

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
EDMS 555, Section 5, Arts Cohort / Cohort 34 (CRN#42636)
Elementary Multilingual Education
Fall 2002
Tues. / Thurs. (UH440) 11:30 a.m. – 2:15 p.m.

Professor: Dr. Grace Park McField
E-Mail*: gmcfield@csusm.edu
Phone: (760) 750-8511
Office / Hours: 428 University Hall / Tues. or Thurs. 3:00 – 4:00 p.m except 9/12, 10/10, 10/24 due to meetings;
Wed. 4:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. and by appointment.
**It is advised that you confirm all office hour sessions with the professor in advance.

Mission Statement

The mission of the College of Education Community is to collaboratively transform public education by preparing thoughtful educators and advancing professional practices. We are committed to diversity, educational equity, and social justice, exemplified through reflective teaching, life-long learning, innovative research, and ongoing service. Our practices demonstrate a commitment to student centered education, diversity, collaboration, professionalism, and shared governance. (*adopted by COE Governance Community October, 1997*)

DESCRIPTION

This course addresses the needs of teachers faced with the growing diversity that exists in today's classrooms. As such it will focus on the following topics:

*bilingual sociolinguistic/sociocultural competence and implications for learning and instruction (e.g., levels of English proficiency and appropriate instruction for each level);

*application of effective instructional practices, i.e., English language development (ELD) techniques;

*cultural aspects of English learners, and implications for pedagogy;

*successful bilingual, multilingual education for language minority students.

Strong oral and written communication skills, information literacy, and technology are required of professional educators; therefore, these components are emphasized as methods to be used in completing course requirements.

OBJECTIVES

Students completing EDMS 555 will be able to demonstrate the following:

- Explain the basic terms, philosophies, problems, politics, issues, history and practices related to the education of language minority persons in California and the US.
- Explain the existing pupil identification, assessment, and language reclassification or re-designation requirements for the state of California (e.g., LAS, CELDT, etc.).
- Explain how the current laws (Prop. 227, ELD standards, CELDT, redesignation procedures, etc.) affect the education of English language learners.
- Explain the theoretical bases upon which bilingual education is founded and the most important goals of bilingual education.
- Explain the major research outcomes of bilingual education and other comparative programs.
- Explain the various models of English language development (English-only and bilingual education models), and the interrelationships between them (e.g., the different and coordinated roles of bilingual education and English as a second language in English language development).
- Explain and demonstrate various English Language Development methods and strategies.
- Explain the basic competencies related to language acquisition and language development that are necessary to possess an authorization to teach English Learners.

Standard Alignment

The course objectives, assignments, and assessments have been aligned with the CTC standards for Multiple Subjects Credential. Please be sure to incorporate artifacts from this class into your final comprehensive portfolio. The following standards are addressed in this class:

- Standard 3:** Relationship between Theory and Practice
- Standard 4:** Pedagogical Thought and Reflective Practice
- Standard 5:** Equity, Diversity, and Access to the Core Curriculum
- Standard 7.a.b:** Preparation to Teach Reading-Language Arts
- Standard 8:** Pedagogical Preparation for Subject-Specific Content Instruction
- Standard 11.c :** Preparation to use Educational Ideas and Research
- Standard 13:** Preparation to Teach English Learners

Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) Competencies

This course is designed to help teachers seeking the Multiple Subjects Credential to develop the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to assist schools and district in implementing effective programs for all students. The successful candidate will be able to merge theory and practice in order to realize a comprehensive and extensive educational program for all students. The following TPE's are addressed in this course:

Primary Emphasis

- TPE 1:** Specific Pedagogical Skills for Subject Matter Instruction
- TPE 4:** Making Content Accessible
- TPE 5:** Student engagement
- TPE 7:** Teaching English Learners

Secondary Emphasis:

- TPE 3:** Interpretation and Use of Assessments
- TPE 6:** Developmentally Appropriate Teaching Practices
- TPE 11:** Social Environment
- TPE 14:** Educational Technology
- TPE 15:** Social Justice and Equity

In 1992, the College of Education voted to infuse Cross-cultural, Language and Academic Development (CLAD) competencies across the curriculum. The CLAD competencies are attached to the syllabus and the competencies covered in this course are highlighted. As of 2002, the CLAD competencies are collectively referred to as an authorization to teach English Learners.

The Governance Community of the College of Education adopted the following Attendance Policy on 12/19/97:

Due to the dynamic and interactive nature of courses in the College of Education, all students are expected to attend all classes and participate actively. At a minimum, students must attend more than 80% of class time, or s/he **may not receive a passing grade** for the course at the discretion of the instructor. Individual instructors may adopt more stringent attendance requirements. Should the student have extenuating circumstances, s/he should contact the instructor as soon as possible.

This course deals with complex material processed in a variety of ways. Structured interactions, group processes, oral presentations, guided discussion of readings, and self-disclosure exercises are the norm. Given the fast-paced and highly interactive nature of the course, and given that teaching and learning is difficult (if not impossible) without regular attendance and full engagement in class, the above College Policy is amplified as follows:

Your attendance and participation are basic to your learning and my evaluation of your learning in this class. You are expected to establish appropriate personal, academic and career priorities to facilitate said attendance and participation in this class.

*Your grade will be negatively affected by absences and positively affected by regular attendance. You will lose 1 point for each tardy or early departure, and 5 points for each absence, explained or unexplained.

**Illness and emergency circumstances will be negotiated on a case-by-case basis.

These measures are intended to encourage responsibility for one's own learning in a democratic, collaborative and reciprocal-learning environment.

GRADING

Grading Scale: A=93+, A- =92-90, B+=89-88, B- = 87-83, B- = 82 – 80, C+=79-78, C=77-73, C-=72-70, D+=69-68, D=67-63, D-=62-60, F=59 or lower.

Attendance & Participation: You are expected to read course materials prior to class meetings and participate in group and class discussions.

Communication: You are expected to use email and the web on a regular basis. You must email me during the *first week of class* so that I can add you to my email list. Essential and time-sensitive information may be conveyed via email, and you are responsible for obtaining this information from each other or from the professor.

Submission Schedule: Work submitted within one week late will be reduced by one letter grade. Work received over one week late receives no credit (Individual exceptions due to emergencies will be negotiated on an individual basis).

Grading Emphasis: Each written assignment will be graded approximately 80% on content and writing (detail, logic, synthesis of information, depth of analysis, etc.), and 20% on mechanics (grammar, syntax, spelling, format, uniformity of citation, etc.). All citations need to use APA format (which you can access via the web).

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Outcomes and Standards: This course is aligned with the standards for the Cross-cultural Language and Academic Development (CLAD) endorsement, as articulated by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing, and as approved by the faculty of the College of Education. Further consideration has been given to the alignment of standards for multicultural education as articulated by the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE), the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC), and the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS). Emphasis is placed on learning outcomes (what you know and can demonstrate) rather than on inputs (putting in “seat time”, meeting minimum criteria for assignments, checking off tasks and activities), and how these outcomes correspond to your potential to enhance student learning as a new teacher.

Academic Honesty: It is expected that each student will do his or her own work, and contribute equitably to group processes and projects. If there is any question about academic honesty, consult the University Catalog.

Appeals: Every student has the right to appeal grades, or appeal for redress of grievances incurred in the context of any course. Disputes may be resolved informally with the professor, or through the formal appeal process. For the latter, consult Dr. E. Oliver, Associate Dean.

Ability: Every student has the right to an equitable education and appropriate accommodations. Students having differing ability (mobility, sight, hearing, documented learning challenges, first language/English as a second language) are requested to contact the professor at the earliest opportunity. Every effort will be made to accommodate special needs. Students are reminded of the availability of Disabled Student Services, the Writing Center, technology assistance in the computer labs, and other student support services available as part of appropriate accommodations for special needs students. Students may be required to use the Writing Center or similarly resource for all written assignments, based on performance on the first writing assignment.

REQUIRED TEXTS

Choate, J. (2000). *Successful Inclusive Teaching*. Allyn and Bacon (3rd edition) *This book is used in many courses in the program.
Krashen, S. (1999). *Condemned without a trial: Bogus arguments against bilingual education*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
Lessow-Hurley, J. (2000). *The foundations of dual language instruction*. New York, NY: Longman.
Walter, T. (1996). *Amazing English*. USA: Addison Wesley.
WestEd. (1998). *English Language Arts and English Language Development Standards*.
Course Handouts: Distributed periodically in class.

Recommended/Optional:

Crawford, J. (1999). *Bilingual education: History, politics, theory and practice (4th Edition)*. Los Angeles, CA: Evaluation, Dissemination and Assessment Center, CSULA
Peregoy, S. F. & Owen, F. B. (1997) *Reading, Writing, & Learning in ESL (2nd Edition)*. Longman.
Peréz, B. & Torres-Guzmán, M. (2002). *Learning in Two Worlds*. Allyn & Bacon.

NOTE: All texts/readings MUST be brought to each appropriate class session.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Assignments:

All assignments are due on the dates indicated. Assignments must be typewritten or wordprocessed, double-spaced and with standard margins. It is expected that all assignments will reflect university-level composition and exposition. Use of electronic spelling and grammar checking is encouraged.

| | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| A. Attendance & Participation | 10 points | Ongoing |
| B. Pop Quizzes | 1 X 5 = 5 points | Ongoing |
| C. Interactive Journal | 20 points | Due Week 7 |
| D. EL Observation & Assessment Study | 20 points | Due Week 6 |
| E. Integrated Thematic SDAIE Unit | 35 points total | Due Week 8 |
| 1. Multicultural Book Unit | (10 points) | Due Week 4 |
| 2. Written SDAIE Unit Plan | (20 points) | Due Week 7 |
| 3. Group Presentation of SDAIE Unit | (5 points) | Due Week 8 |
| F. 2 Mini-Exams | 5 x 2 = 10 points | Sessions 6 and 14 |

A. **Attendance & Participation (10 points)** Attendance; preparedness with readings and assignments; active, engaged discussions and participation in class. **For each class session, 1. two students will be responsible for preparing and demonstrating an activity related to our readings and discussions; 2. you need to check the outline for *'s that indicate material you need to BRING IN for discussion.**

B. **Pop Quizzes**

You will have 5 pop quizzes on basic information in your readings. They are worth 1 point each, and cannot be made up if you are absent or late.

C. **Interactive Journal (20 points total) Due Week 7**

1. **Any 4 entries x 4 pts each; no more than 1 entry per session)**

For this assignment students will need to choose a journal partner in class. You will write reflections to bring to class on five days of you and your partner's choice. Partner selection and signups will be done the first week of class.

Before the class sessions of you and your partner's choice, write 1. a journal entry and 2. a **response** to your partner's entry, reflecting on the following: These papers will reflect on the past week's class activities and readings, and their application in the classroom (and to your student observations or student teaching, as applicable). As you reflect on or apply concepts, you may want to collect artifacts from your student teaching such as samples of student writing, tests, etc. as applicable. **Two students per week will be responsible for sharing their interactive journal and beginning a class discussion.** (Absences require an online/makeup interactive response journal session.)

For each of the 4 entries, then, you will also have a response, for a total of 8 separate entries you will turn in together during week 7. Interactive journals will be turned in during Week 7 and will not be returned, so do keep copies of all your work.

2. **1 entry X 4 points:** For the 5th entry, you will write a short essay on **TPE's 1, 4, 6, 7, and 15. What have you learned about these TPE's?**

D. **EL Observation & Informal Assessment Study (20 points) Due Week 6**

EL Observation Study (10 points)

In this assignment you will select an ELD student to observe during your fieldwork or student teaching. (If you are currently not working in a bilingual or English language development class you will need to make arrangements to observe two lessons in another class.) This assignment is two-fold. The first portion involves researching a select EL student's a. background and b. instructional needs. The second portion involves observations of at least two lessons for your EL student. Your paper should have the following sections:

1. **PRE-OBSERVATION TASK:** Know the Learner exercise, Walter, pp. 4, 10.

2. **EL STUDENT'S BACKGROUND & INSTRUCTIONAL NEEDS:** For part 2, you may interview the teacher, language resource teacher / specialist, or student as appropriate to determine the student's sociocultural and linguistic background. You also need to investigate the student's LEP level, program placement, and special needs if any, etc.

3. **OBSERVATION OF LESSONS:** This section is your field notes as you observe the lessons, with attention to the following:

*During your observation of the lessons, take field notes of what is happening during the lessons, with attention to instructional modifications (or lack thereof) made to accommodate the English Language Learner.

*After each lesson, discuss the lesson with the student or students to find out their impressions of the lesson.

*Discuss the lessons with the teacher. You will want to ask such questions as, What was the objective/purpose of the lesson? How did this lesson meet the needs of the EL, given the student's English language proficiency level? How was instruction modified for the

EL? What did you learn during the lesson about the EL? Feel free to ask any additional questions that seem appropriate to the lesson you observe.

4. ANALYSIS: In this section, you present a cogent analysis and interpretation of all information in sections 1 – 3, above. Your analysis section is the heart of your paper. It goes beyond describing to analyzing and interpreting what you observed. Accordingly, this section needs to relate your observation to the theories, methods of multilingual education discussed in readings and class discussions. What worked well and why? What needed improvement, and how can instruction better meet the needs of the EL? In your interpretations, you may also compare and contrast your observations, opinions, and feelings with those of a. the student(s) and b. the teacher.

EL Informal Assessment Assignment (10 points)

5. INFORMAL ASSESSMENTS: You may choose any two informal assessments (see your packets and SOLOM for options). Note that for Beginning and Early Intermediate level students, you will have to rely primarily on the SOLOM, since their production / response levels will be very limited. You may or may not be able to assess on some of these assessment tools; try to match the rubric judiciously with the EL student, or adapt the assessment tool as necessary.

Option 1: SOLOM: As you observe the student in the classroom either during direct instruction and guided / independent followup, interactions with peers, etc., use the SOLOM matrix to rate the EL’s oral language proficiency. It may be helpful if during your observation, you first take field notes of what is happening during the lessons, and how your EL student is attending to the lesson and demonstrating oral language proficiency. Then, you can use those notes to help you rate your EL student’s oral language proficiency.

*Optional: discuss the observation with the teacher to fill in any gaps, since your observation time / opportunities may have been limited.

*Option 2: Any other informal assessment from your reading packet, modified as necessary.

6. WRITEUP: Write up your paper in descriptive and analytical ways. Your paper should have the following sections. Label each section as follows:

6A. Administration of Two Informal Assessments.

Describe how / where you conducted the assessments as appropriate (e.g., Did you administer the assessment orally or did the student read and fill out the sheet on his/her own? during followup time, at recess, etc.). Describe any modifications made to the assessment tool or procedure in order to adapt to the EL level.

6B. Summary and Analysis.

Use the data from your notes (optional) and the two informal assessment tools to...

a. summarize the different language development components the EL demonstrated; and

b. analyze these findings, using the theoretical frameworks in Walter (pp. 26, 34) and the ELD standards levels. For instance, you can cite examples of student utterances or responses, then tie it in to the frameworks in Walter and the ELD standards levels.

Notice that there is a critical difference between a. summarizing / describing and b. analyzing. Be sure to include both of these sections in your writeup. The type of analysis that is expected in this section matches the type of analyses you have been writing for the short journal papers.

***OPTIONAL PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS TO CLASS:**

You will work in small groups during class time to develop a short poster session of your observed lesson assignment. You will host a poster session during week 6. If you choose to host a poster session, you will get credit for the participation activity in A. above.

| | | | |
|-----------|--|------------------------|-------------------|
| E. | Integrated Thematic SDAIE Unit | 35 points total | Due Week 8 |
| | Multicultural Literature Assignment | (10 points) | Due Week 4 |
| | Written Unit Plan | (20 points) | Due Week 8 |
| | Group Presentation of SDAIE Unit | (5 points) | Due Week 8 |

Multicultural Literature Assignment (10 points)

With another person, you will have the opportunity to develop a multicultural literature plan that will address appropriate literature selections reflecting multicultural perspectives for students in grades K-2 or 3-6. Choose four powerful children’s multicultural selections that should be the center of the curriculum for different times throughout the year. This plan should include an **outline of how the multicultural books will be used throughout the year and across various content areas, as appropriate (an outline or grid of the academic year is suggested)**, and a **justification** (an explanatory narrative) of the selections made. At least two of the books must be bilingual (English and another language) with a brief discussion of how “primary language support” will be incorporated into the literature unit. Write **two** detailed reading and writing lesson plans that will clearly delineate how every child will be successful by providing universal access to diverse students (e.g., gifted, English learners, special needs, non-readers, poor).

The format of the multicultural literature unit should include:

1. Overview: A narrative that discusses the focus of the unit and a brief summary of each book
2. Grade level and English language proficiency level this unit is appropriate for
3. Outline/plan/grid of how the books will be used throughout the year
4. 2 Reading and writing lesson plans that will identify the ELD / content area standards being covered
5. Assessment strategies: describe how you will determine they learned the objectives using specific assessment tools
6. Primary language support component of the unit plan: describe how the primary language and English language will be used jointly to support student engagement and learning

Poster Sessions (20 minutes each)

Tables will be set up to provide space for half of the groups to present/display their Multicultural Literature Selection. Other class members will walk around and listen to the discussions on the different books selected.

Note: Dr. Alice Quioco has developed an excellent website with a bibliography of children's literature and multicultural books that could be a great resource for you (<http://www.csusm.edu/Quioco>).

Also, the Barahona Center for the Study of Books in Spanish for Children and Adolescents is a wonderful resource right here on campus in the main library.

Integrated Thematic SDAIE Unit Plan - Providing rigorous academic instruction that also facilitates English language acquisition is critical to the academic achievement of English learners. This assignment will provide you with an opportunity to demonstrate ways in which curriculum and instruction, while remaining grade level appropriate, can be modified so that it is comprehensible to English Learners. Some of this assignment will be done in class and thus collaboration is highly encouraged. You will design or adapt a unit of at least three sequential lessons (# of lessons depends on # of persons in your group – a minimum of 1 lesson plan per person is required) that employ SDAIE methodology. Lesson plans, either a 5 step or "Into, Through and Beyond," should be formulated to meet the needs of English Learners. This project is due the day your group presents your interactive lesson to the class. Sample units from past semesters are available for your perusal in class. Here is the basic format for this assignment:

I. **THEMATIC UNIT OVERVIEW:** Provide an overview of the following:

1. Describe the target students' **grade level(s), language level(s), program placement information.**
2. Identify the **major concepts** that you want children to learn in the unit.
3. Identify the areas of curriculum (**content**) you will use by citing specific standards (literature, mathematics, science, etc.) and objectives of the content area. What objectives in the content areas will students have to meet in order to learn the major concepts?

II. **SAMPLE LESSON PLANS:** Develop a unit of lessons (1 per person in your group) that will teach the critical concepts in your thematic unit. What are the objectives of your lessons, and how are those tied into the major concepts and objectives (content as well as language) that you have set for the entire unit? Be clear and specific so that someone will be able to take your lessons and replicate them. For each lesson plan, include the following:

4. Identify what you will do to get students **into the unit (Preparation)**. Next, identify what you will do to get students **through the unit (Practice)**. Include what you will do to assess that students have learned the major concepts (**Evaluation**) and what students will do **beyond** the unit to extend their understanding (**Transfer**). Or, use an alternate lesson plan format such as the 5-pt plan.
5. Identify the **language objectives**, using the appropriate English Language Development standards. What language will the students use to learn the major concepts? What language objectives are your unit and lessons are targeting? State these clearly.
6. Identify the **scaffolding strategies** you have chosen to help students learn the major concepts. Be aware that students must also learn the strategies and be able to make them a part of their learning repertoire. Give **specific reasons** for choosing the scaffolding strategies you are using, that is, how these strategies build scaffolds to learning. Remember that English Only students will usually need only **one** scaffold, whereas English learners may need **more than one scaffold** depending on their schemata and level of language development in both languages. Use content learned in your other courses or your classroom practice to support your **rationale**.
7. Be sure to include an authentic **assessment** in your unit (summative or formative is fine). How will you assess that ELD students have met the objectives of the unit and the lessons? That is, how will students demonstrate understanding and how will you know they have understood? Have you developed a **rubric** and a clear description of what the various degrees of that rubric mean?

III. **APPENDICES:**

8. Include a **resources list**, a bibliography of all materials used, such as books, art prints, CD's, computer software, videos, etc.
9. The unit must also contain a **reflection**. What have you / your group learned from the development of these lessons? If you have had the opportunity to implement any part of your unit, be sure to include reflections on the implementation as well as student samples, if available.

Your group may do a computer technology project, if that is the medium in which you wish to present your project. Check with me as to the programs you are using. For example, you can provide a videotaped version of one or more lessons in your unit, either with children in schools, or with student-aged neighbors/family acting as your students. Or, the class presentation itself can be videotaped. One person can do this – videotape a lesson or the presentation -- instead of writing a lesson plan and participating

otherwise in the presentation. The person opting to do this in your group needs to obtain waivers from all students being filmed (see me for waiver form), and be responsible for any editing using e.g., imovie in the lab, if necessary, and providing me with a copy of the film. Videocameras are available for checkout in the media library.

The following are questions that will help you plan the unit, and also complete the reflective section of the unit:

- ◆ Does each lesson take into consideration the various English proficiency levels of language minority students?
- ◆ Are the objectives conceptually clear and tied to your instructional and learning strategies?
- ◆ Does instruction in each lesson use visuals, manipulatives, realia, drama and scaffolding techniques that would facilitate understanding?
- ◆ Do the learning strategies incorporate group collaboration, cooperative learning activities, peer assistance, or other work group techniques?
- ◆ Is the content or subject matter in the lesson challenging and not watered down?
- ◆ Does the lesson incorporate the culture, lived experiences, and if possible, the language of the students?
- ◆ How are the parents and community integrated into the unit?
- ◆ How does the lesson try to incorporate the 7 Intelligences and/or multiple modalities?
- ◆ Does your assessment directly measure your objectives in a conceptually clear manner?

Group Presentation of SDAIE Unit - Your “unit group” will choose one lesson from your instructional unit to present to the class. For the purpose of this lesson, the class will become your students so be sure to let them know what grade level and other characteristics they have for the duration of the lesson (e.g., native language, length of time in the country). Be sure that all members have a role during the lesson, and that the lesson is interactive. This means that the class (your “students”) needs to actively participate in your presentation. You will have approximately one-half hour (this includes time for questions and comments). If any group member has had the opportunity to implement the unit, you may discuss the process and outcomes. Presentations will be done during Week 8.

F. 2 Mini-Exams (5 x 2 = 10 points) Dates: Tentative dates are Weeks 3 and 7 (Sessions 6 and 14)

You will have two exams on the following:

1. Models of English language development, including various English Only and Bilingual Education Models.
2. Critical analysis of Proposition 227.

| Date | EDMS 555 Tentative Course Outline The professor reserves the rights to modify the schedule below when deemed appropriate. Topic / Possible Class Activities / Papers Due | Reading Assignment – Be ready to discuss |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Session 1 9/3/02 Tu | <p>TOPIC: Intro & Course Overview *Needs Assessment – What do you / Need to know? *Sample work for you to peruse. *Signups for 1. journal partners and presentation times; and 2. class activities. TOPIC: 364 Review, The Role of Culture in Academic Success (Ogbu, race relations models)</p> | <p><i>Choate</i>, p. 19, “Culture and Language Differences” <i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 9, “Culture and Academic Success” <i>Walter</i>, Ch. 1, “Culture and Cultural Diversity”</p> |
| Session 2 9/5/02Th | <p>TOPIC 1: Historical overview of BE in the U.S. and International perspectives For next week: choose an observation student; discuss your school demographics and district/site master plans. “The Lemon Grove Incident” / “White Man’s Image” clips</p> <p>TOPIC 2: Federal and Local Policy on Dual Language Instruction In class: Mock debate on Hispanic Dropout rate In class: Go over journal rubric and start journal #1.</p> | <p><i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 1, “Historical and Inter’l Perspectives” <i>Krashen</i>, Ch. 3, “Bogus Argument #3, The U.S. is the Only Country that Promotes BE”</p> <p><i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 10, “Legal Foundatns of Dual Language Instruction” <i>Krashen</i>, Ch. 1, “BE is Responsible for the High Hispanic Dropout Rate”</p> |
| Session 3 9/10/02 Tu | <p>TOPIC 1: Aspects of Language</p> <p>TOPIC 2: CELDT Assessment and Identification Overview In class: Try a CELDT or SOLOM assessment, go over sample lesson observation.</p> | <p><i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 3, “Aspects of Language”</p> <p><i>Choate</i>, p. 39 -40, “Teach Diagnostically” <i>Peregoy</i> Ch. 4 Oral Language Dev. Reading Packet/Handouts: CELDT</p> |
| Session 4 9/12/02 Th | <p>TOPIC: Dual Language Program Models In class: What bilingual / English language programs exist at your site? *Bring in district/site literature on programs that exist at your site.</p> | <p><i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 2, “Dual Language Program Models” Reading Packet: NCBE</p> |
| Session 5 9/17/02 Tu | <p>In class: Mini-Exam 1: ELD Program Models TOPIC: (Review) Principles of Language Acquisition; Stages of Language Development In class: *Peer Review Observation Notes or Drafts with attention to CELDT level.</p> | <p><i>Walter</i>, Ch. 2, “Language and Language Acquisition” <i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 4, “Language Development”</p> |
| Session 6 9/19/02 Th | <p>TOPIC: Academic/Content Area Development: Reading *Bring ELD Handbooks & Content Area standards / SDAIE lesson ideas; demo lessons.</p> | <p><i>Walter</i>, Ch. 3, “Literacy Development” Review <i>Peregoy</i>, Ch. 10, “Reading Assessment and Instruction” *See <i>Choate</i>, Chs. 4 – 9</p> |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| <p>Session 7 9/24/02 Tu</p> | <p>TOPIC: Academic/Content Area Development Cont'd In class: demonstration lessons; discuss Observation / analysis section of paper.</p> | <p><i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 7, "Second Language Instruction" <i>Walter</i>, Ch. 4, "Academic/Content Area Development" *See <i>Choate</i>, Chs. 10 - 13</p> |
| <p>Session 8 9/26/02 Th</p> | <p>TOPIC: Academic/Content Area Development Cont'd In class: demonstration lessons. *Multicultural Literature Plan Due!</p> | <p><i>Peregoy</i>, Ch. 6</p> |
| <p>Session 9 10/1/02 Tu</p> | <p>TOPIC: Building Literacy with L1 Instruction V Clip, "Bilingual Education" or "Newton High"</p> | <p><i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 6, "Primary Language Instruction for LEP Students"</p> |
| <p>Session 10 10/3/02 Th</p> | <p>TOPIC: Language Assessment In class: *Bring in demonstration language assessments (CELDT, SOLOM, LAS, etc.) and student samples</p> <p>TOPIC: The Bilingual Education "Debate" In class: mock debates / jurisprudential inquiry.</p> | <p><i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 5, "Language Ability" <i>Walter</i>, Ch. 5, "Assessment and Evaluation" Review <i>Peregoy</i> Ch. 4 Oral Language Dev.</p> <p><i>Krashen</i>, Ch. 2, "Bogus Argument #2, Most Immigrants Succeeded without Bilingual Education" <i>Krashen</i>, Ch. 5, "Bogus Argument #5, Public Opinion is Against BE" <i>Lessow</i>, Ch. 11, "The Politics of Bilingualism"</p> |
| <p>Session 11 10/8/02 Tu</p> | <p>TOPIC: Public Opinion and Research Outcomes on Bilingual Education In class: the politics of choice & language programs. V – Unz vs. Krashen debate Sign up for Thematic Unit presentations.</p> | <p><i>Krashen</i>, Ch. 4, "Bogus Argument #4, BE Failed in CA" <i>Krashen</i>, Ch. 6, "A Note on Greene's 'A Meta-Analysis of the Effectiveness of BE' "</p> |
| <p>Session 12 10/10/02 Th</p> | <p>TOPIC: Role of the Parents and Community In class: bring in info on programs at your site or in your community. TOPIC: Proposition 227 Revisited *EL Student Observations DUE!</p> | <p>Reading Packet: PQR document</p> <p>Reading Packet: Proposition 227</p> |
| <p>Session 13 10/15/02 Tu</p> | <p>In class: Mini-Exam 2: Critical Analysis of Proposition 227 TOPIC: Developing as a Professional Educator In class: What are your emerging professional goals? *Interactive Journals DUE! (10 PIECES)</p> | <p>Be prepared to draw on observation experiences, observation paper, readings, previous class discussions, etc.</p> |
| <p>Session 14 10/17/02 Th</p> | <p>Session outline TBA *THEMATIC UNITS DUE!</p> | |
| <p>Session 15 10/22/02 Tu</p> | <p>TOPIC: Group presentations Group presentations & connections to course.</p> | |
| <p>Session 16 10/24/02 Th</p> | <p>TOPIC: Group presentations Group presentations & connections to course. *REVISED THEMATIC UNITS DUE!</p> | |
| | <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>Closure & Wrap up What have we learned? Where do we go from here?</p> | |

In 1992, the College of Education voted to infuse Cross-cultural, Language and Academic Development (CLAD) competencies across the curriculum. The CLAD competencies are attached to the syllabus and the competencies covered in this course are highlighted. As of 2002, the CLAD competency components are collectively referred to as an authorization to teach English Learners.

(FORMERLY, CROSSCULTURAL, LANGUAGE, AND ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT (CLAD) COMPETENCIES)

| PART 1: LANGUAGE STRUCTURE AND FIRST- AND SECOND-LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT | PART 2: METHODOLOGY OF BILINGUAL, ENGLISH LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT, AND CONTENT INSTRUCTION | PART 3: CULTURE AND CULTURAL DIVERSITY |
|---|--|---|
| I. Language Structure and Use: Universals and Differences (including the structure of English) | I. Theories and Methods of Bilingual Education | I. Culture |
| A. The sound systems of language (phonology) | A. Foundations | A. Definitions of culture |
| B. Word formation (morphology) | B. Organizational models: What works for whom? | B. Perceptions of culture |
| C. Syntax | C. Instructional strategies | C. Intragroup differences (e.g., ethnicity, race, generations, and micro-cultures) |
| D. Word meaning (semantics) | II. Theories and Methods for Instruction In and Through English | D. Physical geography and its effects on culture |
| E. Language in context | A. Teacher delivery for <u>both</u> English language development <u>and</u> content instruction | E. Cultural congruence |
| F. Written discourse | B. Approaches with a focus on English language development | II. Manifestations of Culture: Learning About Students |
| G. Oral discourse | C. Approaches with a focus on content area instruction (specially designed academic instruction delivered in English) | A. What teachers should learn about their students |
| H. Nonverbal communication | D. Working with paraprofessionals | B. How teachers can learn about their students |
| II. Theories and Factors in First- and Second-Language Development | III. Language and Content Area Assessment | C. How teachers can use what they learn about their students (culturally responsive pedagogy) |
| A. Historical and current theories and models of language analysis that have implications for second-language development and pedagogy | A. Purpose | |
| B. Psychological factors affecting first- and second-language development | B. Methods | A. Concepts of cultural contact |
| C. Socio-cultural factors affecting first- and second-language development | C. State mandates | B. Stages of individual cultural contact |
| D. Pedagogical factors affecting first- and second-language development | D. Limitations of assessment | C. The dynamics of prejudice |
| E. Political factors affecting first- and second-language development | E. Technical concepts | D. Strategies for conflict resolution |

EDMS 555 stresses competencies highlighted in bold.