

# California State University SAN MARCOS

# School of Education

333 South Twin Oaks Valley Road San Marcos, California 92096-0001 Tel: 760.750.4300 Fax: 760.750.3160 www.csusm.edu/education

#### **EDUC 496-4**

# GE Course: Introduction to International Comparative Education CRN #28546

Online: Sessions are released on Saturday, due the following Sunday by 11:00 pm Spring 2014 – January 21 – May 16

Conceptual Framework Theme: Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

Professor: Robin Marion, Ph. D. E-Mail: rmarion@csusm.edu

Office: Virtual – Community Commons or by appointment

# **School of Education Mission & Vision Statement**

(Adopted by SOE Governance Community, January 2013)

#### Vision

To serve the educational needs of local, regional, and global communities, the School of Education advances innovative practice and leadership by generating, embracing, and promoting equitable and creative solutions.

#### Mission

The mission of the School of Education community is to collaboratively transform education. We:

- Create community through partnerships
- Promote and foster social justice and educational equity
- Advance innovative, student-centered practices
- Inspire reflective teaching and learning
- Conduct purposeful research
- Serve the School, College, University, and Community

#### COURSE DESCRIPTION

In an era in which schools are being closely examined in the context of an increasingly globalized world, educators must reexamine assumptions and practices related to the purposes of schooling, the relationship between schooling and development, and questions of teacher professionalism (Kubow & Fossum). This debate is worth undertaking for all citizens, but is of particular importance for future teachers. Awareness of other nations and their cultures, political and economic processes, and education systems is one way of better understanding and making commitments to excellence in our own country (Mazurek & Winzer). This course is designed to introduce critical examination of education in sixteen countries that represent five regions around the world using issues of educational importance that lead to four questions (Kubow & Fossum):

- What are the purposes of schooling?
- What is "equitable education," and who decides?
- What is the appropriate balance between education authority and accountability?
- What is teacher professionalism, and what factors reinforce or hinder its development?

# **Course Objectives**

After completion of this course, participants should be able to:

- Use the constant comparative method and grounded theory to analyze schooling across countries
  in both large and small groups of peers with a high degree of proficiency as defined in a discussion
  rubric / critical friend description for the Scholarly Critical Friend Dialogue Assignment
- Use search, interview and technology skills to locate high quality artifacts of schooling from five regions around the world from multiple perspectives and in multiple forms with the intention of identifying key customs, schooling practices, and contexts for education in alignment with artifact search and sharing guidelines as outlined in the Locating and Synthesizing Artifacts of Schooling Assignment
- Articulate clearly, concisely and coherently in a 3-5 page paper a reflection on one's own schooling
  in comparison with schooling in another country(ies) in accordance with guidelines outlined in the
  Comparative Analysis of Schooling Assignment
- Demonstrate facility and innovation with technology and course management tools through academic achievement in a fully online environment.

# **Unique Course Requirements**

The class will be conducted online in its entirety and therefore requires development of skills using course management tools in Moodle. If personal technology does not meet required speeds/standards, students may need to update their hardware or software, or complete course sessions in on-campus technology laboratories. All or part of assignments will be shared in the online environment with some or all of the course participants.

#### **Required Texts**

Mazurek, K. & Winzer, M.A. (2006). Schooling Around the World: Debates, Challenges and Practices, Pearson; Boston, MA, ISBN 0-205-45459-3. The textbook is available for rent on Amazon, and a copy is on two-hour reserve in the CSUSM main library.

Articles/websites/videos on various course topics are required reading/watching/browsing and will be linked in the course Moodle shell. Speak with the instructor about helpful reading strategies if assigned materials are taking too long, or if you need a reading accommodation.

#### STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

This General Education course is designed to increase knowledge and awareness of schooling policy and practice in a number of international contexts. This contextual understanding will arise from learning about schooling using structured comparative strategies that will be explored and practiced throughout the course. The insights gained will then be applied to class participants' own schooling experiences using the comparative methods. Class participants who go on to become teachers will have a foundation for critiquing and systematically improving the system where they eventually teach. Class participants who go on to other fields of endeavor will be better prepared to be leaders in their fields since educational policy and practice are foundational in every context. Those completing the course will have the tools to make informed decisions about future changes to schooling as they participate in local school governance, and be more effective consumers as they make decisions about their own or their family's schooling needs. Comparative analysis is a fundamental skill that is applicable in multiple contexts.

#### **GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

#### School of Education Attendance Policy

Due to the dynamic and interactive nature of courses in the School of Education, all students are expected to attend all classes and participate actively. At a minimum, students must attend more than 80% of class time, or s/he may not receive a passing grade for the course at the discretion of the instructor. <u>Individual instructors may adopt more stringent attendance requirements</u>. Should the student have extenuating circumstances, s/he should contact the instructor as soon as possible. (Adopted by the COE Governance Community, December, 1997).

# \*Instructor addendum to attendance policy:

In an online environment there is flexibility in time and space, and therefore all course sessions must be completed. **Notify the instructor to negotiate modified deadlines for extenuating circumstances BEFORE missing activities/assignments**. Your voice and perspective are critically important to the learning of your classmates!

#### Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations

Students with disabilities who require reasonable accommodations must be approved for services by providing appropriate and recent documentation to the Office of Disable Student Services (DSS). This office is located in Craven Hall 4300, and can be contacted by phone at (760) 750-4905, or TTY (760) 750-4909. Students authorized by DSS to receive reasonable accommodations should meet with their instructor during office hours or, in order to ensure confidentiality, in a more private setting.

Please discuss the need for accommodations with the instructor at the earliest possible time to ensure success in this course. As an instructor with a disability herself, she is enthusiastic about creating the best possible learning environment for students.

#### **All University Writing Requirement**

The CSUSM writing requirement will be met through Scholarly Critical Friend dialogue in both small and large group settings, when writing up four Artifacts of Schooling, and in the Comparative Analysis of Schooling final paper.

# **CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy**

"Students will be expected to adhere to standards of academic honesty and integrity, as outlined in the Student Academic Honesty Policy. All written work and oral presentation assignments must be original work. All ideas/materials that are borrowed from other sources must have appropriate references to the original sources. Any quoted material should give credit to the source and be punctuated with quotation marks.

Students are responsible for honest completion of their work including examinations. There will be no tolerance for infractions. If you believe there has been an infraction by someone in the class, please bring it to the instructor's attention. The instructor reserves the right to discipline any student for academic dishonesty in accordance with the general rules and regulations of the university. Disciplinary action may include the lowering of grades and/or the assignment of a failing grade for an exam, assignment, or the class as a whole."

Incidents of Academic Dishonesty will be reported to the Dean of Students. Sanctions at the University level may include suspension or expulsion from the University.

# Plagiarism:

It is expected that each class participant will **do his/her own work, and contribute equally to group projects and processes.** Plagiarism or cheating is unacceptable under any circumstances. If you are in doubt about whether your work is paraphrased or plagiarized see the Plagiarism Prevention for Students website <a href="http://library.csusm.edu/plagiarism/index.html">http://library.csusm.edu/plagiarism/index.html</a>. If there are questions about academic honesty, please consult the University catalog.

# **Use of Technology**

Candidates are expected to demonstrate competency in the use of various forms of technology (i.e. word processing, electronic mail, Moodle, use of the Internet, and/or multimedia presentations). Specific requirements for course assignments with regard to technology are at the discretion of the instructor. **Keep a digital copy of all assignments and posts as a backup in the event of a Course Management System malfunction (Moodle)**. All assignments will be submitted online.

#### **Electronic Communication Protocol**

Electronic correspondence is a part of your professional interactions. If you need to contact the instructor, e-mail is often the easiest way to do so. It is the instructor's intention to respond to all received e-mails in a timely manner. Please be reminded that e-mail and on-line discussions are a very specific form of communication, with their own nuances and etiquette. For instance, electronic messages sent in all upper case (or lower case) letters, major typos, or slang, often communicate more than the sender originally intended. With that said, **please be mindful of all e-mail and on-line discussion messages you send to your colleagues, to faculty members in the School of Education, or to persons within the greater educational community**. All electronic messages should be crafted with professionalism and care.

Things to consider:

- Would I say in person what this electronic message specifically says?
- How could this message be misconstrued?
- Does this message represent my highest self?
- Am I sending this electronic message to avoid a face-to-face conversation?

In addition, if there is ever a concern with an electronic message sent to you, please talk with the author in person in order to correct any confusion.

# **COURSE REQUIREMENTS**

60 points - Scholarly Critical Friend Dialogue Assignment

60 points - Locating, Synthesizing & Summarizing Four Artifacts of Schooling Assignment

60 points - Comparative Analysis of Schooling Reflective Paper Assignment

180 points - Total Possible

# Time commitment details\*

National accreditation agencies set required course time standards, and allocate 9 hours per week for a three-unit course (3 in class, 6 outside class). It might be helpful to clarify that time commitment in an online environment, as the boundaries between 'in' and 'out' of class are somewhat blurred. Below is an allocation of times you should expect to spend in/outside of the online environment on various course activities as an individual, in small groups, and in large groups to complete this course successfully.

- Individual approximately three hours/week
- Large groups approximately three hours per week
- Small group approximately three hours per week

\*Please note the times allocated for completion of activities at each level of engagement are maximum times - some students may need less time to complete course activities. If you are noticing that you need more time, please contact the instructor for time management strategies and/or adjustments to the course. Please do not spend time beyond the hours per week indicated!

#### **Suggested Pacing Guidelines**

As we rethink the boundaries between 'going to class' and completing 'outside of class' work, we look to students who are successful learning online to see how we might make the most of this course. Students who learn effectively in the online learning environment report that they break work into shorter chunks throughout the week, rather than a larger chunk on one or two days. Students who have the most success report logging in for an hour or so a day throughout the week, or working in two hour blocks three or more times a week.

While there are technically three assignments for this course, each assignment will be completed in a series of steps throughout the semester. This multi-step strategy offers opportunities for multiple drafts, feedback from peers and the instructor, and a gradual completion process rather than three stress points during the semester. It does, however, mean that every week some work will be due.

Since classmates depend upon one another for Small and Large Group activities as well as session completion, suggested guidelines for completing various session activities are offered to maximize success in the course as well as deepen the dialogue among class participants.

Generally sessions will be released each Saturday to be completely finished eight days later, on the following Sunday, with *typical* recommended completion days/deadlines as follows:

- Individual preparation Sat-Mon, complete by Mon 11PM
- Large group Sun-Tue, complete by Tue 11PM
  - o Initial post Sun-Mon
  - o Follow up posts Mon-Tue
- Small group Tue-Thu, complete by Thu 11 PM
  - o Initial post Tue-Wed
  - o Follow up posts Wed-Thu
- Individual assignments/reflection Thu-Sun, complete by Sun 11 PM

From time to time due days vary for a particular session, activity or assignment, so follow session instructions carefully. *Note that the release dates for a new session overlap the due date for the previous session by one day.* This intentional overlap is designed to maximize flexibility of timing to accommodate many different student schedules.

# **Course Assignment Details**

#### 60 points - Scholarly Critical Friend Dialogue Participation Assignment

Throughout the semester you will engage in primarily in three levels of scholarly endeavor. You may not engage in every level every week. Activities at each level build on the prior level as follows:

- 20 points Large group (typically half of or the whole class): This level of engagement involves joining a dialogue about issues, processes and practices of schooling around the world in order to compare and contrast them. Each class participant posts a response to a prompt, and then offers feedback to a number of classmates' postings. Forum prompts are structured in a way that requires concise responses rather than streams of consciousness. It will be necessary to revisit the large group forum several times throughout the week to read through posts made before and after yours to follow the discussion. The dialogue is captured in a number of ways but primarily through large group forum posts and responses worth 2 points per week. The earlier and more thoughtfully you post, the better quality whole class discussion we have!
- 20 points Small group (typically two-ten students): This level of engagement functions as a
  debriefing, and peer feedback area each week. Peer interactions in small group collegial dialogue is an
  important part of sifting through course material for the week and making comparisons of schooling
  contexts, issues and practices across countries. Your active participation is critically important, and
  group consensus may be required. Small group interactions are captured in a number of ways but
  primarily through small group forum posts / responses and/or peer review responses of 2 points
  per week.

• 20 points – Individual: This level of engagement involves time to read, watch, respond, develop, reflect and write to build up background knowledge of schooling around the world and apply that knowledge by comparing various countries. This investment of time is critical to success in the course. There are two primary individual activities: preparation and reflection. Preparation involves reading/watching to prepare for session activities. Reflection involves searching, thinking, reflecting, and writing about your knowledge of schooling around the world by making connections, as well as comparing and contrasting various contexts for schooling. You will also have opportunities to reflect on your development using the comparative method by evaluating your level of engagement, quality of interaction, and commitment to accomplished comparative research practices. Both dimensions of individual effort are captured in a number of ways but primarily through entries in a reading response, reflection journal or a course check-in response for 2 points per week.

Course participants are encouraged to complete activities as early as possible in the week. The large/small/individual levels of engagement are designed to teach/develop skills relevant to comparing, contrasting, assessing, and writing about schooling in various contexts.

Scholarly critical friend dialogue grades are based on the following rubric. There are several types of participation, and you should be aiming for Type 5 during each session activity to earn full credit, while trying to avoid being Type 1.

# **Rubric for Scholarly Critical Friend Dialogue Assignment**

# Type 5: The interested/engaged citizen – You:

- Leave class sessions wondering (pondering / uncertain / surprised / speculating / questioning / struck / stuck / amazed / caught up, etc.) and excited about your contributions to the dialogue and how those of others impact your thinking
- Challenge other group members (small and large) respectfully
- Ask insightful questions
- Make contributions that extend the class readings/viewings/events/issues
- Refer to specific lines in the text and relevant classroom experiences when appropriate
- Participate regularly and feel a sense of belonging with the group (inclusion with them, responsibility for them)
- Share the collective spaces, neither dominating nor intimidating others nor remaining in the shadows
- Are well prepared by thorough reading and thinking BEFORE joining the group
- Demonstrate clear evidence of engagement, critical friend skills, comments based on specific reliable sources, and provide a reflective interface with all course readings / viewings / browsings.

#### **Type 4: The responsible student** – You:

- Leave class wondering (pondering / uncertain / surprised / speculating / questioning / struck / stuck / amazed / caught up, etc.) and glad you thought of something to contribute, determined to have a deeper contribution next time, but pleased that contributions of others helped push you to think
- Ask questions, often for clarification rather than to probe or deeply understand
- Make contributions that are related to the readings
- Refer to text and experiences in contributions most of the time
- Participate regularly
- Share collective space, neither dominating nor intimidating nor remaining in the shadows
- Are prepared by reading and thinking BEFORE joining the group
- Show evidence of engagement, some critical friend skills, most comments you offer are based on reliable sources that are usually indicated, and include thoughtful interaction with most course readings / viewings / browsings.

# Type 3: The caught up in the moment student – You:

- Leave class wondering (pondering / uncertain / surprised / speculating / questioning / struck / stuck / amazed / caught up, etc.) thanks to the contributions of others
- Contribute your perspective based on experience but not informed by readings, a more "in the moment" response to others' comments
- Sometimes participate, sometimes not
- Sometimes prepare, sometimes not
- Show some evidence of engagement, a few critical friend skills, some comments based on mostly reliable sources that are sometimes mentioned, others are mostly opinion not backed up, and there is an indication that some of the course readings / viewings / browsings have been done.

# **Type 2: The anonymous spectator** – You:

- May or may not leave class wondering (pondering / uncertain / surprised / speculating / questioning / struck / stuck / amazed / caught up, etc.) thanks to the contributions of others
- · Ask yourself insightful or probing questions; engage yourself in thought
- Attend and listen attentively to others' contributions and may find them interesting
- Do not regularly contribute to the group, and may not know group members very well
- Prepare in a hit and miss way, and you strive to do better but are a bit hasty
- Occasionally engage, with rare use of critical friend skills, comments mostly consisting of thinly informed opinion, and only an occasional sign that a course reading or two has been completed.

# Type 1: The outsider - You:

- Sometimes join the groups, sometimes not
- Arrive late, Leave early
- Drop in and out
- Log in then walk away from the computer, or begin to multi-task checking in infrequently
- Feel disengaged (for a variety of reasons), not included, not responsible to the group
- Assume it is someone else's fault you are not engaged
- Are absent, frustrated, focused on your own needs without regards to classmates; make a rare contribution to class, are rarely prepared, and are not exhibiting good scholarly research skills.

# 60 points - Locating and Synthesizing Artifacts of Schooling Assignment

A primary means for learning about schooling in sixteen different countries from five regions of the world will be through our readings in the textbook "Schooling Around the World." In addition to that background information, provided by scholars with first-hand experience in those countries, we will use artifacts we gather from a variety of places to flesh out the background knowledge. Each artifact you locate, learn more about, and produce an Artifact Summary for is worth 15 points, and you will complete 4 artifact summaries throughout the semester, one of which will be an interview of a person with first-hand knowledge.

The artifacts might be one of the following:

- Personal account
- Description of what a student/teacher might 'see out the school window'
- Testimonials from students who have studied abroad or teachers who have taught abroad, or individuals who have gone to school in any of these countries
- Policy statement
- Report from non-profit agencies
- Stories from Peace Corp volunteers
- YouTube videos from students/teachers/parents/community members
- Examples of student work
- Charts of courses taken and the sequence in which they are taken
- Descriptions of access to K-12, technical school or higher education
- Illustrations of classrooms, learning materials, classrooms
- Etc.

The artifact might be in the form of a:

- Blog,
- · Website.
- YouTube or other video clip,
- · Photo,
- Text document/flyer/brochure,
- · Audio or interview transcript
- Government documents
- Reflections from teacher educators, scholars, administrators
- Ftc.

The assignment is to locate the artifact, read/study/watch it, and place it into context by creating an Artifact summary:

- Citation (Author, year, title/description, source, link to access it)
- What it is
- Where it is from (country/region)
- Perspective it represents (whose frame of reference)
- What aspect of schooling in the country it illustrates
- A brief summary of the information/insights it contains
- A statement that characterizes the image of schooling portrayed in the artifact

You will locate and synthesize four artifacts, one from each of the following regions, as well as a practice artifact:

- The Pacific Rim: Japan, South Korea, China, and Australia
- The Middle East and Southeast Asia: Palestine, Israel, Pakistan, and India
- The New Europe: France, England, Russia, and Poland
- North America: USA and Mexico
- Southern Continents: South Africa and Brazil

The artifacts may take many forms as indicated, but at least one must be an interview with a person with first hand knowledge of education in a country in the region under study. Choose a variety of artifact types to receive optimum credit. NOTE: Each artifact must be unique, so once a classmate has posted the artifact no other student will receive credit for the same artifact.

# Rubric for Locating and Synthesizing Artifacts of Schooling Assignment

- Outstanding
  - Artifact was located through a thoughtful and thorough search, using effective search terms, with
    careful consideration of quality/reliability of sources. The process to choose an interviewee is
    thoughtful, and targeted on a person with expertise/experience with schooling in the target country,
    and resources are current unless reviewing a historical timeline. Reliable sources are thoroughly
    explored and clearly cited.
  - Content is concise, clearly written/presented, and accurate. The material is synthesized into a
    coherent whole with evidence of analytical thinking. The interview is focused, concise and timely, and
    is written up clearly and concisely. The Artifact Summary clearly addresses each required
    element.
  - The Artifact Summary format is well designed with use of data displays and appropriate but not distracting technology. Text / images / tables / charts / links are easily accessed, open in a new window, and emphasize brief but pithy information about schooling in the country.
  - There are no obvious errors.

# Above Average

- Some evidence of a rigorous search using good search terms with some consideration of the quality of mostly up to date sources. Choice of interviewee is effective with some first hand knowledge of schooling in the country. Resources are mostly current and several are clearly used to prepare the brief.
- Content is mostly concise, fairly clearly written/presented, mostly accurate, with most sources cited clearly. Material is usually integrated/synthesized into an overall Artifact Summary that demonstrates some analytical thinking. The interview is mostly focused and only runs a little short/long and is written up fairly concisely. Most required elements are addressed.

- The format for the Artifact Summary is **easy to read**, somewhat creative/appropriate use of technology, and focuses on mostly appropriate information about schooling in the country.
- Text / mages / tables / charts / links are mostly accessible, open in a new window, and emphasize information about schooling.
- There are very few errors.

# Average

- A search was completed using some effective search terms, around a quality source that may be older. Interviewee has at least peripheral knowledge of schooling in the country, or knows someone with first-hand knowledge.
- Content is a bit wordy and unclear for the reader, but with some effort is mostly present. The interview wanders a bit but does include some focused questions. It is a bit too short/long. The write up/analysis is concise and somewhat superficial but contains some helpful information
- The format of the Artifact Summary is a bit hard to follow and wordy or too brief, but the information is all located with some effort.
- Some text / images / tables / charts / links are accessible and open in a new window; others are hard to access/interpret.
- There are some errors.

#### Below Average

- A cursory search using general search terms located an artifact that is somewhat off target or older, and from sketchy sources.
- o The interviewee is a stretch, but familiar with some general background.
- Content is hard to follow, wandering in some areas, missing in others. The interview was so brief and
  off topic that little was learned about schooling in the country. The write up / analysis is so superficial
  that little is learned from the Artifact Summary.
- The format is somewhat hard to follow, and several parts of the assigned elements are missing.
   There are few to none tables / charts / images / links are broken and may not open in a new window.
- There is little evidence of effort and quite a few errors.

# Unacceptable

- The search is a cursory one with only the top three items explored, and the artifact is not on target, and/or is from a questionable source
- There is no interview or the interviewee has no knowledge of the country under study, and the interview is a short email with no back and forth communication
- The Artifact Summary format is so poorly thought out that it is hard to impossible to locate critical information and multiple parts are missing. There is no url.
- o There are many errors.
- o The Artifact Summary must be redone and resubmitted to earn a grade.

#### 60 points - Comparative Analysis of Schooling Assignment

Three drafts of this written assignment are designed to result in a high caliber, well-articulated, clearly written final 3-5 page paper. The outline will be reviewed by a peer, and the first draft will be responded to by the instructor. The drafts are as follows:

- 10 points **Outline** This early sketch of the paper will include each of the elements of the final paper, brainstormed with a Peer Review partner. The elements include:
  - o *Introduction*, including country(ies) in which you were schooled to date,
  - Description of the Social Fabric/Overview of Schooling/Successes & Challenges you faced,
  - Two or three "stories of schooling" that address two or more of the lenses we are using to explore schooling in a number of countries (purpose of schooling / teacher as professional / educational access & opportunity, and educational accountability & authority) if desired you may include another lens not listed here with permission of the instructor
  - Comparative analysis of your schooling experiences with those in two or more other countries we have studied
  - Reflection on what you have learned about your schooling experience and that of others by employing comparative analysis

 Sources indicating the context for your stories, the information used for comparison with other countries, including classmates' Artifact Summaries.

# Rubric for Outline for Comparative Analysis of Schooling Paper

- Outstanding All parts are present, each is well articulated in a concise way (phrases/words), coherence, evidence of thoughtful brainstorming, all sources are listed/cited
- Average Most parts are present, each is stated somewhat briefly, logical connections among most parts, evidence of some brainstorming, most sources are listed/cited
- Unacceptable Several parts are missing, vague or rambling descriptions, no connections among sections, not clear much brainstorming occurred. Outline must be revised and resubmitted for a revised grade, few or no sources are listed/cited.
- 10 points Peer Review of Outline Using the Peer Review Form provided, give feedback to your Peer Review partner to help them improve their outline of the Comparative Analysis of Schooling Paper, using the 'hamburger' technique (compliment 'buns' around a constructive criticism 'patty').
- 20 points **First Draft** This draft of your paper will arise from expanding each of the items in the outline, crafting sentences from words and paragraphs from sentences. The instructor will then provide feedback to be used to craft the Final Draft.

# Rubric for Draft One of Comparative Analysis of Schooling Paper

- Outstanding Each part is well fleshed out by expanding words/phrases into sentences and paragraphs, language is clear and paragraphs flow clearly from one to the next, comparative analysis is well backed with examples from the self stories and sources about schooling in other countries, grammar/punctuation/spelling supports a reader's clear understanding of the text, sources are all cited in text and in reference section of paper. Peer feedback on the outline has been incorporated into the first draft.
- Average Most parts are expanded clearly and concisely, most paragraphs flow smoothly from one to another, most comparative analysis is clearly linked to text from stories and other schooling around the world sources, most of the text is clearly understood due to effective grammar/punctuation/spelling, most sources are cited in text and in reference section. Some of the peer feedback on the outline has been used to help clarify the text.
- Unacceptable Many parts are not well fleshed out, language is unclear and paragraphs do not flow from one to the next, comparative analysis is sketchy and lacks logic and connection to text, grammar/punctuation/spelling errors interrupt reader's comprehension, few if any sources are cited and the reference section is too brief or missing. Little or none of the Peer Feedback on the outline has been used. First Draft must be revised again and resubmitted.
- 20 points Final Paper This final version of your Schooling Reflection/Comparison Paper will arise from revising the First Draft with the feedback from the instructor. It must have a thorough edit to eliminate grammar/punctuation/typo errors.
  - Outstanding Fully fleshed out paper is engaging, coherent and well articulated. The language pulls the reader into the paper awaiting the next idea with interest. The rationale for comparative analysis is clear through ample evidence from texts used to support the paper. There are no obvious errors in grammar / punctuation / spelling as checks / edits have been performed and revisions made. Feedback from the instructor has clearly improved the text.
  - Average Mostly fleshed out paper is interesting, clear and effectively articulated. The text keeps the reader moving through the paper. Evidence supports comparative analysis. There are few errors in grammar / punctuation / spelling. Most of the feedback from the instructor has been used to improve the text.
  - O Unacceptable Several sections are not well fleshed out. The paper is hard to follow and the poor writing blurs the message for the reader. Readers are easily distracted from the points being made. Evidence for the analysis is thin to non-existent. There are numerous errors in grammar / punctuation / spelling that hinder meaning. Little or none of the instructor feedback was used to improve the text. The final draft must be revised and resubmitted for a final grade.

10 Marion, EDUC 496-4

•

#### **Grading Standards**

The grading scale is out of a total possible of 180 points. **NOTE: As adjustments are made to the course in response to student learning needs, this total may vary, but each of the three assignments will still be one third of the grade.** 

Α	167 – 180	93 – 100%
A-	162 – 166	90 – 92%
B+	157 – 161	87 – 89%
В	149 – 156	83 – 86%
B-	144 – 148	80 – 82%
C+	139 – 143	77 – 79%
С	131 – 138	73 – 76%
C-	126 – 130	70 – 72%
D+	120 – 125	67 – 69%
D	113 – 119	63 – 66%
D-	108 – 112	60 – 62%
F	< 108	< 60%

# **Tracking Progress**

Use the Grades tool in the left column of Moodle to track your progress in the course. Grades will be continuously updated throughout the semester.

# **Policy on Late Work**

All session activities and assignments should be completed and submitted into Moodle. In the event of an emergency situation notify the instructor and request an extended deadline. Extensions are not automatic. Late assignments may be docked up to 10% per day late.

# **Some Tips for Online Success**

- Receiving too many emails? Use "unsubscribe" settings in your profile to eliminate having all
  postings being emailed to you
- Ask a lot of questions. No question is silly, but suffering in silence IS silly! Ask classmates or ask the instructor we are all here to help! Use the Community Commons for questions and answers (in course header)
- **Problems with technology? Use the Student Help Desk** right away! They are available by phone, email and on the bottom floor of the library through the doors facing Craven Hall.
- Pace work online throughout the week the most successful online students log in most days of the week for an hour +/- to complete sessions in small bites rather than at one sitting
- · Read all instructions thoroughly, watch all course materials
- Complete sessions systematically, keeping track of work completed, and what is left to do
- Activities in each session are built on prior activities in the same session as well as activities from earlier sessions, so be sure to complete activities in order as much as possible
- Stay connected to classmates/instructor using course mail, course commons, chat or request a phone
  call
- Make assignments work for you let the instructor know of specific learning goals you have to be sure you reach them through adjustments of sessions / assignments
- If sessions are taking too long, immediately contact the instructor who will make adjustments! Do not suffer in silence!
- Keep up with course sessions it is hard to catch up once you get behind
- Engage fully and enthusiastically in all course sessions come to learn!

# **SCHEDULE/COURSE OUTLINE**

\*During each week students work individually, in small groups, and in whole class forums. Most sessions involve reading/watching session materials and completing individual, small group and large group activities. Only additional assignments will be listed under "Assignment" so be sure to read all session instructions carefully, rather than relying on this schedule for activity due dates. Assignments and readings will be adjusted as needed based on student feedback and how things are proceeding in the course.

Date	Topic	Assignment (see * above)
SESSIONS 1-3	INTRODUCTION TO COMPARATIVE EDUCATION	
Session 1 1/25-2/2	Welcome to International Comparative Education  Making Connections Course Structure Course Format  What is the purpose of schooling?	Read: M&W – pp. vii-12 (up to Why Bother?)  Syllabus exploration Practice using course tools
Session 2 2/1-9	Impulses That Shape Education Developing a Profile of Schooling  Search Strategies Artifact collection - practice  What forces shape schooling? How do we learn about schooling in another country?  What is an artifact?	Note Strengths and Weaknesses of a Profile Sources, Perspectives, Formats Brainstorm interviewees Artifact Search Identify interviewee & contact information  Sign up and note which you chose:  A – Palestine/Australia/France/United States B – Israel/China/England/Mexico C – S. Africa/Pakistan/Japan/Russia D – Brazil/India/S. Korea/Poland
Session 3 2/8-16	Tools of Comparative Education  Conceptions of Knowledge Language of theoretical frameworks Constant comparative method Grounded theory  Asking Good Questions Perspectives and Formats Interviews  What does it mean to know? How is knowledge constructed? How do we interview?	Read: M&W – pp. 12-27 & Articles  Artifact Search / Theme Sort Activity  Crafting Interview questions/Choose which to use Contact interviewee & schedule interview  Artifact DUE (No credit for duplicates) – Group A– Palestine Group B – Israel  Feedback on Course Format Conduct Interview during S 4-10
SESSIONS 4-11	DEVELOPING A PROFILE OF SCHOOLING	

Session 4 2/15-23	Considering the Purpose of Schooling  Why do we go to school?  Middle East/Southeast Asia (Palestine & Israel)	Read: M&W pp. 121-167 Browse artifacts  Artifact DUE – Group C – South Africa Group D – Brazil
Session 5 2/22-3/2	Considering Educational Access & Opportunity  What is 'equitable education,' and who decides?  Southern Continents (South Africa & Brazil)	Read: M&W pp. 325-357 Browse artifacts  Artifact DUE – Group A – Australia Group B – China
Session 6 3/1-9	Considering Indigenous Knowledge  Whose knowledge is taught, and who decides?  Pacific Rim (Australia & China)	Read: M&W pp. 68-119 Browse artifacts  Artifact DUE – Group C– Pakistan Group D – India
Session 7 3/8-16	Considering Gender Equity  Who goes to school, and who decides?  Middle East/Southeast Asia (Pakistan & India)	Read: M&W pp. 168-204 Browse artifacts  Artifact DUE – Group A – France Group B – England  Feedback on Course Format
Session 8 3/15-23	Considering Educational Accountability and Authority  What is the appropriate balance between educational authority and accountability?  New Europe (France & England)	Read: M&W pp. 205-245 Browse artifacts  Artifact DUE – Group C – Japan Group D – South Korea
Session 9 3/22-30	Considering Teacher Professionalism  Who are the teachers? How are they prepared? Who decides curriculum? What factors reinforce or hinder teacher professionalism?  Pacific Rim (Japan & South Korea)	Read: M&W pp. 29-67 Browse artifacts  Artifact DUE – Group A – United States of America Group B – Mexico

No Session 3/29-4/6	~SPRING BREAK OASIS~	Just breathe
Session 10 4/5-13	Considering Our Own Experiences  How might we place our schooling experiences into an international context?  North American Neighbors (USA & Mexico)	Read: M&W pp. 285-324 Browse artifacts  Artifact DUE — Group C — Russia & Group D — Poland  DUE: Outline of Comparative Analysis of Schooling Paper
Session 11 4/12-20	Reflection on Constant Comparison Method Draft One Preparation  What are we learning from the Constant Comparative Method, and what is missing?  New Europe (Russia & Poland)	Read: M&W pp. 246-283 Browse artifacts  Feedback on Course Format  DUE: 4/15 - Peer Review of Outline of Comparative Analysis of Schooling Paper
SESSIONS 12-14	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS ACROSS CULTURES	
Session 12 4/19-27	CONSTRUCTION ZONE~  Comparative Analysis of Schooling Draft One  How might we incorporate Peer Review into our first draft?	DUE – Draft One: * Comparative Analysis of Schooling Paper *Earlier submitted papers will receive instructor feedback sooner
Session 13 4/26-5/4	Responses to globalization  What are some educational responses to globalization?  Common Core Standards / High Stakes Exams International Baccalaureate / Knowton / International Agencies / MOOCs / Online Learning	Read: Articles/Websites  Explore responses to globalization  Course Evaluations

Session 14 5/3-11	~CONSTRUCTION ZONE~  Placing Our Own Educational Experiences into the International Schooling Context  How do our experiences compare?	DUE – Final Comparative Analysis of Schooling Paper Course Evaluations (Continued)
SESSION 15	FINAL REFLECTIONS	
Session 15 5/10-16	Reflections on the Comparative Perspective  Sharing Our Insights  What has been illuminated by the comparison with other countries?	

Sessions do vary by week so that the proportion of work may shift among individual/small group/whole class activities. If it is taking you more time than 9 hours to complete any session immediately contact the instructor who will make session adjustments.