour and 1 WTU for each credit unit (usual mode)  
 115  
 116 Drop-down options for item 20 (Second Lecture (for courses with “breakout sections”)); “Title” reads: Select  
 117 [blank] if there is no second lecture  
 118 [blank]  
 119 04: 1 weekly instructional hour and 1 WTU for each credit unit (usual mode)  
 120  
 121 Drop-down options for item 20 (Activity); “Title” reads: Select [blank] if there is no activity  
 122 [blank]  
 123 07: 2 weekly instructional hours and 1.3 WTUs for each credit unit  
 124  
 125 Drop-down options for item 20 (Lab); “Title” reads: Select [blank] if there is no lab  
 126 [blank]  
 127 15: 3 weekly instructional hours and 1.5 WTUs for each credit unit  
 128 16: 3 weekly instructional hours and 2 WTUs for each credit unit (science labs)  
 129 17: 3 weekly instructional hours and 2 WTUs for each credit unit (clinical labs)  
 130  
 131 Drop-down options for item 20 (Other (seldom used) instructional modes); “Title” reads: Select [blank] if “other  
 132 modes” are not used  
 133 [blank]  
 134 18: Major Intercollegiate Athletics Sports Activities  
 135 19: Minor Intercollegiate Athletics Sports Activities  
 136 20: Performance/Production  
 137 21: Performance/Production Activities  
 138 77: Non-workload-generating, non-state-supported  
 139 78: Non-traditional instruction, examination or evaluation  
 140  
 141 Drop-down options for item 20 (Supervision); “Title” reads: Select [blank] if “this is not a supervision course  
 142 [blank]  
 143 48: 0.25 WTU/student; 45 mins/student/week; usual mode for 1 or 2 units of undergraduate independent  
 144 study  
 145 36: 0.33 WTU/student; 1 hour/student/week; usual mode for 3 or 4 units of undergraduate independent  
 146 study  
 147 25: 0.50 WTU/student; 90 mins/student/week; usual mode for 5+ units of undergraduate independent study,  
 148 or 1 or 2 units of graduate independent study  
 149 24: 0.67 WTU/student; 2 hours/student/week; usual mode for 3 or more units of graduate independent  
 150 study  
 151 23: 1.00 WTU/student; 3 hours/student/week  
 152  
 153 Drop-down options for item 22:  
 154 A complete course syllabus  
 155 A detailed course outline  
 156 No syllabus or outline as this is an independent study/internship course  
 157 No syllabus or outline as this is a generic course  
 158  
 159 Drop-down options for item 23:  
 160 No; individual sections may still be designated as service-learning sections during the schedule build  
 161 Yes; all sections will be automatically be designated as service-learning sections in the on-line schedule  
 162  
 163 Drop-down options for item 24:  
 164 Course typically offered Every Semester  
 165 Course typically offered in Fall



166 Course typically offered in Spring  
167 Course typically offered in Summer  
168 Course typically offered Every Third Semester  
169 Course typically offered Intermittently  
170 Course typically offered Every Term (Fall, Spring, and Summer)  
171 No entry (field in PeopleSoft will be left blank)  
172  
173 Drop-down options for item 25:  
174 No  
175 Yes  
176  
177 Drop-down options for item 26:  
178 No  
179 Yes  
180  
181 Drop-down options for item 27:  
182 No  
183 Yes  
184  
185 Drop-down options for item 28:  
186 No  
187 Yes  
188  
189  
190

**“Plain text” portion:** The primary purpose of the course description is to explain what is covered in the course. It is useful to keep in mind two audiences: students trying to determine whether they want to take this course and someone from outside the University who is trying to understand what was covered in a course appearing on a student transcript. The explanation of course content should not exceed 80 words, should avoid the use of abbreviations, jargon, slang, copyrighted names or trade names, and should avoid stock phrases such as “This course covers” and “A study of,” etc. It is acceptable to use sentence fragments. To avoid confusion with topics courses, synonyms for the word “topics” (i.e., subject

matter, areas of study, themes, issues, etc.) should be used, reserving the word "topics" for generic (i.e., variable-topics) courses. Look at the catalog for examples of course descriptions.

*"Italicized text" portion:* In order, this should consist of (if/as needed):

- Any special conditions concerning the manner in which the course will meet. For example:
  - Course meets for more hours each week than units of credit because it contains a laboratory or activity period
  - Course requires attendance at out-of-class events/activities/field trips
  - Instruction is delivered in a language other than English
- Graded Credit/No Credit (if the Grade Mode requested in #17 is CR/NC or CR/NC w/RP)
- Rules for repeating the course for credit (if the answer to #14 is yes) or if the department wishes to limit the number of times that a student can register for the course. Please use one of the following standard construction, replacing *M* by the appropriate number:
  - [If there is no limit on repetitions.] *May be repeated for credit.*
  - [If there is a limit on repetitions.] *May be repeated for a total of M units.*
  - [If there is a limit on a collection of related courses.] *Together with (list other courses) may be repeated for a total of M units.*
  - [For generic courses] *May be repeated for credit as topics change.*
  - [For generic courses] *May be repeated for a total of M units as topics change.*
- Any special registration conditions. For example, to control the number of times that students may register to take the course, use the following statement: *Students who have remained in this course past the add/drop deadline N times may not register for it an N+1<sup>st</sup> time.*

## 12. Registration Conditions. Fill in all that apply.

(Registration conditions should appear at the end of the course description in italics.)

- a. Prerequisite(s): .
- b. Corequisite(s): .
- c. Co/prerequisite(s): .
- d. Enrollment Restriction(s): .
- e. Enrollment Requirement(s): .
- f. Recommended Preparation: .

Registration Conditions enforced by PeopleSoft:

- a. Prerequisites: Specific courses which must be taken before enrollment is permitted. It is possible to specify a minimum grade in the prerequisite.
  - If the prerequisite simply reads "ABC 321," then students who are currently enrolled in ABC 321 may register for the course during the priority reservation period – which takes place in the prior semester.
  - If the prerequisite reads "ABC 321 with a minimum grade of C (2.0)," then the default setting in PeopleSoft is to allow students who are currently enrolled in ABC 321 to register.
  - If the prerequisite reads "ABC 321 with a minimum grade of C (2.0)," and the intent is to not allow students to register until a grade of C or better has been posted in PeopleSoft, then please include the phrase "Exclude in-progress credit" in #12a (but not in the italicized portion of #11).
- b. Corequisites: Specific courses which must be taken simultaneously with the course.
- c. Co/prerequisites: Specific courses which must either be taken simultaneously with the course, or must have been previously completed.
- d. Enrollment restrictions: Usually of the form "Enrollment restricted to ABCD majors", "Enrollment restricted to students with senior class standing," or "Enrollment restricted to students who have obtained consent of the instructor," etc.

Registration Conditions enforced by the instructor, not PeopleSoft:

- e. Enrollment requirements: Often these have the same format as prerequisites, but these are enforced by the instructor (or department) and not by PeopleSoft.

Unenforceable registration conditions:

- f. Recommended preparation: Courses which are recommended but not required to be taken before enrolling in the course.

## 13. Is Consent Required for Enrollment?

### 14. a. May the course be repeated for graduation credit?

- b. If directed in part a to complete the second part of this item, explain how the course material changes significantly from offering to offering so that students should receive credit for repeating the course: .

### 15. Is this course cross-listed with any other course? If "Yes," please identify here any cross-listings besides those already given in item #1: .

It is recommended that, if possible, both courses should be given the same number. Generally speaking, courses should only be cross-listed with courses of the same level (e.g., 300-level courses with 300-level courses). The exception to this general rule is cross-listing at the lower-division level where 100-level and 200-level courses may occur. Note that if one course is undergraduate (below 500-level) and the other is graduate (above 400-level), then this situation is an example of dual-listing, not cross-listing; see item #16.

### 16. a. Is this course part of a dual-listing of an undergraduate course?

Dual listing is only allowed between upper-division and graduate courses offered in the same department with similar course content. The titles and descriptions of the two courses must reflect the similarity of the subject matter. Dual-listed courses may not be cross-listed; the following types of courses may not be dual-listed: thesis, creative work, internship, special project, topic, directed reading, research and independent study. The dual-listed courses must meet in the same classroom at the same time and have the same instructor. Dual-listed course pairings normally

consist of one 400-level and one 500-level course. The only permitted exceptions to this pairing are 400-level with 600-level and 300-level with 500-level; exceptions require a strong rationale and should be granted only rarely and under extreme circumstances. The course descriptions must indicate that the courses can be dual-listed. The descriptions must specify that if one of the dual-listed courses is completed for credit, the other one may not be taken for credit at a subsequent time, unless approved by petition to the graduate program coordinator.

b. If the course is being proposed for dual-listing, explain why the dual-listing is necessary and the higher expectations to which students will be held in the graduate version: .

At the time of the review of the dual-listing, syllabi for both courses complete with course descriptions, course readings and activities, and Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) will be submitted to all curriculum committees as support for the dual-listing. Examples of greater expectations may include that graduate students show development of independent critical judgment and evaluation of course material, and that graduate students present the evidence of their original critical analysis. Examples of additional assignments might include significant research papers, oral presentations of research on course assignments, and/or the demonstration of more sophisticated laboratory or studio skills than those required of students in the undergraduate course.

17. Grading Basis:

18. If either “Graded RP” or “CR/NC w/RP” is requested, explain the need for this grading basis): .

RP grading symbol is intended for use in situations where the coursework is expected to extend beyond the end of the semester. Common examples are thesis courses.

19. Should a final exam period be scheduled for this class?

20. Mode of Instruction Use pull-down menus to replace each “??” in the central column with a number, and to select either an instructional mode or a blank in the last column

Type of Instruction	Number of Credit Units	Instructional Mode (Course Classification Number)
Primary Lecture	??	Choose from pull-down menu
Second Lecture (for courses with “breakout sections”)	??	Choose from pull-down menu
Activity	??	Choose from pull-down menu
Lab	??	Choose from pull-down menu
Other (seldom used) instructional modes	??	Choose from pull-down menu
<b>Total</b>	??	

Or

Type of Instruction	Number of Credit Units	Instructional Mode (Course Classification Number)
<b>Supervision</b>	??	Choose from pull-down menu

Note that a course may not combine lecture/activity/lab units with supervision units. See the notes on “Instructional Mode Conventions Used at Cal State San Marcos,” posted on the Curriculum Forms webpage for further details. Note that since the different lecture and activity modes have exactly the same credit:time:workload ratios, the pull-down menus in those categories show the common default values used by the campus.

21. (Skip this item if the answer to #9 is Yes.) Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs)

These should be phrased in terms of what students will know and be able to do at the end of the course. A standard format is, “Students who successfully complete this course will be able to [list student learning outcomes].” Generally speaking, more advanced courses should describe SLOs in terms of higher-order (according to Bloom) cognitive skills (see [www.uni.edu/chfasoa/bloom.htm](http://www.uni.edu/chfasoa/bloom.htm)). SLOs should be phrased using concrete action verbs that allow the instructor to determine the extent to which students achieve the SLO (see [www.clemson.edu/assessment/assessmentpractices/referencematerials/documents/Blooms%20Taxonomy%20Action%20Verbs.pdf](http://www.clemson.edu/assessment/assessmentpractices/referencematerials/documents/Blooms%20Taxonomy%20Action%20Verbs.pdf) for lists of action verbs arranged according to the taxonomy). For courses that are requirements or electives in a major, it is desirable to connect the course learning outcomes to the programmatic learning outcomes.

22. Attached supporting documentation includes

When a detailed course outline or syllabus is provided, these should contain (i) a list of the specific subject material to be covered, (ii) a tentative reading list, (iii) a list of the major assignments/activities that students will complete (including how the All-University Writing Requirement will be met), and (iv) a grading scheme indicating what will form the basis of student grades.

23. Is this a Service Learning Course?

See the Community Service Learning Courses policy on the Policies and Procedures webpage for the definition of a Community Service Learning (CSL) course at CSU San Marcos. For a course to qualify for designation as a Community Service Learning course, at least 15% of the student's grades will be based on the community service learning portion of the course. It is suggested that the service consist of at least 20 hours of direct, academically-relevant community service.

24. How often will this course be offered once established?

25. Will there be any special fees associated with this course:  If "Yes," please specify here: .

26. Will this course be required in any major, minor, certificate or graduate program?  If "Yes," please specify here: , and submit this course form together with a program proposal (P) or program change (P2) form(s).

27. Will this course be an elective in any major, minor, certificate or graduate program?  If "Yes," please specify here: .

If this course will be an elective in a new program, it should be submitted together with the program proposal (P) form for that program. To have this course recognized in the next catalog addendum as an elective in an existing program, it is necessary to submit a program change (P2) form. If no P2 form is submitted, it will be the responsibility of the program to request that this course be included in the next catalog printing when it reviews the draft catalog copy.

28. Does this course affect other discipline(s)?

A course proposal has "impact" on another discipline if it is cross-listed with a course in that discipline, if it will be taken by students majoring/minoring in that discipline, or if it deals with subject material that is also covered in that discipline. If there is any uncertainty as to whether a particular discipline is "impacted," check "yes" and obtain signature noting support or opposition. Any objections should be stated in writing and attached to this form.

Discipline:

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Support ☐ Oppose ☐ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Statement Attached ☐

Discipline:

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Support ☐ Oppose ☐ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Statement Attached ☐

Discipline:

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Support ☐ Oppose ☐ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Statement Attached ☐

Discipline:

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Support ☐ Oppose ☐ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Statement Attached ☐

Add additional lines as needed.

### Proposal Signatures:

By signing this course proposal, the originator and Department Chair/Program Director (or equivalent) indicate that they understand that course materials need to be provided to IITS/ATS at least one month before being offered for the first time to ensure that course content, including instructional materials and instructional websites, are designed in a manner that incorporates accessibility. (See CSU Coded Memorandum AA-2009-19.)

1. Originator:  Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

2. Program Director/Department Chair (or equivalent) Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423

**Approval Signatures:**

3. College Curriculum Committee

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

4. College Dean (or Designee)

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

5. UCC Committee Chair

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

6. Provost/VP for Academic Affairs (or designee)

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Academic Senate 2012-2013  
Faculty Grants Committee -- Annual Report

Members: Ann Fiegen, *Library 12-13(Chair)*; Alyssa Sepinwall, *at large 12-14*; Ludmila Matiash, *Lecturer 11-13*; Qi Sun, *11-F12 (Raj Pillai Spring 13), CoBA*; Salah Moukhlis, *CHABSS-A&H 11-13, vacant Sp 13*; Pamela Stricker, *CHABSS-S&BS 11-13*; Todd Astorino, *CEHHS, 12-13*; CSM, *vacant*; Gerardo Gonzales, *AVP-Research (ex-officio)*;

**Scope:**

The Committee prepares a call, leads a Faculty Center sponsored workshop, and reviews and recommends grant proposals for University Professional Development grants (UPD). Chancellor's office funds for the Research, Scholarship, and Creative (RSC) Activity Grant program were not available for 12/13. The process is administered and supported by the Office of Graduate Studies and Research.

The committee met twice this fall to revise and send out the call for proposals, facilitates a faculty grant proposal workshop in early spring, and meets 4 times in spring to review and recommend proposal awards to the Dean of Graduate Studies and Research.

**Accomplishments:**

Thanks to the Office of Graduate Studies and Research the UPD/RSC grant submission process is paperless. Links to the call and proposal submission are on the Faculty Research page of the Office of Graduate Studies and Research website, as well as links off the Faculty Center website. The Committee uses a Cougar Community Courses managed by Graduate Studies and Research.

Proposals were due a few weeks into the spring semester (due Feb 18th). A review period for College Deans was built into the schedule which replaces the "dean's signature" requirement. The Committee led a Faculty Center UPD proposal writing Workshop Feb 5, from 12-1 attended by 9 faculty. The Committee reviewed 38 proposals totaling \$180,378.30 in requests for funds. The Committee recommended 21 proposals for awards totaling approximately \$75,000 the approximate UPD limit for 2012-2013. One committee member submitted a proposal, report on the status of that proposal is pending notice.

**Challenges:**

The Committee appreciates the support of the President and Provost for the Professional Development Grants but has serious concerns that the chancellor's office withholds research funding for the Research and Creative Activity Grants. This action puts the research process in jeopardy for assistant and associate faculty seeking tenure and promotion and significantly limits opportunities for full faculty.

**Recommendations:**

1. A comprehensive report of internal research funds available to faculty within colleges and departments across campus would provide a better picture of equity of opportunity for all levels of faculty and serve as resource for faculty searching for different funding sources.
2. The Committee had scheduling challenges this year again. Having representatives from all colleges on the committee is critical for adding a discipline perspective to committee deliberations. Recommend that the Committee consider a published standing time so those volunteers know in advance what their commitment will be.
3. The Committee recommends that the colleges, Faculty Center and grant writers increase mentoring for faculty submitting proposals.