MINUTES

Executive Committee of the Academic Senate CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS Wednesday, September 27, 2006

KEL 5207 12 – 2 p.m.

Members Present Gilbert Valadez, Chair Patty Seleski, Vice Chair Rosario Díaz-Greenberg, Secty. Mohammad Oskoorouchi, APC Kathleen Watson, BLP Kathy Hayden, FAC Marshall Whittlesev, GEC Shaovi He, LATAC David Chien, NEAC Gabriela Sonntag, PAC Moses Ochanji, SAC Olaf Hansen, UCC Glen Brodowsky, ASCSU Dick Montanari, ASCSU **Ex Officio Present** Emily Cutrer, Provost; Judy Papenhausen, Nursing; Janet Powell, CFA Staff Marcia Woolf, Academic Senate Coordinator

I. Approval of Agenda

ADD: New Business, B. Traffic Problems

Motion #1 M/S/P*

To approve the agenda as amended.

II. Approval of Minutes of 9/20/06

Motion #2 M/S/P*

To approve the minutes as presented.

- III. Chair's Report, Gilbert Valadez
- **A.** Announcements: The Task Force on Facilitating Graduation will be on campus on October 31st, and will meet with EC members at 1 p.m. (tentative). The WASC committee will make an announcement at the October Senate meeting, asking faculty and Senators to review the draft reports and offer substantive feedback. Once the report is finalized, they will ask the Senate for a resolution to endorse.
 - **B.** Referrals to Committees: NEAC Task Force on *Pride* Organizational Issues 2 at large (Fall '06)
- IV. Secretary's Report, Rosario Diaz-Greenberg: The administration has approved the following Senate item:
 - GEC Correction to Resolution on Satisfaction of the BB Requirement for Natural Science
- V. Provost's Report, Emily Cutrer: There will be a reception this afternoon for promoted and tenured faculty. The Academic Blueprint Committee will meet on September 28, and it will be recommended that the ABC be dissolved in its current form, but reconvened as a WASC group to address WASC theme 1, Academic Planning. The group would be headed by David Barsky and would evaluate our academic planning process, identify overlaps of roles, and come up with a recommendation for future planning, including addressing whether there is any role for a group to do long-range and curriculum planning, aside from those groups already in place. EC members noted that any new structures for curriculum planning should include broad faculty input and representation, and include any aspects of the ABC's role not met by existing committees.
- VI. Statewide Senate Report: The CSU is holding a Student Success conference October 19-20 in Los Angeles. The Intersegmental Coordinating committee's subcommittee on Student Learning met recently and discussed the Early Assessment program. Brodowsky and Montanari gave an update on ASCSU activities at a recent CoBA college meeting, and also discussed Senate representation at the systemwide and campus levels.

VII. CFA Report, Janet Powell: The CSU has requested a declaration of impasse, though the CFA is still willing to negotiate. There is a great discrepancy between what the CSU claims to be offering, and what these offers actually mean. The CFA hopes to bring 1,000 faculty members to the November 15 Board of Trustees meeting; bus transportation will be provided. It appears that additional compensation increases are planned for CSU presidents.

VIII. Brief Committee Reports

BLP: The committee is working on strategic objectives simultaneously with the Academic Affairs Leadership Council. The two groups will then meet together to discuss on October 17. BLP will also be involved in discussions concerning long-range planning for the division of Academic Affairs.

GEC: No report.

NEAC: No report.

SAC: A written report was provided and is appended hereto.

FAC: A handout was provided of a FAC statement concerning the internal search for an AVP for Research and International Programs and Dean of Graduate Studies. It read: "Recommendation from FAC regarding: Search for AVP of Research and Dean of Graduate Studies: We had a spirited discussion and have concerns about the search. In light of the centrality of this position in the lives of faculty and the work that we do, FAC would like to assert the following position. It is in the best interest of faculty to ensure widespread recruitment. Therefore, if the search is to be internal, FAC encourages serious recruitment to make sure there is a strong and full field of candidates that represent the diversity of the faculty." FAC was asked to consider drafting a resolution for action by the full Senate, and to look into faculty rights related to administrative searches and whether there are separate constraints for administrative and other types of searches. Brodowsky offered to pose questions at the systemwide level regarding policy and legal requirements for searches, and to work with FAC on the resolution. EC members agreed that the issue is not a personal one but rather an issue of ensuring an open playing field for administrative searches, and suggested a two-part resolution addressing both the current search and the broader issue of administrative searches in general.

Motion #3 M/S/P* (Diaz-Greenberg)

To refer to FAC the drafting of a resolution concerning conduct of administrative searches.

IX. Old Business None.

X. New Business

- A. EC Master's in Social Work Status of Senate Review In light of the administration's decision to postpone development of a College of Health & Human Services, Hansen noted that UCC has discussed this issue and, because so much work was done last year, the committee would like to continue its review of the largely prescribed MSW program and forward it to the Senate. He has been advised by the administration that a champion will be identified once UCC review is resumed. Watson indicated that the Senate would not be able to approve the budgetary aspects of the proposal, and that the BLP, which reviewed the program last spring, would have to re-review the program whenever it is finally planned for implementation. It was suggested that the UCC continue its review, and that the EC begin discussing how to move the program forward through the Senate.
- **B. Traffic Problems** The EC discussed the traffic congestion on campus and ways it could be mitigated. Concern was expressed about safety, as well as whether plans are in place for ensuring safe emergency evacuation. EC members asked that Valadez and Cutrer request information concerning campus plans to resolve these issues.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:40 p.m. Marcia Woolf, Academic Senate Coordinator

APPROVED:		DATE:	
_	Rosario Dìaz-Greenberg, Secretary 05-07		

*All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.

Legend: M = Moved S = Seconded D = Defeated P = Passed L = Lost W = Withdrawn

Student Affairs Committee Report to the Senate Executive Committee - 9/27/06

a) Disabled Student issues

A case of a student with disability but without health insurance was discussed. The student is unable to provide necessary documentation needed in order to be assigned a note taker. Issue discussed the issue with the Director of Disabled Student Services about helping this student from the "kindness of heart". Issues raised at SAC include, how does the office of Disabled Student Services deal with such issues in the future? Can there be contingent support for such cases where students do not have health insurance? SAC will invite John Segoria, DSS Director to discuss possibilities

b) Guidelines & Processes for lottery proposals

The office of Strategic Planning has recommended a name change from *Discretionary Lottery Fund* to *Academic Senate Lottery Fund* to remove confusion with a similar lottery fund provided directly to Deans.

SAC approve a timeline for the proposal submission process as follows:

Timetable for 2007/08 Discretionary Lottery Fund Proposals:

November 20, 2006 (Monday)	IV. Call distributed	
January 30, 2007 (Tuesday)	Deadline for submission of proposals to college/library/unit (All university-wide proposals should be submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies and Research)	
February 27, 2007 (Tuesday)	Deadline for college library/unit to submit prioritized proposals to SAC (Please submit to the Office of Graduate Studies and Research with 5 copies of each proposal)	
March 23, 2007 (Friday)	Deadline for SAC to submit prioritized all-university proposals and approved unit proposals to Associate VP for Research	
June/July 2007	Approval letters will be sent after funding is verified for new fiscal year.	

The following items need to be added to call for proposals letter:

- **Proposers need to specify budget explicitly.** SAC received proposals last year in which applicants included an amount in their budget summary without any explanation of what the money would be used for. Add statement to the call that says "Requests for budget lacking specific itemization will not be funded."
- **Proposals asking for library collections should include costs for processing.** The approximate cost is \$20 per item-- processing, cataloging and supply costs for the Library. Applicants should ideally contact a librarian prior to submitting their proposals.
- Proposals requiring technology support such as website development or support should consult with IITS and if necessary receive IITS' signature.

SAC will continue discussion on ranking of university wide proposals.

c) Academic Honesty Presentations

SAC will make presentations to the Department of World Languages on October 20 at 10.00

d) Faculty participation at commencement

Faculty center director to visit SAC for further discussion on October 5th

e) Student participation on committees

SAC will seek input from ASI

*All motions were passed unanimously unless stated otherwise.