California State University San Marcos COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Summer 2009

COURSE: EDMX 631

Foundations in Law, Ethics, and Procedures of Special Education (3 credit units)

CLASSROOM: University Hall 373 (Academic Hall 102, June 15th Joint Class)

MEETING TIMES: 4:30 – 8:30 P.M.

INSTRUCTORS:

Jacqueline S. Thousand Gayle Patterson Dawn Dully Office: University Hall 208 NCCSE NCCSE

e-mail: jthousan@csusm.edu gpatterson@sdcoe.net dddully@hotmail.com

Phone: (760) 533-1744

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION and COLLEGE MISSION

Introduction to state and federal special laws regarding special education, ethical issues in special education; procedures and forms used in California schools to identify and support children and youth eligible for special education; and the Individual Education Program planning, implementation, and evaluation process. Students practice principles of effective collaborative and interdisciplinary teaming and focus on best practices for inclusive educational programming.

Course Prerequisite

Admission to the Level I Education Specialist Credential Program.

College of Education Mission Statement

The mission of the College of Education Community is to collaboratively transform public education by preparing thoughtful educators and advancing professional practices. We are committed to diversity, educational equity, and social justice, exemplified through reflective teaching, life-long learning, innovative research, and ongoing service. Our practices demonstrate a commitment to student-centered education, diversity, collaboration, professionalism, and shared governance.

II. PERFORMANCE GOALS

Participants

- Goal 1. demonstrate knowledge of relevant and current federal and state laws, regulations, practices and due process procedural safeguards that pertain to California public education, students with disabilities, and their parents and care providers.
- Goal 2. demonstrate knowledge of professional ethical standards of practice (i.e., Council for Exceptional Children Code of Ethics @ www.cec.sped.org/ps/code.htm) and California Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe Education Specialist competencies.

- Goal 3. demonstrate knowledge of the five critical elements of an effective collaborative planning team (i.e., PIGS Face) and awareness of their effective use of these elements in "base team" and other meetings.
- Goal 4. demonstrate knowledge of and skill in using strategies for working effectively and collaboratively with family members and others (e.g., educators, administrators, paraprofessionals, community agency and related service personnel) to design, implement, and evaluate IEPs for individual learners.
- Goal 5. demonstrate knowledge of co-teaching approaches to facilitate student inclusion in general education and learning of the core curriculum from highly qualified teachers.
- Goal 6. demonstrate understanding of the roles, rights, and responsibilities of special educators, related services personnel, classroom teachers, administrators, parents and students with disabilities in implementing the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA), the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and the Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504) and related California special education laws and regulations.
- Goal 7. demonstrate knowledge of and competence in the Individual Education Program (IEP) development and implementation process (i.e., screening; nonbiased and family-centered assessment; eligibility determination; IEP development to access the core general education curriculum; development of goals and measurable objectives; identification of necessary modifications and accommodations to curriculum, instruction, and assessment; identification of needed supports and services, placement decision making; determination of accommodations for participation in school-wide, district-wide, and state assessments.
- Goal 8. demonstrate knowledge of the Response to Intervention (RtI) framework for proactive interventions to reduce special education referral.
- Goal 9. demonstrate skills in developing meaningful standards-based IEP goals to enable learner access to the core curriculum.

III. PROFESSIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Attend all class sessions. Be on time. Please call the instructor when you are unable to attend class or when you will be late. It is the policy of the CSUSM College of Education that any student who misses 20% or more of class time or class sessions may not receive a passing grade for a course.
- 2. "Person-first" language (e.g., "Student with ADHD" rather than "ADHD student;" "Johnny who happens to have Down syndrome" rather than "My Down syndrome student") must be used throughout all written and oral assignments and discussions.

- 3. Word process all written documents. Keep a copy of all of your work. You will want these copies for your records and for potential future use as professional portfolio entries.
- 4. Complete and hand in all assignments on the due dates for full credit. If you have extraordinary circumstances that impact completion of your assignments, please let the instructor(s) know. Any time that you have questions or concerns, please contact the instructor(s) immediately.
- 5. Participate in class discussions and group activities and demonstrate positive interpersonal skills with classmates and guests.
- 6. Select a class "buddy" or two (e.g., Base Team teammates) to ensure that you receive handouts and information when you must miss class.

 Buddy: Telephone: e-mail: Fax:
- 7. TaskStream Electronic Portfolio. Students must register online for TaskStream access for a minimum of one year. Fees are paid online at www.TaskStream.com. This is a requirement of every credential candidate. Candidates learn how to use this site in EDUC 422. Students will post selected assignments and make reflective comments in response to the Education Specialist Level I Preliminary standards identified in this syllabus for EDMX 631. Once you have an account, you must enroll in the Level I "buckets." For those who already have a credential, on the TaskStream main page, click on "My Programs." Under the list on the top of the page is "Self-Enrollment Options." Click here. A box comes up asking for a program code. The Education Specialist Level I Auto Enrollment Code is 2FSA56.
- 8. Academic Honesty Policy and Plagiarism. Students are expected to adhere to standards of academic honesty and integrity, as outlined in the Student Academic Honesty Policy. All assignments must be original work, clear and error-free. All ideas/material that are borrowed from other sources must have appropriate references to the original sources. Any quoted material should give credit to the source and be punctuated accordingly. The instructor reserves the right to discipline any student for academic dishonesty, in accordance with the general rules and regulations of the university. Disciplinary action may include the lowering of a grade, a failing grade for the assignment or the class as a whole, or dismissal from the program or university.

IV. COURSE FEATURES

Authorization to Teach English Learners

This credential program has been specifically designed to prepare teachers for the diversity of languages often encountered in California public school classrooms. The authorization to teach English learners is met through the infusion of content and experiences within the credential program, as well as additional coursework. Students successfully completing this program receive a credential with authorization to teach English learners.

Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations

Students must be approved for services by providing appropriate and recent documentation to the Office of Disable Student Services (DSS). This office is located in Craven Hall 5205, and can be contacted by phone at (760) 750-4905, or TTY (760) 750-4909. Students authorized by DSS to receive reasonable accommodations should meet with their instructor during office hours or, in order to ensure confidentiality, in a more private setting.

Academic Writing Requirement

This course ensures that the university's minimum 2,500-word per course writing requirement is met through the course assignments, reflections, and TaskStream postings for Level I Education Specialist standards assigned to this course.

Level I Education Specialist Standards

The course objectives, assignments, and assessments have been aligned with the CCTC standards for the Mild/Moderate Education Specialist Credential listed below. This course is responsible for ensuring that candidates demonstrate competence for Level I Standards 10, 11, and 16. The posting of the indicated artifacts and the composition of an essay regarding competence on TaskStream demonstrate competence.

Education Specialist Level I Preliminary Mild/Moderate Standards

Standard 10: Professional, Legal, and Ethical Practices

(TaskStream – Legal Brief & Reflection #1a)

Standard 11: Educational Policy and Perspectives

(TaskStream – Institute Reflection & Reflection #2)

Standard 16: Effective Communication and Collaborative Partnerships

(TaskStream – Select something from your Base Team Meetings)

Guidelines for TaskStream Submission

The purpose of a Level I portfolio is to assess how you meet each of the Level I standards. Although all of the artifacts you place in your portfolio have been assessed/graded by your professors, the connection between the artifacts and your understanding of how your course and field experiences evidence your competence is not demonstrated until you make those connections in your Level I portfolio in TaskStream. Your task is to write a cogent reflective essay for each Level I standard about how the artifacts you post provide evidence that you have met each Level I standard.

Level I standards are threaded *throughout* your credential program and are addressed multiple times within and across courses. Even though a number of standards are addressed in each course, you are specifically responsible for writing a reflective statement for a given subset of standards identified in course's syllabus. You are expected to reflect upon these standards through TaskStream postings by the end of each course.

Each time you submit a response, you will request and receive feedback from the course instructor. (Never request "Evaluation" or you will disappear from TaskStream view.) The instructor will ask for revision or state that you are done. Please continue to check your

TaskStream portfolio until the instructor states that you are done with the response to each assigned standard. You cannot get course credit without having all of the assigned standards indicated as completed by the instructor.

Each narrative must include at least the following components.

You must attach a minimum of one artifact for each standard. This artifact may be designated by the course syllabus or it may be an artifact of your choice. You also are encouraged to attach and write about more than one artifact.

To assist you in writing of the reflective essay for each standard, the special education faculty have agreed upon a paragraph structure for TaskStream responses. Please be succinct in your writing. State your ideas clearly, and ground them in the evidence represented by your artifact(s).

- **1. Required 1st paragraph**: Introduce the reader to this Level I standard. DO NOT restate the standard. Instead, reflect upon and summarize the significance of your overall learning and developing knowledge and abilities related to the standard.
- **2a. Required 2nd paragraph**: Explain how *one attached artifact* evidences your learning related to the Level I standard. Tell the reader in what ways this artifact illustrates what you have learned or are able to do relative to the standard.
- **2b.** If a second artifact is requested: Explain how *this attached artifact* evidences your learning related to the Level I standard. Tell the reader in what ways this artifact illustrates what you have learned or are able to do relative to the standard.
- **3. Required last paragraph:** Describe what from your *field experiences* (e.g., clinical practice, internship, observations) enables you to demonstrate competence with regard to this Level I standard. You may include an illustrative artifact from your field experience. If you do so, explain how it illustrates your competence with regard to the standard. If you do not have field experiences to draw from, set at least one goal for developing future competence with regard to the standard.

V. SCHOLASTIC REQUIREMENTS

College of Education Attendance Policy

Due to the dynamic and interactive nature of courses in the COE, all students are expected to attend and actively participate in all classes. Students must attend at least 80% of class time to receive a passing grade for the course (at the discretion of the instructor). Should there have extenuating circumstances, notify the instructor immediately. (Adopted by the COE Governance Community, December, 1997).

Grading Scale (in percentages):

A: 94-100 A-: 92-93 B+: 89-91 B: 86-88 B-: 84-86 C+: 81-83

NOTE: The minimum acceptable grade for a course in the professional education credential sequence is C+. A "B" average must be maintained for continuation in the program.

Class Attendance and Participation (40 maximum points)

This course consists of 7, 4-hour class sessions and attendance at the Annual San Diego Summer Leadership Institute on the evening of July 23rd and the days of July 24th and 25th. Attendance at and active participation in each of the **7 classes and 3 institute days** is worth a maximum of **4 points per class/day**.

Regular and punctual attendance is required. This class is interactive in nature, and the in-class experiences and discussions are difficult if not impossible to recreate. Additionally, each class member is expected to practice exemplary collaborative teaming and participatory behavior. To reinforce the program's commitment to the development of professional interpersonal skills, students earn points each class for: a) arriving on time; b) returning from breaks on time; c) staying for all of the class; d) fully participating and cooperating with classmates, instructors, and guests in accordance with agreed-upon "base team" and class norms; and e) completing and sharing weekly reflections. Participants who arrive late, departs early, engage a "non-collaborative" behavior, or fail to have assignments/reflections prepared on time can expect to receive less than the maximum points for a class.

Summer Leadership Institute and Weekly Reading Reflection (20 maximum points)

Participants will prepare three written reading reflections (**10 points**). In addition, a written reaction to each of the keynotes and sessions attended at the institute is due the 9th class meeting (**10 points**). The quality of this and all written work for this course will be judged according to at least the following criteria:

- a) use of respectful, professional, "person first" (i.e., Gayle who has Cerebral Palsy versus "the CP kid") language;
- b) correctness of spelling, punctuation, and grammatical usage of language;
- c) organization, comprehensiveness, and professional appearance of the product;
- d) evidence of higher order thinking (e.g., analysis, synthesis, application, evaluation); and
- e) connection with other readings, lectures, workshops, discussions, and experiences.

Base Team Meetings (20 maximum points)

Base Teams, formulated at the beginning of the course, meet regularly and use the "Team Meeting Worksheet" format to practice collaborative teaming skills, complete assignments, and generally support one another. Each team is required to create a team notebook (e.g., 3-ring binder with dividers) that compiles Team Meeting worksheets, team products, and group processing outcomes.

Participation in base team meetings is mandatory. Documented attendance and participation (via team meeting minutes and products) is worth **5 points per meeting**. Each team is expected to hold a minimum of 4 meetings of at least one hour in length outside of class time and follow the prescribed agenda. See each base team agenda to determine the products to be generated and the group processing to be conducted.

Base Team Meetings

Mtg. #1: Norms, Names, & Collaboration

Mtg. #2: Problem Behaviors

Mtg. #3: IEP Analysis

Mtg. #4: California Code Scenarios

Social Skills Processing Procedures

(Forming Checklist)

(Functioning Checklist)

(Formulating Checklist)

(Fermenting Checklist)

Rights & Responsibilities Legal Brief via the World Wide Web (20 maximum points)

Each class participant creates a "Legal Brief" by reading *the Special Education Rights and Responsibility* (SERR) manual, which is accessed via the Disability Rights California home page (www.pai-ca.org). Once on the www.pai-ca.org homepage, select the Resources & Publications button. From here select "Special education" button. Here you will find the Special Education Rights and Responsibilities (SERR) manual as well as a) 18 Tips for Getting Quality Special Education Services for your Child, b) YIKES! My Child with a Disability Is Being Considered for Expulsion! c) Eek! My Child with a Disability Keeps Getting Suspended and d) Special Education Rights & Responsibilities for Children with ADD/ADHD.

Your brief is comprised of three parts.

First, for 12 points, compose reactions to each of the 12 chapters of the SERR. For each chapter, select two questions, which you find to be the most compelling. For each of these two questions, describe why it is compelling. You may take the perspective of an educator, parent, community member, or a child with a disability. Your reaction to each question should be 1/2 page in length.

Second, for 4 points, scroll down, download, save and read each of the following publications: a) 18 Tips for Getting Quality Special Education Services for your Child, b) YIKES! My Child with a Disability Is Being Considered for Expulsion! c) Eek! My Child with a Disability Keeps Getting Suspended, and d) Special Education Rights & Responsibilities for Children with ADD/ADHD. Compose a 1/2-page reaction to each of the four links. Please see all of the other links here and download and save those that are of most interest to you for future use.

Third, for 3 additional points, return to Quick Links on the front page of the side and select "Links" which will provide you an alphabetical listing of "Links to Other Resources." You may also access additional professional websites via your Rosenberg text site: www.prenhall.com/rosenberg. Click on the title or your text. Click on "enter" under General Special Education Resources. At "Select a Topic," view the options (e.g., Laws, Legislation, & Regulations; Inclusion, Families & Collaboration). Click on both "overview" and "general information" for terminologies, websites and more!

Select **three** web sites to analyze. Of the three, you must analyze at least **one** of the following websites:

- 1) www.interventioncentral.org be sure to examine RTI_WIRE
- 2) www.nichcy.org be sure to go to nichcy.org/resources/IDEA2004resources.asp
- 3) www.rtinetwork.org click on each of the topical areas
- 4) www.calstat.org be sure to go to CalSTAT publications and pod casts

For each of the three websites, the reaction/reflection should be approximately one page in length. The reaction/reflection at a minimum should summarize a) how each site can assist you as a special educator, b) what you found about the implications of the 2004 IDEIA reauthorization and RtI options for school systems, and c) any other thoughts, opinions, feelings or learnings that resulted from your site review.

For a final point, the quality of writing (i.e., use of correct spelling and grammar, clear organizational structure) will be evaluated, so present your written work with care.

Course enrollees are assigned CSUSM e-mail accounts and have computer use privileges on campus. Participants also are encouraged to use home computers to access the web and download the Protection and Advocacy publications as well as other documents you wish to save. The complete assignment is due by Class #6. However, SERR chapters and publications assigned for each class session should be read and reactions should be brought to class to share. This ensures that you have background knowledge for the topics addressed in class and also ensures that you finish on time. Note that this is an individualistic (versus cooperative/collaborative) assignment intended to evidence your technology application skills as well as develop your knowledge regarding special education law. In other words, composing with a classmate or using a classmate's words is considered academic misconduct.

EDMX 631 Reflection Prompts

Writing Criteria

The following reflections are due on the dates indicated in the syllabus schedule. The "Reflection Prompt" for each reflection is presented below.

The quality of reflections will be judged based upon at least the following criteria:

- use of respectful and professional "person first" language (i.e., "Rich who has Down syndrome" versus "the Downs child");
- word processed with accuracy in spelling, punctuation, and grammar;
- organization, comprehensiveness, and professional appearance of the product
- connection with readings, class discussions, findings on the web, and;
- use of higher order thinking skills of application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation.

Reflection Prompts

Reflection #1a (4 points)

Chapter 4 of the Ed Code of the Composite of Laws (CDE) updates eligibility and IEP process requirements due to the 2004 IDEIA reauthorization. Chapter 2 and Chapter 7 of Rosenberg et al. offers a huge amount of information regarding the legal aspects of special education and the procedures and paperwork all special educators must manage. First familiarize yourself with Chapter 4 of the Ed Code. Then, in the Rosenberg text, read pages 38-49 of Chapter 2 and all of Chapter 7. From the perspective of a brand new special educator responsible for ensuring that every communication, assessment, eligibility, placement, and IEP-development step occurs in a timely and student-centered manner for

Sara, the focus student in Chapter 7. As the newly hired special educator at Sara's school site, you want to make sure that you do not miss a single step in the referral and IEP process with Sara or any other student. Your assignment for Reflection #1a is to construct a detailed graphic organizer - flowchart, table, outline, mind map, or other visual - to help you remember each of the procedural steps in the sequence from "prereferral" to "exit" for which you are responsible. Be very specific and include as much of the information from Chapter 7 as you can, as this is your personal graphic organizer to keep you out of "hot water" procedurally as a new special educator. A hard copy of this reflection must be brought to Class #3 to share and assess. It also must be brought to class for Class #4 to be revised according to the directions provided in Reflection #1b.

Reflection #1b (1 point)

Before class, please read:

1) pp. 3, 7 & 8 of Vol. 21(1) of The Special EDge [Autumn 2007] posted as a pdf at the Resources button of the EDMX 631 WebCT6 site. It also can be accessed from www.calstat.org/about.html (Go to publications button; go to "Click here to view *The*" Special Edge in English" and then click on Autumn 2007 "Responding to Change" issue) 2) No more "waiting to fail" on pages 40-46 of Vol. 26(2) of Educational Leadership [October 2007] posted as a pdf at the Resources button of the EDMX 631 WebCT6 site

3) Response to Intervention Primer from NASP (pdf file posted on WebCT)

4) from www.rtinetwork.org, the articles under Learn About RTI

What is RTI? Why Adopt an RTI Model? LD Identification

Be prepared to write responses to the following three prompts **IN CLASS**.

Part 1: With your Reflection #1a graphic organizer, where does the Response to Intervention approach and curriculum-based ways of intervening and tracking Sara's performance fit? Please revise your graphic organizer to include RtI components.

Part 2: Please look at the RtI pyramid in Figure 1 of the No More "Waiting to Fail article." Where do you believe Sara falls? Provide a written rationale.

Part 3: Thinking about what you know about effective literacy instruction, generate and describe in writing one strategy not yet identified by Sara's team to intervene to accelerate her literacy performance. This would be an RTI intervention response offered in the general education classroom.

Reflection #2 (3 points)

Read carefully the NCCSE Notice of Procedural Safeguards which all parents, legal guardians, surrogate parents and students who have reached the age of majority (18) must be given. As you read, please highlight (literally) all of the information that you consider important to remember and to highlight (verbally in an IEP meeting) for families and students. Realizing that you cannot go over all of the content of the safeguards with a parent or student in a meeting, select 20 of your highlighted items you believe are

important to explain using lay (versus jargon) language to a student or to family members. Using non-jargon lay language, create a hard copy of this list, From this list, select the top 13 (Baker's Dozen) to include on a "5 X 7 card" type of document that you can bring to a meeting as a procedural safeguards "cheat sheet." Bring both your list of 20 safeguard points (in lay language) and your 5 X 7 card of 13 items to class, as we will exchange cards in class. Note: Feel free to word process this content; just cut it down to size as if it were a 5 X 7 card.

Reflection #3 (2 points)

You will be assigned to read one section of Chapter 9 of Rosenberg et al. (pp. 296 – 304 + Figure 9.1 on pages 305 & 306, 305 -312, or 313-319). Carefully study your section's text, Boxes, Figures and Pause and Reflects. Based upon your reading, prepare a 1-page visual representation of the most salient points in your section. Bring 5 copies of your visual to the last class. Be prepared to teach this content (in 7 minutes or less) to a small group of classmates who have read other sections of Chapter 9. When teaching, you may direct your pupils to the text for illustrations of checklists, forms, and so forth.

Summer Leadership Institute Reflection (10 points)

Prepare a 5 to 6-page reaction to the keynotes and sessions attended at the San Diego Summer Leadership Institute. Be sure your reflection makes reference to each and every one of the keynotes and sessions you attended, as this is an "authentic" attendance check. More importantly, this reflection is an opportunity for you to synthesize your experience of the institute with course and other past learnings and experiences. It also is an opportunity for you to formulate instructional and organizational strategies for your own future as a special education or classroom teacher. So, make connections and be creative in your analysis, synthesis, and evaluations. Make the experience count for you. Other than these instructions and the general writing criteria articulated above, you have free reign to express yourself in this culminating reflection.

VI. BOOKS. WEB SITES AND MATERIALS NEEDED FOR CLASS

California Department of Education, Special Education Division (2008). *California special education programs: A composite of laws* (30th ed.). Sacramento: Author. (CDE)

Disability Rights of California (www.pai-ca.org). *Special Education Rights and Responsibilities*. Accessible on the web at www.pai-ca.org. Note: At the Resources & Publications button, select special education. (SERR)

Rosenberg, M., O'Shea, L. & O'Shea, D. (2006). Student teacher to master teacher: A practical guide for educating students with special needs (4th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill. (RO)

Chapter 10: Thousand, J., & Villa, R. (2000). Collaborative teaming: A powerful tool in school restructuring. From R. Villa, & J. Thousand (Eds.) *Restructuring for caring and effective education: Piecing the puzzle together* (2nd ed.). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes. (V&T Ch. 10) (pdf posted on WEBCT6 home page)

Response to Intervention Primer from NASP (pdf posted on WebCT)

Special education forms for San Diego City Schools and North Coastal Consortium for Special Education (NCCSE) [Hard copy provided by instructor, if not accessible to course participants.]

Web Sites:

Transition to Adult Living: An Information and Resource Guide http://www.calstat.org/publications/pdfs/Transition_final_08.pdf

CalSTAT technical assistance center @ www.calstat.org

CARS+ website @ www.carsplus.org

Code of Ethics: www.cec.sped.org/ps/code.htm

Response to Intervention and other resources: Interventioncentral.org, then go to RTI WIRE and download links

RTI Action Network: www.rtinetwork.org

National Information Center for Children and Youth with Disabilities @ www.nichcy.org

Rosenberg text website: www.prenhall.com/rosenberg

Wrights Law website: www.wrightslaw.com

VII. Schedule of Classes, Readings, Activities, and Assignments

The class schedule appears on the following page. To the right of each class session and date is the class topic, a listing of the readings due for the class, sections of the Protection and Advocacy Legal Brief assignment that should be read for that class' "Brief Conversation" time, and assignments due for that class. Text names are abbreviated as indicated above in VI.

Note that there is a joint class on MONDAY, June 15th in Academic Hall (ACD) 102. Note also that the evening of July 23rd and all day July 24th and July 25th are the Summer Leadership Institute that constitutes a third of the course. You must be present for all three days to pass EDMX 631.

Contingent upon the nature of the assignment and/or the discretion of the instructors, assignments submitted late may not receive full credit.

Class	Topics	Reflection	Brief	Assignments Due
		Readings		
#1	Collaborative Teaming			Purchase texts
6/8	Acronym Jargon Busting			Form Base Team
or	Form Base Team			Browse pai-
6/10	Assign Base Team Mtg. #1			ca.org
	Assign Reflection #1a			
#2	Brief Conversations	V&T Ch. 10	SERR	Set up 4 "Base
6/15	IDEIA 2004 & IEP Cycle	(for Base	Chs. 1 (basic rights),	Team" meetings
JOINT	Assign Base Team Mtg. #2	Team	2 (evaluation), &	to be completed
CLASS	Guests: Jamie Houghtelin	Mtg. #1)	4 (IEP process)	BEFORE July
ACD 102	& support team			13/15th
#3	Carousel of Base Team	RO pp. 38-56	SERR Ch. 8	Reflection #1a
6/22	Mtgs. #3 & #4	RO Ch. 7	(discipline)	Complete Base
or	Reflection #1a Sharing	CDE Ch 4	Eek!	Team Mtg. #1
6/24	Types of IEPs		Yikes!	
	Guest: Jan Israel		18 Tips	
#4	Line Dancing RTI & IEP	For readings,	SERR	Revised Ref. #1a
6/29	Confidentiality & D.I.E	see	Chs. 3 (eligibility) &	Prep. for Ref.
or	Reflection #1b	Reflection	7 (LRE)	#1b
7/1	Elegant Goals	#1b		Complete Base
	Assign Reflection #2			Team Mtg. #2
#5	Reflection #2 Report Out		SERR	Complete Base
7/6	Practice Goal Writing		Chs. 5 (related	Team Mtgs.
or	7 Deadly Sins		services) & 6 (due	#3 & #4
7/8	Assign Reflection #3		process)	
	Timelines & Eligibility		ADHD	
#6	Reflection #3 Jigsaw	RO Ch. 9	SERR	Base Team
7/13	Transition Planning - ITP	(Jigsaw)	Chs. 9 (interagency)	Notebook
or	Writing and Interpreting		& 10 (transition	(Post choice item
715	Reports			on TaskStream
				for Standard 16)
#7	Summer Institute, Arts 240		SERR Chs. 11 & 12	Legal Brief (Post
7/23	4:45 – 8:45 p.m.		(preschool, early ed.)	for TaskStream,
			3 websites	Standard 10)
#8	Summer Institute, Arts 240			
7/24	7:30 – 3:15 p.m.			
#9	Summer Institute, Arts 240			
7/25	7:30 – 4:00 p.m.			
#10	10 Commandments; MAPs	RO pp. 211 –		Institute
7/27	Managing Paperwork Wars	214 (top of		Reflection (Post
or	Base Team Mtg. #5	page)		for TaskStream,
7/29	Celebration & Evaluation			Standard 11)
	Guests: Deb Teudt			

631 TRACKING FORM

NAME:	
BASE TEAM NAME & ME	MBERS:
I. Attendance, Punctuality	& Participation - 40 possible points
Class #1 (4) Class #2 (4) Class #3 (4) Class #4 (4) Class #5 (4) Class #6 (4) Institute #7 (4) Institute #8 (4) Institute #9 (4)	
	(40 maximum) Notebook – 20 possible points
Base Team Meeting #1 Base Team Meeting #2 Base Team Meeting #3 Base Team Meeting #4 Base Team Notebook	(5) (5) (5) (5)
Sub-Total II.	(20 maximum)
III. Rights and Responsibili	ities Legal Brief – 20 possible points
Sub-Total III.	(20 maximum)
IV. Reading Reflections – 2	0 possible points
#1a <u>(4)</u> #1b <u>(1)</u> #2	(3) #3 (2) Summer Institute (10)
Sub-Total V.	(20 maximum)
GRAND TOTAL (100 POS	SSIBLE POINTS) =

Place of Meeting:					
Date:					
Time:					
		Base Team Mee	eting #1		
Persons Present:	<u>Late</u> :	Absentees:	Others Who	Need to Know:	
	0				
	0				
	0				
-	0				
	0 0				
D. I.		··	N. AM. C		
Roles:	This Mee	ting	Next Meeting	3.5	
Recorder			Assigned at start of Base Te		
Timekeeper			Assigned at start of Base Te		
Jargon buster Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2					
Equalizer Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2 "But" watcher Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2					
Encourager			Assigned at start of Base Te		
Elicouragei			Assigned at start of Base Te	am witg. π2	
		<u>AGEND</u> A	<u>\</u>		
SUGGESTED ITEMS				SUGGESTED TIME LIMIT	
1. Review agenda and	assign roles	for this and next	meeting.	2 min	
2. Setting Norms for Base Team Meetings: 2 mir					
Review 5 norms you consider most critical identified on the Study Guide for Collaborative Teams: A Powerful Tool in School Restructuring					
"Round robin" shar	e norms of a	ll members (Rec	order records)	7 min	
Agree upon at least 5 norms. Be sure to define so they are observable and					
measurable (Recorder records) 5 min					
3. Individually, complete the bottom section of "What preferences do you have?" 3 mir				3 min	
· L	, 1				
team growth goal.		OF THE COURS	V CLUDE	20 :	
5. COMPLETE QUESTIONS 3-8 OF THE STUDY GUIDE6. Give your team a name (Go crazy!)20 min3 min					
6. Give your team a name (Go crazy!)7. Group Processing:8 min					
With the activity or		-	ind, rate your team as a and Group Assessment of	o mm	

Collaborative Skills. Share and discuss your ratings with team members.

Select one processing method from Table 1 of the <u>Collaborative Teaming</u> chapter that is least familiar to the group and use it to process the meeting.

TOTAL TIME

60 min

MINUTES OF OUTCOMES

Action Items: Person(s) Responsible? By When?

- 1. We will communicate outcomes to absent members and others who need to know by:
- Prior to Meeting #2 go to the WebCT Resource page. Go to Base Teams. Go to Meeting #2. Go to "Ten Common Problems...." Download and print off this PDF
 - file. Bring pdf and agenda to Base

Team Meeting #2

3. Other?:

AGENDA BUILDING FOR NEXT MEETING

Date:	Location:		
Time Start:	Time End:		
Expected Agenda Items: See agenda for Base Team Meeting #2			

Individual and Group Assessment of Collaboration Skills: Forming Skills

Name:	Team Name:	
(1 = I ne)	Directions for Individual Assessment on your behavior while working as a member of your tear ever do; $5 = I$ always do), rate yourself on the following slipe 2 to 4 skills that you wish to improve.	n. On a 5-point scale
do), rate teammat	<u>Directions for Group Assessment</u> on your team's functioning. On a 5-point scale (1 = We your entire team on the following skills. Compare your tes and jointly select 2 - 4 skills to improve. Place an area selected.	ratings with those of your
	Forming Skills (Trust Building)	
<u>SELF</u>		<u>GROUP</u>
	I/we arrive at meetings on time	
	I/we stay for the duration of the meeting	
	I/we participate(d) in the establishment of the group's goals	
	I/we shared individual personal goals	
	I/we encourage everyone to participate	
	I/we use member's names	
	I/we look at the speaker	
	I/we do not use "put-downs"	

EDMX 631

I/we use an appropriate volume and voice tone

Study Guide for Collaborative Teams: A Powerful Tool in Restructuring

Directions:

Please read <u>Collaborative Teams</u>: A <u>Powerful Tool in School Restructuring</u> prior to class #2 and your first base team meeting. Based upon your reading of this chapter, answer the following questions. All questions must be completed <u>INDIVIDUALLY BEFORE YOUR FIRST BASE TEAM MEETING</u>. Please bring the collaborative teaming chapter and this study guide to your first Base Team meeting.

Class members will collaborate in their respective Base Teams to agree upon questions #1 and #2 and generate team answers to questions #3 through #8. ONE entire completed study guide will be created by **each Base Team** and archived in the team's binder of minutes and products.

Name:	Team Name:	
	-	

COMPLETE BY BASE TEAM MEETING #1:

1. Identify 5 team "norms" (i.e., rules not to be broken) that you consider most critical for you and your classmates to practice. Justify your selections.

2. Of the 13 suggested methods for processing presented in Table 1, identify the 2 that you find the most appealing or most challenging and that you would like to use to evaluate team functioning following in-class or out-of-class meetings or group activities.

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS (#3 - #8), JOT DOWN IDEAS AS YOU READ, SO THAT YOU HAVE SOMETHING TO CONTRIBUTE WHEN YOUR BASE TEAM MEETS TO GENERATE A TEAM ANSWER TO EACH QUESTION.

- 3. What does "parity" mean within a collaborative team?
- 4. Identify 3 strategies for promoting parity among members of a team.
- 5. In what ways does the distribution of leadership roles among team members promote healthy team functioning?
- 6. Aside from the roles of Recorder and Timekeeper, what 3 roles do you consider most critical to team functioning? Why?
- 7. Identify 2 ways the *Collaborative Meeting Worksheet* (Figure 3) structures face-to-face interaction.
- 8. Identify 5 of your favorite questions on *The 'Are We Really a Team?' Worksheet* (Figure 4) for prompting team members to focus upon improving their "good team member" behaviors. Why did you select these as a team?

Study Guide for

Collaborative Teams: A Powerful Tool in Restructuring (CONTINUED)

COMPLETE AS A TEAM

Our Names	 Team Name	
	-	
	- -	
	 -	
	 _	

- 3. What does "parity" mean within a collaborative team?
- 4. Identify 3 strategies for promoting parity among members of a team.
- 5. In what ways does the distribution of leadership roles among team members promote healthy team functioning?
- 6. Aside from the roles of Recorder and Timekeeper, what 3 roles do you consider most critical to team functioning? Why?
- 7. Identify 3 ways the Collaborative Team Meeting Worksheet (Figure 2 at the very end of the chapter) structures face-to-face interaction.
- 8. Identify 2 ways the Collaborative Team Meeting Worksheet prompts team members to focus upon improving their "good team member" behaviors.

Team Member:	

WHAT PREFERENCES DO YOU HAVE?

What jobs do you prefer when you work with a team?

When working with a team, what jobs do you dislike?

Check, the team roles and tasks you find to be the most enjoyable and challenging.

I enjoy	IIII roles and task <i>I find this</i>	is you find to be the most enjoyable and challenging.
this	difficult	
0	0	Being the Leader
0	0	Following the Agenda
O	O	Sharing My Turf and Control
O	O	Listening
О	O	Getting to the Specifics
O	O	Being Diplomatic
O	O	Analyzing Problems
O	O	Expressing My Ideas When I Don't Agree
0	0	Staying Positive When I Dislike the Task
O	O	Organizing Meetings and Follow-Through Activities
O	O	Following Through on Group Decisions
O	O	Developing Trust in People to Do a Quality Job
O	O	Following Routines and Procedures
O	O	Changing the Way I Do Things
0	0	Delegating Tasks and Decisions to Others
0	0	Giving Others Recognition and Credit
O	O	Weighing Pros and Cons Before Making a Decision
0	0	Working with Open-Ended Activities
O	O	Staying Aware of the Group's Feelings
0	0	Being the Decision Maker
O	O	Accepting Criticism of My Ideas
0	0	Brainstorming
0	0	Compromising
0	0	Summarizing
O	O	Dealing With Disagreements
О	O	Being Creative

TEAM SUMMARY CHART

Here are functions of effective teams. List team members' names across the top of the grid. Have each member identify his/her areas of strength. Use results to establish growth goals.

TEAM MEMBERS

Assuming leadership Being a decision maker Record keeping Accepting criticism Staying on topic Brainstorming creatively Sharing turf and control Compromising Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating dealys Meeting deallines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results Encouraging others to participate		TEAM MEMBERS		
Being a decision maker Record keeping Accepting criticism Staying on topic Brainstorming creatively Sharing turf and control Compromising Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	FUNCTIONS OF EFFECTIVE TEAMS			
Record keeping Accepting criticism Staying on topic Brainstorming creatively Sharing turf and control Compromising Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Assuming leadership			
Accepting criticism Staying on topic Brainstorming creatively Sharing turf and control Compromising Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating dealys Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Being a decision maker			
Staying on topic Brainstorming creatively Sharing turf and control Compromising Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating dealys Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Record keeping			
Brainstorming creatively Sharing turf and control Compromising Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Accepting criticism			
Sharing turf and control Compromising Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating dealys Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Staying on topic			
Compromising Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating dealys Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Brainstorming creatively			
Listening quietly Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating dealys Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Sharing turf and control			
Summarizing Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating dealys Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Compromising			
Planning specifics and details Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating dealys Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Listening quietly			
Dealing with conflict Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Summarizing			
Being diplomatic Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Planning specifics and details			
Analyzing problems Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Dealing with conflict			
Doing research Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Being diplomatic			
Expressing opposing ideas Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Analyzing problems			
Staying Positive when dislike task Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Doing research			
Organizing Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Expressing opposing ideas			
Following through on group decisions Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Staying Positive when dislike task			
Trusting people to do a quality job Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Organizing			
Following Routines and Procedures Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Following through on group decisions			
Changing the way of doing things Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Trusting people to do a quality job			
Delegating tasks and decisions Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Following Routines and Procedures			
Giving others recognition and credit Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Changing the way of doing things			
Weighing pros and cons Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Delegating tasks and decisions			
Working with open-ended activities Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Giving others recognition and credit			
Staying aware of group' members' feelings Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Weighing pros and cons			
Tolerating delays Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Working with open-ended activities			
Meeting deadlines Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Staying aware of group' members' feelings			
Serving as a spokesperson Setting goals Evaluating results	Tolerating delays			
Setting goals Evaluating results	Meeting deadlines			
Evaluating results	Serving as a spokesperson			
	Setting goals			
Encouraging others to participate	Evaluating results			
	Encouraging others to participate			

Place of Meeting	;:			
Date:				
Time:				
	Team Name: _			
	_	Base Team Meeting	g #2	
Persons Present:	<u>Late</u> :	Absentees:	Other	s Who Need to Know:
	0			
	0		<u> </u>	
	0			
	0 0			
ROTATING RO	LES: THIS N	MEETING	Roles Next Meeti	ng
Summarizer	#1 Birthday closest	t to today	Assigned at start o	f Base Team Mtg. #3
Recorder #1	First to the left of	of Summarizer	Assigned at start o	f Base Team Mtg. #3
Timekeeper		ft of Summarizer	Assigned at start o	f Base Team Mtg. #3
But Watcher				f Base Team Mtg. #3
Encourager #	Fourth to the lef	ft of Summarizer	Assigned at start o	f Base Team Mtg. #3
		AGENDA		
SUGGESTED I	TEMS			SUGGESTED TIME LIMITS
Go to "I Go to M Downlo	Meeting #2 go to fr Base Team Stuff." eeting #2 and "Ten ad and print off this df and agenda to Ba	Common Problems PDF file.	ns"	3 min
2. Review	the agenda for today to review the definition	and assign roles.		
3. Complet	e the "Working Thro	ugh Group Problen	ns Activity"	60 min
(See the	Steps on the next pag	ge.)		

STEPS (12 minutes per behavior):

- 1. Circle problems #1,5,6,8 and 10 (1 min)
- 2. As a team, silently read #1. STOP at "How a Team Leader Can Deal With ... (2 min)
- 3. Describe what behavior sound or looks like on a team you have been on. (2 min)
- 4. Brainstorm at least 4 strategies to deal with the problem. Recorder # 1 records ideas (4 min)
- 5. Silently read "How a Team Leader Can Deal With ..." (2 min)
- 6. Each member completes the phrase "One strategy I am committed to using is ... (2 min)
- 7. Rotate roles clockwise. Repeat steps 2 7 for circled problems
- 3. OTHER?
- 4. Group Processing

Process by completing the "Functioning" Checklist as a group.

7 minutes

TOTAL TIME

70+ min

MINUTES OF OUTCOMES

Action Items: Person(s) Responsible? By When?

1. We will communicate outcomes to absent members and others who need to know by:

2.

3.

Individual
Homefun:Download and print Base Team Meeting #3 agendaand group processing. Bring district IEP and Transition

forms to Base Team Meeting #3.

Team Homefun:

AGENDA BUILDING FOR NEXT MEETING

Date:	Location:
Time Start:	Time End:
Expected Agenda Items: See Base Team Agend	la #3

Individual and Group Assessment of Functioning Skills (Communication & Distributed Leadership)

Name:	Team Name:	
Reflect	Directions for Individual Assessment on your behavior while working as a member of your team. On a 5-point	t scala (1 –
	ton your behavior withe working as a member of your team. On a 3-point do; $5 = I$ always do), rate yourself on the following skills. Select and I	*
	the 2 to 4 skills that you wish to improve.	siace a star
	Directions for Group Assessment	
your er	t on your team's functioning. On a 5-point scale ($1 = \text{We never do}$; $5 = \text{We native team}$ on the following skills. Compare your ratings with those of you select $2 - 4$ skills to improve. Place an arrow next to the skills your team h	r teammates and
SELF	•	GROUP
	_ I/we share ideas	
	_ I/we share feelings when appropriate	
	_ I/we share materials and resources	
	_ I/we volunteer for roles which help the group accomplish the task	
	(e.g., timekeeper)	
	I/we volunteer for roles which help to maintain a harmonious	
	working group (e.g., encourage everyone to participate)	
	_ I/we clarify the purpose of the meeting	
	_ I/we set or call attention to time limits	
	_ I/we offer suggestions as to how to effectively accomplish the task	
	I/we ask for help, clarification, or technical assistance when	
	needed	
	_ I/we praise team members' contributions	
	_ I/we ask team members' opinions	
	_ I/we use head nods, smiles, and other facial expressions to show	
	interest/approval	
	_ I/we offer to explain or clarify	
	_ I/we paraphrase other team members' contributions	
	_ I/we energize the group with humor, ideas, or enthusiasm when	
	motivation is low	
	_ I/we relieve tension with humor	
	I/we check for others' understanding of the concepts discussed	

EDMX 631 24

I/we summarize outcomes before moving to the next agenda item

Pla Da	ace of Meeting:				
	ne:	-			
111	nc.				
			Base Team Meeting	#3	
<u>Per</u>	rsons Present:	<u>Late</u> :	Absentees:	Others WI	no Need to Know:
		О О			
		0	-		
		o			
			_		
Ro	<u>les</u> :	<u>Today</u>		Next Meeting	
	Recorder	Birthday closes	st to New Year's Eve	Assigned at start of	Base Team Mtg. #4
	Timekeeper	First to the left	of Recorder	Assigned at start of	Base Team Mtg. #4
	Jargon buster	Second to the l	eft of Recorder	Assigned at start of	Base Team Mtg. #4
	Equalizer	Third to the lef	t of the Recorder	Assigned at start of	
	Encourager	Fourth to the le	eft of the Recorder	Assigned at start of	Base Team Mtg. #4
	Other?				
			AGENDA		
					SUGGESTED
SU	GGESTED ITI	EMS			TIME LIMITS
1.	Review agenda	a and assign roles	. Identify the social sl	xill(s)/norms that the	5 min
		•	ice at this meeting.	(*),	
			_		
2.			Rosenberg et al. text. I		15 min
			ium for Special Educa		
			d components of an IE EP format. Highlight a		
		the form itself.	a format. Highlight a	nd label the	
	components of	tile form negen.			
3.	Determine hov	v the NCCSE. Sar	n Diego, or your team'	's district IEP plan	30 min
			ng topics are discussed	-	
	input is solicite	ed and considered	. Highlight the promp	ot on the form in a	
			the letters below (e.g.,		
		-	am to discuss a topic,	<u> </u>	
			attention to the topic?		
			eting to prompt discuss		
	TYOT addressed	u on the IEF. MCI	ude these written resp	onses with the	

highlighted forms in your base team notebook for Base Team Meeting #3.

- a) Participation in district & statewide assessments
- b) Transition from early childhood to school-age programs
- c) Transition planning and services from age 16
- d) Plan for behavior, if IEP team has a concern
- e) Extended school year services, if determined as needed
- f) Assistive technology
- g) Frequency of progress reports to parents
- h) IEP participation of general education teacher (who knows the child)
- i) Assessments are done in the child's primary language
- j) Parents/guardians provided translation in primary language in the meeting
- k) For English learners, are goals and objectives "linguistically appropriate" (i.e., at the learner's level of English language development)
- 1) Inclusion of students as members and advocates on the IEP team
- m) Discussion of continuum of options with IEP team members
- n) Access to the <u>core curriculum</u> through provision of accommodations, adaptations, and modifications
- o) Communication of supports and services and accommodations and modifications to the general education teachers
- p) Parent concerns and desires regarding student education and extra curricular activity participation
- 4. With this entire meeting in mind, AS A GROUP rate your team, as a whole, on the **Formulating Skills** (Creativity Skills) using the <u>Individual and Group Assessment of Collaborative Skills</u> form. Describe in writing each of your individual creativity behaviors that helped you to accomplish the tasks assigned in this meeting
- 5. Assign roles for next meeting. Be sure each person has a role they have not yet tried.

5 min

5 min

TOTAL TIME

60 min

MINUTES OF OUTCOMES & HOMEFUN

Action Items: Person(s) Responsible? By When?

1. We communicate outcomes to absent memb

<u>Individual</u> Download agenda and materials for Team Meeting #4. Bring Composite of

Homefun: Laws book and notes for your assigned dilemma to the meeting.

Team Homefun:

AGENDA BUILDING FOR NEXT MEETING

Date:	Location:	
	-	

Time Start Items:

SEE AGENDA FOR MEETING #4

Individual and Group Assessment of Collaboration Skills Formulating Skills (Decision-Making & Creative Problem Solving)

Name:	Team Name:	
never do	Directions for Individual Assessment on your behavior while working as a member of your team. On a 5-point scale (a); 5 = I always do), rate yourself on the following skills. Select and place a star not 4 skills that you wish to improve.	•
rate you	<u>Directions for Group Assessment</u> on your team's functioning. On a 5-point scale (1 = We never do; 5 = We always ar entire team on the following skills. Compare your ratings with those of tes and jointly select 2 - 4 skills to improve. Place an arrow next to the skills your cted.	your
<u>SELF</u>		GROUP
	I/we seek accuracy of information by adding to or questioning	
	summaries	
	I/we seek elaboration by relating to familiar events or asking how	
	material is understood by others	
	I/we ask for additional information or rationale	
	I/we seek clever ways of remembering ideas and facts (e.g., posters,	
	visuals, notes, mnemonic devices, public agendas)	
	I/we ask other members why and how they are reasoning	
	I/we encourage the assigning of specific roles to facilitate better	
	group functioning (e.g., process observer)	
	I/we ask for feedback in a non-confrontational way	
	I/we help to decide the next steps for the group	
	I/we diagnose group difficulties regarding tasks	
	I/we diagnose group difficulties regarding interpersonal problems	
	I/we encourage the generation and exploration of multiple solutions	

EDMX 631 27

to problems through the use of creative problem-solving strategies

Pla	ce of Meeting:				
Dat	te:				
Tin	ne:				
			Base Team Meeting	g #4	
<u>Per</u>	sons Present:	Late:	Absentees:	Others Who Know:	Need to
		0			
		0			
		0			
		o			
SU	GGESTED ITEM	IS			SUGGESTED TIME LIMITS
1.	"California Speci this book, each te that is contained the necessary info	al Education cam member within. Plead permation to a ctions in the 1	o learn to maneuver your Program: a Composite will become familiar wase use the index and tal answer the scenarios. The law that relate to and he by the scenario.	e of Laws." By using with the information ble of contents to find Take the first scenario	20 min
	We all came to the questions that each		meeting prepared with been assigned	answers to the	
2.	members. Each puthat address this p	person is to le particular sce ge number so	ald be divided evenly an ocate the sections in the enarios issue or problem that you can easily locate.	e California State Law n. Record the	10 min
	After discussing integrated our ans		Sus researched for each ach question.	question, we	
3.	Agree upon a dat Prepare to share i	-	ass #4, reconvene to co	mplete all scenarios.	5 min

AGENDA – PART 2

Reconvene to complete all scenarios. Prepare to share in Class #10.

_	-			
ĸ	പ	0	C	٠
7/	LV.	e	Э.	

	Recorder Timekeeper Jargon buster Equalizer Encourager Other?	Person who has the most brothers and sisters First person to the right of the Recorder Second person to the right of the Recorder Third person to the right of the Recorder Remaining Team Member		
1.	_	da and assign roles. Identify 2 to 3 social skill ocus upon or practice during this meeting.	(s)/norms	5 min
2.	For each scenario, the "discovery" of the relevant sections of the Composite is lead by the team member(s) responsible for locating information for that scenario. If a member was unable to locate all the sections to provide an adequate answer to the scenario, the team as a whole must locate the information. Team members must come to consensus as to responses.			
3.	Process by following the directions for "Self" and "Group" on the "Fermenting" checklist. Discuss how well the team members fulfilled their roles and social skills the group set at the beginning of the meeting.			
4.	4. Assign and create roles for the next meeting Be sure that each person has a role that they have not yet experienced.			5 min
		TOTAL TIME	E PART 2	90 min
	Recorder Timekeeper Jargon buster Equalizer Encourager	Birthday closest to New Year's Eve First to the left of Recorder Second to the left of Recorder Third to the left of the Recorder Fourth to the left of the Recorder		

MINUTES OF OUTCOMES

Action Items: Person(s) Responsible? By When?

1. We will communicate outcomes to absent members and others by:

Individual and Group Assessment of Collaboration Skills Fermenting Skills (Conflict Management)

Name:	Team Name:			
never de	Directions for Indivious on your behavior while working as a mean; 5 = I always do), rate yourself on the following that you wish to improve.	mber of you	ur team. On a 5-point scale (
rate you	Directions for Groon your team's functioning. On a 5-point ur entire team on the following skills. tes and jointly select 2 - 4 skills to improve ted.	t scale (1 = Compare	We never do; $5 = \text{We always}$ your ratings with those of	your
<u>SELF</u>				GROUP
	I/we communicate the rationale for idea	s or conclu	sions.	
	I/we ask for justification of others' conc	lusions and	ideas	
	I/we extend or build on other members'	ideas or co	nclusions	
I/we generate additional solutions or strategies				
I/we test the "reality" of solutions by planning and assessing the				
	feasibility of their implementation			
	I/we see ideas from other persons' persp	ective		
	I/we criticize ideas without criticizing p	eople		
	I/we differentiate differences of opinior	s when the	re is a	
	disagreement			
	AGENDA BUILDING FO	OR NEXT	MEETING	
Date: _1	Last Class	Location:	Course Classroom	
Time St	art: TBD by	Time End:	TBD by Instructor	
Are we Yearboo	d Agenda Items: really a team? Checklist completion ok signing to our Team Name and Success			

EDUCATION CODE – PART 30	CALIFORNIA CODE – TITLE 5
Legislation & amendments	Regulations & amendments

CALIFORNIA SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS: A COMPOSITVE OF LAWS

CASE SCENARIOS

Directions: For each question, use the Table of Contents and the Index (Section M) at the back of the Composite of Laws to locate relevant sections and pages of legislation (Ed Code 30) and regulations (Title 5) that offers answers to the 14 questions. To answer a question, formulate and key terms and concepts (e.g. continuum of services, FAPE) that relate to the question and then search for them in the Table of Contents and Index. If nothing seems to emerge, thumb through the two sections (Ed Code & Title 5) of the Composite for language that relates to the question. For each question, identify the relevant sections (Ed Code & Title 5) and page numbers that help to answer the question. Answer in detailed narrative the parts of that question that asks for your suggestions, opinion, advice, or decisions based upon legislation and regulations. In preparation for your base team meeting, word process your assigned responses so responses may be modified and integrated into your team response. Be prepared to explain your assigned question and defend your responses with complete citations from the Composite to teammates who did not study your sections of this assignment.

Sample Practice Items A & B:

A. A potential new resident of the district requests assurance that the district schools will provide an appropriate special education program and related services to his middle school child who has some identified disabilities.

What in the laws and regulations helps the district provide such assurances? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

B. A district psychologist completes an evaluation on a child and decides the student should be eligible for and receive special education services because of serious emotional disturbance. He asks the child's team members individually to sign off on the statement of eligibility on the evaluation team report.

Is this the correct procedure? Why or why not? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

Homefun Items:

1. There is a question as to whether a surrogate parent should be at an IEP meeting.

Under what conditions is a school required to have a surrogate parent at a meeting? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

2. A parent of a child eligible for special education calls the Board of Education and asks to have her child's records, including all medical and psychological records, sent to the new school district where their family is moving.

What should be the school district's response? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

3. A parent walks into the school and demands to review all of the school's records on her child that day.

Which records must the district provide? In what time frame? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

4. Upon hearing that their 18-month old is experiencing developmental delays the child's parents call the school district for further evaluation and services.

How should the district respond? What services should the district provide? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

5. A child's parents read an Evaluation Team Report and disagree strongly with the section that describes the family's background. The parents insist that a more competent psychologist reevaluate the child. The parents also insist that the district fire the psychologist who evaluated their son and hire a new, more competent psychologist.

What can the school district offer the parent in place of this request? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

6. The mother of a preschool-aged child who attends a preschool in the community and asks for special education services for her child. She says that she was told by her preschool that her child would probably qualify for special education services because of his disability. The mother does not want her son to be moved from the preschool since he has made such a good adjustment there and because he has great difficulty making transitions.

What can you do for this mother? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

7. A school's Student Study Team is interested in initiating a comprehensive evaluation for a student. Without any other communication with the parents, a Parent Consent Form is sent home to be signed ASAP.

How does this procedure comply or not comply with the laws and regulations? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

8. During Kindergarten pre-registration, a five-year-old child is noticed as having significant delays in several areas of development.

What should the district personnel do regarding this child? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

9. An initial IEP meeting for placement of a child, a parent indicates he believes his child needs an extended school year.

What should be done about the extended year "request?" Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

10. A parent calls the building principal and asks for an impartial due process hearing tomorrow.

What should be done, by whom, and when? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

11. A parent and recent new resident of the district expresses to a teacher at a PTA meeting that her two children seem to be having difficulty in school. One of the children is a preschooler and the other is a third grader. The parent requests help for both of her children.

How should the school respond to this request? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

12. After receiving a written request for a due process hearing, the school district's superintendent responds in writing that a hearing will be held but only after a face to face conference with the parents and, if necessary, mediation with a State Department of Education-appointed mediator.

Is this procedure correct? Why or why not? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

13. A child who has been receiving preschool special education services for the past two years will be turning six in August.

What must her school district do for her? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?

14. At an assessment team meeting one of the team members disagrees with the eligibility determination.

Can such disagreements be handled? How? What impact does this have on the ultimate determination of eligibility? Where did you find the information? Which sections? Which page(s)?