Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

333 South Twin Oaks Valley Road, University Hall 468 San Marcos, California 92096-0001 760.750.4300 www.csusm.edu/education

Course Number	EDUC 628 Section 1	
Course Title	Applied Research Methods	
CRN Number	20838	
Days	Wednesdays	
Time	5:30 pm – 8:15 pm	
Course Location	University Hall 441	
Semester / Year	Spring 2016	
Instructor	Toni Olivas, Ed.D.	
Phone	760.750.4333	
E-Mail	tolivas@csusm.edu	
Office	KEL 5011	
Hours	By Appointment	

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION MISSION & VISION STATEMENT

(Adopted by SOE Governance Community, January 2013)

Vision

To serve the educational needs of local, regional, and global communities, the School of Education advances innovative practice and leadership by generating, embracing, and promoting equitable and creative solutions.

Mission

The mission of the School of Education community is to collaboratively transform education. We:

- Create community through partnerships
- Promote and foster social justice and educational equity
- Advance innovative, student-centered practices
- Inspire reflective teaching and learning
- Conduct purposeful research
- Serve the School, College, University, and Community

BASIC TENETS OF OUR CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

- Student centered education
- Research and theory specific to the program field inform practice
- · Connections and links between coursework and application
- Strong engagement between faculty and candidates
- Co-teaching clinical practice
- Culturally responsive pedagogy and socially just outcomes

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course is designed to guide students through the planning, preparation and/or completion of the MA Education culminating experience: research, project or exam. Involves intensive study of current issues and concepts in education.

Course Prerequisites

Admission to the MA Program & EDUC 622 (concurrent enrollment upon instructor approval)

Course Objectives

- 1. Identify Key Components of a Research Thesis, Curriculum Project or Exam.
- Master APA Manual Format.
- 3. Write Thesis, Project or Exam.
- 4. Practice for Poster Presentation.

Credit Hour Policy Statement

In all master course work, it is expected that for every one hour of contact time, you will complete approximately two to three hours of work outside of class. For EDUC 628, a 3-credit class, expect 6-9 hours of homework each week. Please plan accordingly. This is a hybrid course, meaning a majority of the class is in-person, but there are four evenings when students will be expected to meet with their writing partners. These meetings can happen in-person, over the phone, or via video chat. This out-of-class time, associate with the face-to-face sessions, will total at least 45 hours per unit of credit.

REQUIRED TEXTS

American Psychological Association. (2009). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.) Washington D.C.: American Psychological Association.

ISBN 9781433805615 (paperback), 9781433805622 (spiral), or 9781433805592 (hardcover).

COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES

Upon successful completion of this course, students will (be able to):

- Demonstrate interaction and communication skills that reflect professional dispositions and ethics, such as respect for diversity, educational equity, collaboration, and social justice.
- Apply leadership skills in social justice and equity to advance the profession.
- Analyze educational and/or professional research.
- Integrate research and evidence-based practices into educational and professional settings.

Assessment of Professional Dispositions

Assessing a candidate's dispositions within a professional preparation program is recognition that teaching and working with learners of all ages require not only specific content knowledge and pedagogical skills, but positive attitudes about multiple dimensions of the profession. The School of Education has identified six dispositions – social justice and equity, collaboration, critical thinking, professional ethics, reflective teaching and learning, and life-long learning—and developed an assessment rubric. For each dispositional element, there are three levels of performance - *unacceptable*, *initial target*, and *advanced target*. The description and rubric for the three levels of performance offer measurable behaviors and examples.

The assessment is designed to provide candidates with ongoing feedback for their growth in professional dispositions and includes a self-assessment by the candidate. The dispositions and rubric are presented, explained and assessed in one or more designated courses in each program as well as in clinical practice. Based upon assessment feedback candidates will compose a reflection that becomes part of the candidate's Teaching Performance Expectation portfolio. Candidates are expected to meet the level of *initial target* during the program.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

School of Education Attendance Policy

Due to the dynamic and interactive nature of courses in the School of Education, all candidates (course participants) are expected to attend all classes and participate actively. At a minimum, candidates (course participants) must attend more than 80% of class time, or s/he may not receive a passing grade for the course at the discretion of the instructor. Individual instructors may adopt more stringent attendance requirements. Should the candidate (course participants) have extenuating circumstances, s/he should contact the instructor as soon as possible. (Adopted by the COE Governance Community, December, 1997).

This course: Students are required to attend at least 10 face-to-face sessions and 4 writing team meetings. Students may have one absence with no penalty. Any absence beyond will result in a loss of points. A minimum grade of C is required in EDUC 628 to qualify as part of the MA requirement. Absences and late arrivals/early departures will affect the final grade. If extenuating circumstances occur, the student should contact the instructor as soon as possible to make appropriate arrangements.

Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations

Students with disabilities who require reasonable accommodations must be approved for services by providing appropriate and recent documentation to the Office of Disabled Student Services (DSS). This office is located in Craven Hall 4300, and can be contacted by phone at (760) 750-4905, or TTY (760) 750-4909. Students authorized by DSS to receive reasonable accommodations should meet with their instructor during office hours or, in order to ensure confidentiality, in a more private setting.

Graduate Writing Requirements

The California State University maintains a Graduation Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR) for master's students, to be completed before Advancement to Candidacy can be approved. A student may satisfy the graduate writing requirement in one of two ways: an acceptable standardized test score or a paper that receives a passing score as described in the GWAR rubric. Toward the goal of providing opportunity for graduate students in the School of Education to satisfy the writing requirement, all papers in all graduate classes must adhere to the rules of style (for writing and format style) detailed in the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, 6th Ed. (2009). This is a required textbook for all CSUSM SOE graduate courses.

CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy

Students will be expected to adhere to standards of academic honesty and integrity, as outlined in the Student Academic Honesty Policy. All assignments must be original work, clear and error-free. All ideas/material that are borrowed from other sources must have appropriate references to the original sources. Any quoted material should give credit to the source and be punctuated accordingly.

Academic Honesty and Integrity: Students are responsible for honest completion and representation of their work. Your course catalog details the ethical standards and penalties for infractions. There will be zero tolerance for infractions. If you believe there has been an infraction by someone in the class, please bring it to the instructor's attention. The instructor reserves the right to discipline any student for academic dishonesty, in accordance with the general rules and regulations of the university. Disciplinary action may include the lowering of grades and/or the assignment of a failing grade for an exam, assignment, or the class as a whole.

Incidents of Academic Dishonesty will be reported to the Dean of Students. Sanctions at the University level may include suspension or expulsion from the University.

Plagiarism:

As an educator, it is expected that each candidate will do his/her own work, and contribute equally to group projects and processes. Plagiarism or cheating is unacceptable under any circumstances. If you are in doubt about whether your work is paraphrased or plagiarized see the Plagiarism Prevention for Students website http://library.csusm.edu/plagiarism/index.html. If there are questions about academic honesty, please consult the University catalog.

Use of Technology:

Candidates are expected to demonstrate competency in the use of various forms of technology (i.e. word processing, electronic mail, Moodle, use of the Internet, and/or multimedia presentations). Specific requirements for course assignments with regard to technology are at the discretion of the instructor. Keep a digital copy of all assignments for use in your teaching portfolio. All assignments will be submitted online, and some will be submitted in hard copy as well. Details will be given in class.

Electronic Communication Protocol:

Electronic correspondence is a part of your professional interactions. If you need to contact the instructor, e-mail is often the easiest way to do so. It is my intention to respond to all received e-mails in a timely manner. Please be reminded that e-mail and on-line discussions are a very specific form of communication, with their own nuances and etiquette. For instance, electronic messages sent in all upper case (or lower case) letters, major typos, or slang, often communicate more than the sender originally intended. With that said, please be mindful of all e-mail and on-line discussion messages you send to your colleagues, to faculty members in the School of Education, or to persons within the greater educational community. All electronic messages should be crafted with professionalism and care.

Things to consider:

- Would I say in person what this electronic message specifically says?
- How could this message be misconstrued?
- Does this message represent my highest self?
- Am I sending this electronic message to avoid a face-to-face conversation?

In addition, if there is ever a concern with an electronic message sent to you, please talk with the author in person in order to correct any confusion.

Course Requirements

MA in Education is a professional preparation program. It is expected that graduate students will come to class prepared to discuss the readings, submit required assignments, and participate in class activities. Graduate students are expected to adhere to academic honesty and integrity, standards of dependability, confidentiality and writing achievement. Because it is important for educators to be able to effectively communicate their ideas to students, parents, colleagues, and administrators, writing that is original, clear and error-free is a priority for the School of Education. It is expected that work will be turned in on time. Please discuss individual issues with the instructor, students, parents, colleagues, and administrators, writing that is original, clear and error-free is a priority for the School of Education. It is expected that work will be turned in on time. Please discuss individual issues with the instructor.

GRADING STANDARDS

Course Assignment Descriptions

Attendance 25% = 25 Points

Students are required to attend at least 10 face-to-face sessions and 4 writing team meetings. Each writing team can determine location and place for their meetings. Students may have one unexcused absence with no penalty.

Writing 50% = 50 Points

Students will be required to write 3 or more chapters of the thesis or project. Students will turn in their writing 5 times throughout the semester. Each of these five drafts will be reviewed by a peer. Two of these drafts will be reviewed and graded by the instructor. The chapters will be evaluated using the chapter rubrics for the School of Education. The peer and the instructor will evaluate the writing quality. Chapter Rubrics are provided on EDUC 698 cougar course and the School of Education Website.

Writing Feedback 25% = 25 Points

Each student will provide feedback to a peer's writing 5 times throughout the semester. Each student will use the Chapter Rubrics and the Praise, Question and Polish Format to provide the feedback. The peer and the instructor will evaluate the feedback quality.

Grading Scale				
A = 93-100	A- = 90-92			
B+ = 87-89	$\mathbf{B} = 83-86$	B- = 80-82		
C+ = 77-79	C = 73-76	C- = 70-72	D = 60-69	F =59 or lower

Final Exam Statement

There will be no final exam for this course.

EDUC 628 CALENDAR SPRING 2016

Session	Topic & Objectives			
Week 1	Introduction, Goals & Models			
1/27	Articulate Semester Goals & Deadlines			
	Choose Writing Partners and introduce peer writing feedback process			
	Identify Model Thesis/Projects from CSUSM ScholarWorks			
	Meet with your chair and review your goals for the semester			
Week 2	Literature Review (Chapter 2)			
2/3	Identify needs in own literature review			
	Practice skills to locate and analyze articles for literature review			
	Practice skills for synthesizing articles for literature review			
	APA Format			
	Mark the APA Manual for easy reference;			
	Learn how to cite in-text and the reference list;			
	Intro to tables & figures;			
	4. Provide APA feedback to writing team			
Week 3	Writing Partner(s) Meet "Face to Face"			
2/10	Meet with your partner(s) either in person or virtually at the predetermined location you			
(No in-	agreed			
person	Exchange revised writing			
class)	Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback:			
	Praise: Identify what the author did well.			
	Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification.			
	Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing			
	better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation			
	Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on			
	for the next peer review.			
	Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for			
	their writing team contributions.			
Week 4	Methodology (Chapter 3)			
2/17	Identify the components of chapter. 3;			
	Determine which chapter 3 sections need revision;			
	3. Revisit your timeline goals			
Week 5	Writing Partner(s) Meet "Face to Face"			
2/24	Meet with your partner(s) either in person or virtually at the predetermined location you			
(No in-	agreed			
person	Exchange revised writing.			
class)	Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback:			
,	Praise: Identify what the author did well.			
	Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification.			
	Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing			
	better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation			
	Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on			
	for the next peer review.			
	Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for			
	their writing team contributions.			
Week 6	Presentation of Data (Chapter 4)			
3/2	Identify the key components for project/thesis chapter 4;			
	Determine what sections need to be revised and how			
	Copyright Information			
	Identify the key components for copyright and how it affects your thesis/project			
	Determine what sections need to be revised and how			
	Botominio what sections freed to be revised and now			

	Writing Partner(s) Most "Eaco to Eaco"
Week 7 3/9	Writing Partner(s) Meet "Face to Face" Meet with your partner(s) either in person or virtually at the predetermined location you
(No in-	agreed
•	
person class)	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback:
Class)	Praise: Identify what the author did well.
	Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification.
	Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing
	better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation
	Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on
	for the next peer review.
	Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for
	their writing team contributions.
Week 8*	Conclusion (Chapter 5)
3/16	Identify key components of thesis/project chapter 5;
	Determine what components to revised and how
	Determine what compensate to revised and new
	*If you are planning on graduating this spring, you should turn in all five chapters to your chair
	this week (or soon after)
Week 9	No Class! Enjoy your break (but try to get some writing done)
3/23	
Spring	
Break!	
Week 10	Introduction (Chapter 1)
3/30	Identify key components of thesis/project chapter 1;
	Determine what components to revise and how
	Writing Transitions
	Identify the key components to a transition;
	Review the transitions in writing; Identify how to revise transitions
Week 11	Writing Partner(s) Meet "Face to Face"
4/6	Meet with your partner(s) either in person or virtually at the predetermined location you
	most than your paraner (e) clarer in percent or virtually at the production most reducent you
/No in-	agreed
(No in-	agreed Exchange revised writing
person	agreed Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback:
•	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well.
person	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification.
person	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing
person	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation
person	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on
person	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review.
person	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for
person class)	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions.
person class)	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance
person class)	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance Practice ADA formatting (possible guest speaker)
person class)	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance Practice ADA formatting (possible guest speaker) Submitting to Scholarworks
person class)	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance Practice ADA formatting (possible guest speaker) Submitting to Scholarworks Register and create a Scholarworks account
person class)	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance Practice ADA formatting (possible guest speaker) Submitting to Scholarworks
week 12 4/13	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance Practice ADA formatting (possible guest speaker) Submitting to Scholarworks Register and create a Scholarworks account Review submission process
Week 12 4/13	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance Practice ADA formatting (possible guest speaker) Submitting to Scholarworks Register and create a Scholarworks account Review submission process Prepare for MA Poster Session (to be held next Thursday, April 28 th)
week 12 4/13	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance Practice ADA formatting (possible guest speaker) Submitting to Scholarworks Register and create a Scholarworks account Review submission process Prepare for MA Poster Session (to be held next Thursday, April 28 th) Bring draft poster slides.
Week 12 4/13	Exchange revised writing Read each other's work and provide constructive feedback: Praise: Identify what the author did well. Question: Articulate where you had questions and what needed clarification. Polish: Identify with specificity what could be changed to make the writing better, i.e. revise a sentence if it is unclear, correct spelling mistakes, add correct APA citation Review what was helpful about the peer feedback and what you would like to focus on for the next peer review. Post a copy of the writing and the written feedback so each partner receives credit for their writing team contributions. ADA Compliance Practice ADA formatting (possible guest speaker) Submitting to Scholarworks Register and create a Scholarworks account Review submission process Prepare for MA Poster Session (to be held next Thursday, April 28 th)

Week 14	MA Poster Session
4/27	We will not have class on 4/27. Instead you must attend the MA Poster Session (whether you
(No	are presenting a poster or not)
Class,	When: Thursday, April 28th
attend	Where: McMahon House
the MA	Time: 5pm-7pm
Poster	
Session	*Please take note of the date/time change for this session*
4/28)*	
Week 15	Topic to be Determined
5/4	Students will identify what they need assistance with at this time.
Week 16	Last Day of Class Celebration & Next Steps
5/11	

This calendar has been thoughtfully planned, but could change to meet the students' needs.

Writing Teams

Your writing team will consist of yourself and one (or two) other person(s). You are required to meet "face-to-face" to discuss your writing and offer constructive feedback to each other. The term "face-to-face" means that you and your team will set up an agreed upon, pre-determined location on or off campus where all parties of your team feel *safe*, *comfortable*, and *productive*. If you choose to meet virtually (using products such as Facetime, Google+, or Skype), *all* team members must feel comfortable using the technology. The point is for you and your team members to see each other face-to-face and produce quality work together.

Possible Editors

Keep in mind that you are under *no obligation* to hire an outside editor for your master's thesis/project. However, if you choose to hire someone, here are two possible choices who have both worked with CSUSM students in the past. Feel free to contact them directly for individual pricing information and tell them you are in my class:

Diane M. Yerkes, Ed.D. yerkes@cox.net (760) 781-1160

Important Notice

The California Faculty Association is in the midst of a difficult contract dispute with management. It is possible that the faculty union will call a strike or other work stoppage this term. I will inform the class as soon as possible of any disruption to our class meeting schedule.