

MINUTES

Executive Committee of the Academic Senate
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS
Wednesday, March 13, 2013
12 – 2 p.m. ~ Kellogg 3010

Voters Present	Jackie Trischman, Chair Sue Thompson, APC Allison Carr, GEC Linda Shaw, PAC	Vivienne Bennett, Vice Chair Staci Beavers, BLP Ed Price, LATAAC Yi Sun, UCC	Janet McDaniel, Secty. Carmen Nava, FAC Vassilis Dalakas, NEAC
Not represented	ASCSU, CFA, SAC, Provost		
Staff	Marcia Woolf		

I. Approval of agenda

Motion #1 M/S/P*
To approve the agenda as presented.

II. Approval of minutes of 03/06/2013 meeting

Motion #2 M/S/P*
To approve the minutes as presented.

III. Chair's report, Jackie Trischman: •The officers plan to conduct a review of standing committee chair workload in the coming weeks to inform Senate budget decisions; such a review is to be conducted every three years and we are currently one year overdue for the review. •The LAMP met to discuss next steps, and representatives will be checking with the colleges regarding most important priorities and justifications for same; the goal is to outline criteria for developing their recommendations. •Regarding the Academic Excellence and Student Success Fee, only 20 students attended the recent student forum; the AE&SS fee task force report on the alternative consultation will go first to the Student Fee Advisory Committee and then to the President, who makes the final decision on what to propose to the Chancellor's Office. •Trischman, Bennett, and Strother are working with Oberem and Community Engagement to settle on a structure that will allow for OCSL growth and determine the best path forward. EC members expressed concern that: (1) the president's announcement about OCSL appears to shift OCSL from a faculty driven/Academic Affairs program to benefit student learning to something managed by MPPs in CE; (2) the change was made unilaterally and without any kind of consultation; (3) the message sent to the campus made it sound as though the program was ending or would not continue in anything like the way it has been running; (4) the appointment of a faculty fellow seems like a demotion of the faculty role; and (5) the tone of the announcement message seemed dismissive of and rude to the director, especially as it came on the heels of the director's message concerning the success of the program and seeming forward momentum. Trischman noted that at least two dozen people contacted her with similar concerns within a day of the announcement. •Trischman and Bennett met with Jan Jackson and Scott Gross of CE to discuss formation of an advisory council for CE to include several faculty members and which would help CE focus on engaging, helping, and being of service to the faculty. •Trischman and Bennett will have a regularly scheduled meeting with Oberem on March 18; please advise Trischman if you have issues you wish to be brought up in this meeting. •UBC will be meeting this Friday. •PDC's website displaying space requests can be found at: <http://www.csusm.edu/universityspace/log.html>. It was noted that the Senate's space request was made in 2010.

IV. Interim Provost's report, Graham Oberem: Unable to attend.

V. ASI Board meeting report, Ofer Meilich: Unable to attend; written report attached to agenda.

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved S = Seconded D = Defeated P = Passed L = Lost W = Withdrawn

VI. Committee reports None.

VII. Discussion items

A. BLP/UCC CEHHS Master's in Social Work Reports from both committees and catalog copy were attached to the agenda. Concerns raised by EC members included that: (1) the proposers' claim that Liberal Studies majors are likely applicants (not likely, since they are K-8 teachers); (2) faculty searches are already underway even though the program has not been approved; (3) several resource and budget questions remain unanswered; (4) UCC worked on the proposal in a vacuum, without the benefit of program experts to consult; (5) the tasks and responsibilities of faculty hired through EL seem to be different from those of university faculty; and (6) there continue to be questions about how funding in EL works and how funds flow back to Academic Affairs units.

As a result of the discussion concerning the lack of program experts to respond to UCC's queries, it was recommended that UCC add a statement concerning this to their report on the MSW, and the EC will schedule a discussion about the issue in general in order to determine whether there is need for a policy statement.

B. FAC RTP policy - record of early tenure attempt Nava introduced this item, which was developed in response to concerns raised by Faculty Affairs. It was suggested that new item g be incorporated into new item f. It was also suggested that the language be made broader in order that it could apply to more early review scenarios.

C. PAC Resources in Nursing program review Trischman explained that--since the program is in Extended Learning--answers to the resource questions are not commented on with any specificity. This brings up the questions of whether we need a different level of review specified for programs within EL and, if so, how best to address this issue. Are there gaps experienced by students in EL, and how do we identify those? Trischman asked EC members to review PAC's letter attached to the March Senate agenda, and make note of any areas where there may be need for additional information. It was suggested that student advising should be carefully reviewed, especially in case of credentialing and licensing programs. What else might we be missing?

VIII. EC members' concerns & announcements None.

Meeting adjourned at 2 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Marcia Woolf, Senate Coordinator

Approved by the Executive Committee _____
Janet McDaniel, Secretary Date

**All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.*

Legend: M = Moved S = Seconded D = Defeated P = Passed L = Lost W = Withdrawn