

**California State University San Marcos
College of Education**

EDUC 614 A - Assessment

Instructor: Alice M.L. Quioco, Ed.D.
Associate Professor
Class Hours: 11:00 A.M. – 2:15 P.M.
Class Location:
Email: aquicocho@csusm.edu

Office Location: University Hall 327
Office Phone: (760) 750-4035
Office Hours: Arranged

College of Education Mission Statement

The mission of the College of Education Community is to collaboratively transform public education by preparing thoughtful educators and advancing professional practices. We are committed to diversity, educational equity, and social justice, exemplified through reflective teaching, life-long learning, innovative research, and on-going service. Our practices demonstrate a commitment to student-centered education, diversity, collaboration, professionalism and shared governance.

(adopted by COE Governance Community, October 1997)

Please access the College of Education website and click on the core values and beliefs of the College of Education. The COE website is: <http://lynx.csusm.edu/coe>

Authorization to Teach English Learners

This credential program has been specifically designed to prepare teachers for the diversity of languages often encountered in California public school classrooms. The authorization to teach English learners is met through the infusion of content and experiences within the credential program, as well as additional coursework. Students successfully completing this program receive a credential with authorization to teach English learners.

(approved by CCTC in SB 2042 Program Standards, August 02))

Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations.

Students are approved for services through the Disabled Student Services Office (DSS). This office is located in Craven Hall 5205, and can be contacted by phone at (760) 750-4905, or TTY (760) 750-4909. Students authorized by DSS to receive reasonable accommodations should meet with their instructor during office hours or, in order to ensure confidentiality, in a more private setting.

Required Textbooks.

1. Manzo, A.V., Manzo, U.C. & Albee, J.J. (2004). Reading assessment for diagnostic-prescriptive teaching. Thomson Wadsworth.

Project Descriptions.

#1. Tracking Student Achievement. (50 Points) This project involves the tracking of *two students at two different grade levels*. You should holistically examine the backgrounds of the students as well as obtain assessment information on the students. You should do the following for this project:

1. Obtain information on the backgrounds of each of the students. One student should be an English Learner or a Special Education student. Is the child an older child? A middle child? The youngest? Have there been health issues such as tubes in the child's ears? Referrals to social services? To the district/school psychologist? Have there been mobility issues? What does the office discipline file look like? How many referrals have there been, if any? What were the infractions? What disciplinary measures were taken?
2. Obtain the test scores of the two students. Obtain the scores of ALL tests this student has taken, including authentic assessments as well as standardized tests.
3. Obtain explanations for the scoring of the standardized tests. What objectives were being tested? How are the scores reported? In national curve equivalents? Stanines? Percentiles? Grade level scores? All of the above? Some? None? Indicate all of the ways the standardized test scores are reported.
4. How were the authentic assessments scored? Informal reading inventories? What process was followed? What was the rate of administration? What else was used as assessments? Running records? Rubrics? Checklists? All of the above? Some? Different scoring devices? Indicate all of the scoring devices and report the scores on all used for the two students.
5. What else has been done to support these students? In what special programs are these students involved? How long? Who referred them? Why? What measures have been taken?
6. Create a grid and conduct an in-depth analysis of the students' scores. The analysis involves not only analyzing the scores and indicating what the scores mean, but also suggesting interventions

#2. In-Depth Analysis of Student Achievement. (50 Points) Select one of the students whose assessments you have tracked. Refer back to the analysis you did as well as the interventions suggested. In this project, you will develop a specific intervention plan for one of the students. You will deliver this intervention plan, keeping track of student

achievement in five areas, noting how achievement is being tracked and who is receiving the feedback. The five areas are:

1. Word analysis or word work
2. Independent reading
3. Guided reading instruction that is focused on comprehension and high level thinking skills as well as reading in the content areas
4. Writing in the content areas as well as personal writing
5. Oral language development

Your project should contain samples of instruction and the resulting student work. There should be a tracking of student achievement in each of the five areas indicated above. Rubrics should be used to score the personal content area writing. That is, there should be a content rubric, a conventions rubric and a genre rubric. Include all assistive devices and instruction you used to scaffold student learning. Note how, if, and when the scaffolds were withdrawn to create more student independence in learning.

Be specific about the things you are doing with the student. In order to facilitate this, keep a journal on what you have done with the student noting how the student has responded throughout the project. Reflect on your teaching throughout the project and finally, do an overall reflection on both of these projects.

#3. Researching Testing. (30 Points). Conduct an internet search or research the topic in educational journals, such as literacy journals, Phi Delta Kappan, Educational Leadership or any other journal or ERIC document. You will be looking for the topic of testing. The purpose of this search is to stimulate our thinking in this class. You should do the following:

1. Look for research that either challenges or supports standardized testing of children (at least to articles). Consider the rationale for testing, the frequency of testing the ages at which tests are administered, etc.
2. You will be asked to share the articles in class with peers. You want to examine the issue thoroughly and to be exposed to multiple perspectives on this issue. Understand that this is not to convince you to look at testing one way or another. That you will do on your own, having read and listened to the research.
3. At the end of the class, you will be asked to submit a perspective paper on the issue of testing. Refer to the research and tell stories from your own experiences, either personal or that of a classroom teacher.

Attendance. (10 Points) This is a master's class, and although most of you are fully employed in schools and work full days, you are expected to attend class. It is expected that you will be on time to class unless there are extenuating circumstances. In such cases, it is the responsibility of the student to notify the instructor. Phone numbers and email address is provided to facilitate your communication with the instructor. ***If you miss more than two classes (which includes arriving late to class), you will not be able to earn a grade higher than a B in the course.***

Participation. (20 Points) The readings will provide a basis for the discussion in this class. You are expected to complete the readings and bring in at least one question to pose to your colleagues and the instructor during the class. You may use local district and school scenarios to provide elaboration for the questions you pose in class. The purpose of discussion is to be open to new ideas and ways to think about student assessment that informs instruction. There are no pat answers as to why students do not achieve. Access is a huge issue along with instructional scaffolds. It is not necessarily students ability, but perhaps cultural learning styles.

Grading. (Total Points = 160) Grades are calculated on a percentage basis. You will receive timely feedback on all submissions. You will be asked to look at the requirements for both projects and submit to the instructor a timeline for submissions of the parts of the project in order to obtain ongoing feedback. These timelines will be due the third meeting of class – February 4.

If at any time you are concerned about the grade you think you will be receiving in this class, please do not hesitate to communicate with the instructor to determine what needs to be completed or re-submitted. A point rubric based on the requirements of the project descriptions will be used to ascertain the points granted for the two required projects. The following calculations will be used to determine grades:

100 - 93% = A
 92 - 90% = A-
 89 – 85 = B
 84 – 80 = B-
 79 – 75 = C
 74 – 72 = C-

Course Calendar

Date	Readings	Project Due Dates
January 21	Introduction to the class Discussion of standards Personal values and beliefs	
January 28	Chapter 1: Standards, History of Assessment, Diagnostic/Prescriptive Perspective	
February 4	Chapter 2: Individual Diagnosis and Case Reporting	Timelines Due
Feb. 11	Chapter 3: Standardized and Structured Informal Assessment of Reading	
Feb. 18	Chapter 4: Assessment of Language Arts	
Feb. 25	Chapter 5: In-Depth Assessment of Collateral Factors in Literacy Development	
March 3	Frameworks and Principles for Corrective and Redial Instruction	

March 10	Chapter 7: Word Work	
March 17	Chapter 8: Comprehension	
<i>Date</i>	<i>Readings</i>	<i>Project Due Dates</i>
March 24	Chapter 9: Vocabulary	Project #1 Due
April 7	Chapter 10: Content Area Reading and Study Strategies	
April 14	Chapter 11: Motivational and Other Issues. Deficit Model?	
April 21	Chapter 12: Ell's and other Diverse Students	Project #3 Due
April 28	Chapter 13: Adults and Adolescents	
May 5	Looking at our own values and beliefs	Project #2 Due

Scoring Rubrics

Project #1.

1. The students have been identified as to their “special needs” status.
2. Information on the backgrounds of each of the students is complete.
3. Position in the family is clearly described.
4. Health issues have been described completely.
5. Referral information is included with descriptions of incidents, actions taken, etc.
6. Social services or psychological referral information is included.
7. All test scores have been included for both students.
8. Descriptions of the tests have been included.
9. Objectives tested have been described.
10. Authentic assessment tools and scoring methods have been described.
11. Administrative dates (times) for all assessments are included.
12. Support services for both students are clearly described to include frequency of support.
13. Analysis grid is clear and comprehensive.
14. Interventions are specific and targeted to students’ strengths and needs.

Project #2.

1. A specific intervention plan for one of the students is clear, comprehensive, with documentation (assessment/analysis data, descriptions of scaffolds)
2. Student achievement is tracked in five areas
 - Word analysis or word work
 - Independent reading
 - Guided reading instruction that is focused on comprehension and high level thinking skills as well as reading in the content areas
 - Writing in the content areas as well as personal writing
 - Oral language development

3. Samples of instruction (lesson plans) and the resulting student work is included.
4. Rubrics to score the personal content area writing are included (content rubric, a conventions rubric and a genre rubric).
5. Note has been made how, if, and when the scaffolds were withdrawn to create increasing student independence in learning.
6. A journal on what you have done with the student noting how the student has responded throughout the project is included.
7. Reflection on your teaching throughout the project is included.
8. Reflection on the entire assessment process is included.

Project #3.

1. At least two articles have been research and critical (main) points delineated.
2. Summary is presented to the class, providing time for the audience to respond.
3. Diversity of viewpoints is honored and encouraged.
4. Critique of the ideas is objectively presented.
5. The final paper states a viewpoint with elaboration. No retelling or summarizing.
6. Elaboration is supported with either personal experiences or class experiences as a teacher in light of student experiences.

EDUC 614 B

This part of the course includes field work. During this field work you must accomplish the following tasks to meet the CTC standards for a reading certificate.

1. Work with two students at two different grade levels.
2. Tutor the students on an individual basis, using the intervention plans developed.
3. Keep anecdotal notes (at least 10 total) on each student in a small group setting and in a class setting.
4. Develop and write up a case study for each student and submit that case study to your University supervisor.

The case study format is as follows:

1. A brief biographical summary of the students.
2. A list of assessments administered – both standardized and authentic.
3. An intervention plan for each student. The plan should include three different contexts – one on one, small group, and whole class.
4. Grouping strategies should be recommended with a rationale as to why each grouping structure should occur and why.
5. An evaluation of the results of the interventions with recommendations for future instructional plans.

You may receive credit for this part of the class in three ways.

1. Submitting videotapes of your instruction with these students to your university supervisor.

2. Submitting videotapes combined in class class supervision by your university supervisor.
3. In class supervision by your university supervisor.

Please note that an administrator in your school should also be involved. Please ask your supervising administrator to observe you as you work with students one on one, in small group and whole class. Please provide the administrator with a copy of this syllabus. The administrator will be asked to sign off your experience along with the university supervisor.

If the administrator wishes, you may work collaboratively with the school-wide reading specialist or other resource teacher as designated by the site administrator.