



SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

Course Number	EDMX 631 Section 1 and Section 2
Title	Foundations in Law, Ethics, and Procedures in Special Education
CRN Numbers	Section 1 41097 , Section 2 41098
Days	Wednesdays
Time	5:30 – 8:20 p.m.
Course Location	University Hall 444
Semester / Year	Fall 2017
Professor	Dr. Jodi Robledo and Dr. Jacqueline Thousand
Phone	760-750-4317 and 760-533-1744
E-Mail	jrobledo@csusm.edu and jthousan@csusm.edu
Office	University Hall 468D and 468E
Hours	By appointment

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Foundations in Law, Ethics, and Procedures of Special Education

Introduction to state and federal special laws regarding special education, ethical issues in special education; procedures and forms used in California schools to identify and support children and youth eligible for special education; and the Individual Education Program planning, implementation, and evaluation process. Students practice principles of effective collaborative and interdisciplinary teaming and focus on best practices for inclusive educational programming.

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION MISSION & VISION STATEMENT

(Adopted by SOE Governance Community, January 2013)

Vision

To serve the educational needs of local, regional, and global communities, the School of Education advances innovative practice and leadership by generating, embracing, and promoting equitable and creative solutions.

Mission

The mission of the School of Education community is to collaboratively transform education. We:

- Create community through partnerships
- Promote and foster social justice and educational equity
- Advance innovative, student-centered practices
- Inspire reflective teaching and learning
- Conduct purposeful research
- Serve the school, college, university, and community

BASIC TENETS OF OUR CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

- Student centered education
- Research and theory specific to the program field inform practice
- Connections and links between coursework and application
- Strong engagement between faculty and candidates
- Co-teaching clinical practice
- Culturally responsive pedagogy and socially just outcomes

Table of Contents

COURSE DESCRIPTION.....	1
Foundations in Law, Ethics, and Procedures of Special Education	1
SCHOOL OF EDUCATION MISSION & VISION STATEMENT	1
BASIC TENETS OF OUR CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	1
COURSE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES	2
PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES	3
COURSE REQUIREMENTS	3
REQUIRED TEXTS AND WEBSITES	4
Selected Web Sites	5
SCHEDULE/COURSE OUTLINE	6
GRADING STANDARDS	8
Grading Scale (in percentages):	8
Late Assignments.....	8
Course Requirements.....	8
EDMX 631 TRACKING FORM	16
EDUCATION SPECIALIST CREDENTIAL PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS	17
TaskStream Enrollment and Postings.....	17
Authorization to Teach English Learners	17
Preliminary Education Specialist Standards	17
Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) Competencies.....	17
Expected Dispositions for the Education Profession	17
Teacher Performance Assessment.....	18
GENERAL CSUSM AND SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CONSIDERATIONS	18
School of Education Attendance Policy	18
Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations	18
Graduate Writing Assessment Requirement.....	18
CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy	18
Plagiarism.....	19
All University Writing Requirement	19
Graduate Writing Requirements	19
Necessary Technical Competency Required of Students	19
Contact Information for Technical Support Assistance	19
Electronic Communication Protocol	19
Course Format	20
Credit Hour Policy Statement.....	20
BASE TEAM MEETING #1	21
STUDY GUIDE	23
AGENDA ITEM #1	25
AGENDA ITEM #3 (BASE TEAM MEETING #1)	26
AGENDA ITEMS #4 (BASE TEAM MEETING #1)	27
AGENDA ITEM #5 (BASE TEAM MEETING #1)	28
MEETING AGENDA ITEM #7 (BASE TEAM MEETING #1).....	29

COURSE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Upon successful completion of this course, candidates will know and apply:

- Goal 1. relevant and current federal and state laws, regulations, practices and due process procedural safeguards that pertain to California public education, students with disabilities, and their parents and care providers.

- Goal 2. professional ethical standards of practice, including the Council for Exceptional Children Special Education Professional Ethical Principles and the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing Preliminary Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe Education Specialist standards

- Goal 3. The five critical elements of an effective collaborative planning team (i.e., PIGS Face) and awareness of their effective use of these elements in “base team” and other meetings.
- Goal 4. collaborative teaming and co-teaching strategies to effectively collaborate with family members and others (e.g., educators, administrators, paraeducators, community agency and related service personnel) design, implement, and evaluate IEPs for individual learners; facilitate student inclusion in general education and the core curriculum; and maximize communication and coordination of services..
- Goal 5. roles, rights, and responsibilities of special educators, related services personnel, classroom teachers, administrators, parents and students with disabilities in implementing the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA), the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504), and related California special education laws and regulations.
- Goal 6. the IFSP/IEP/ITP development and implementation processes (i.e., screening; nonbiased and family-centered assessment; eligibility determination, given California eligibility criteria) for identifying needed supports and services, making placement decisions, and ensuring student access to the core general education curriculum and inclusive communities.
- Goal 7. processes for developing and monitoring meaningful, measurable, standards-based goals and objectives/benchmarks; and g) determination of accommodations for participation in school-wide, district-wide, and state assessments.
- Goal 8. the Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) and Response to Intervention (RTI) frameworks for proactively intervening to reduce special education referral.

PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Preliminary Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe Education Specialist Program

Upon successful program completion, Preliminary Education Specialist teacher candidates will demonstrate proficiencies in:

1. applying current disability-related federal and state laws, procedures, and ethics pertaining to special education referral and eligibility and Individual Education Program plan development, monitoring, and implementation
2. using various formal and informal assessment data from multiple sources to a) develop IEP goals based upon individual students’ assessed needs and b) monitor student progress toward IEP goal achievement
3. using knowledge of the characteristics of various disabilities and their effects on learning, skill development, and behavior to develop and deliver appropriate instruction to advance student progress toward IEP goal attainment and meaningful participation and progress in the curriculum
4. differentiating instruction through a) knowledge and application of a variety of instructional and positive behavior support methodologies and b) use of technology inclusive of augmentative and alternative communication systems, applications, and devices
5. collaborating, coordinating, consulting, and co-teaching effectively with general educators, special education and related service providers, paraeducators, students’ families and community agency

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

1. **Person-First Language.** “Person-first” language (e.g., “Student with Down syndrome” rather than “Down syndrome student”) must be used throughout all written and oral assignments and discussions.

2. **Cougar Courses Use and Class Preparation.** Examine the course Cougar Courses website at least twice weekly for messages and newly posted materials and resources. Download materials needed for each class *prior* to class and bring to class all required resources.
3. **Written Work.** Word-process and keep an electronic copy of all written work. You will want these for your records and use as professional portfolio entries.
4. **Timeliness.** Complete and submit all assignments on the due dates for full credit. If you have extraordinary circumstances that impact completion of your assignments or have questions or concerns, please contact the instructor(s) immediately.
5. **Participation.** Participate actively in class discussions and group activities and demonstrate positive interpersonal skills with classmates, the instructors, and guests.
6. **Peer Buddy.** Select at least two class “buddies” ensure you receive handouts and information if you miss class.

Buddy's Name:	Phone:	e-mail:
Buddy's Name:	Phone:	e-mail:

REQUIRED TEXTS AND WEBSITES

American Psychological Association (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.) Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. [APA]

Brown, F., McDonnell, J., & Snell, M. (2016). *Instruction of students with severe disabilities* (8th ed.). Boston: Pearson. [BMS]

Turnbull, A., Turnbull, R., Wehmeyer, M., & Shogren, K. (2016). *Exceptional lives: Special education in today's schools* (8th ed.). Boston: Pearson/Allyn & Bacon. [TTWS]

Villa, R. A., & Thousand, J. S. (2016). *The inclusion checklist; A self-assessment of best practices*. Naples Publishing: Dude Publishing. [VT] [Purchase in Class #1 for \$5.00. Make check payable to Jacqueline Thousand.]

Conversations That Matter video website: <http://conversationthatmatter.org/user>

Please subscribe by first clicking on the SUBSCRIBE NOW button and then on the STUDENT SUBSCRIPTION button. The page will show student registration as \$20. Select CSUSM, provide your Student ID #, and select Jodi Robledo or Jacque Thousand as the instructor. Click on the CONTINUE TO NEXT STEP button, which takes you to the ADD TO CART button. Click on the ADD TO CART button. A page appears which requests your e-mail and billing information. Complete all fields and then click on CONTINUE TO NEXT STEP. You then are directed to PAYPAL where you can either pay through your PAYPAL account or with a credit card. After paying, PAYPAL will show you a page saying your transaction has been successful. **You must click on the link provided on this page** to return to the Conversations that Matter website. This step will activate your account. You will then receive an e-mail with a link that will allow you to immediately access the site with a temporary password. If you don't receive an e-mail, check your junk mail folder. If you still can't find the e-mail, go to the Conversations That Matter login page at <http://conversationthatmatter.org/user> and click on the “Get Login Help” link. Enter your email address in the form that is loaded and this will send another e-mail to you. The first assignment in this class requires you to registration, so register right away and have some fun discovering and listening to the words of internationally known disability rights advocates and celebrities.

Free Text on Paraeducators as Co-Teachers Posted on Cougar Courses at <https://cc.csusm.edu/>

Nevin, A., Villa, R., & Thousand, J. (2009). *A guide to co-teaching with paraeducators: Practical tips for K-12 educators*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press. [NVT]

Free Readings Accessible Online or Posted on Cougar Courses at <https://cc.csusm.edu/>

- California Department of Education (2009). *Determining specific learning disability eligibility using Response to Instruction and Intervention [RtI²]*. Sacramento, CA: Author.
- CalSTAT (2014). *The Special EDge: The Common Core State Standards, 27(2)*, 1 – 16. Rohner Park: CA: CalSTAT Project, NAPA County Office of Education. Please retrieve at www.calstat.org/publications
- Disability Rights of California (2011, September). *Special Education Rights and Responsibilities*. Sacramento: Author. Accessible at www.disabilityrightsca.org [SERR]
- Research and Training Center on Independent Living, University of Kansas. (2008). *Guidelines for reporting and writing about people with disabilities (7th ed.)*. Lawrence KS: Author. (Posted on Cougar Courses)
- Smith, T. E.C., Polloway, E. A., Patton, J. R., & Dowdy C. A. (2008). *Teaching students with special needs in inclusive settings (5th ed., Ch. 4)*. Boston: Pearson/Allyn & Bacon. [SPPD] (Posted on Cougar Courses)
- Thousand, J. (2016) *SST Basics* Unpublished manuscript. (Posted on Cougar Courses)
- Thousand, J., & Villa, R. (2000). Chapter 10 - Collaborative teaming: A powerful tool in school restructuring. In R. Villa, & J. Thousand (Eds.) *Restructuring for caring and effective education: Piecing the puzzle together (2nd ed.)*. Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes. [TV, Ch. 10] (Posted on Cougar Courses)
- Wright, P. & Wright, P. (2005). Smart IEPs (Chapter 12). In *Wright's law - From emotions to advocacy: A special education survival guide*. Harbor House Press, Inc. (Accessible at the "Chapter 12 - Smart IEPs" link at <http://www.wrightslaw.com/bks/feta2/feta2.htm>)
- North Coastal Consortium for Special Education (NCCSE) IEP Forms:** Posted in "IEP Forms" folder on Cougar Courses

Selected Web Sites

- <http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes.xhtml> (California searchable legislation website)
- www.calstat.org (CalSTAT - California Services for Technical Assistance & Training, find *The SpecialEdge* link for current and past journal issues)
- <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ci/cr/ri/> www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/sr/selinks.asp and www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/cc/ (CA Dept. of Education MTSS, Special Ed. Resources, and Common Core Resources & Webinars)
- www.cec.sped.org (Council for Exceptional Children website; go to Special Education Professional Ethical Principles and Practice Standards at the "Standards" tab)
- <http://idea.ed.gov> (U.S. Department of Education IDEA Part B and C website; full text of law and regulations and training materials from NICHCY)
- www.interventioncentral.org (Response to Intervention and other resources, go to RTI_WIRE and download links)
- www.ncset.org (National Center on Secondary Education and Transition)
- www.rtinetwork.org (RTI Action Network)
- www.wrightslaw.com (Wrights Law)

SCHEDULE/COURSE OUTLINE

For each class, see class topic, readings due for the class, and assignments due for that class. Abbreviations for readings are as indicated above. Topics and timelines may be adjusted based upon class needs.

Session Date	Topics	Supplemental readings to prepare for class in addition to Legal Brief readings	Legal Brief Component or Base Team Mtg. DUE
Class #1 8/30	Course Overview; Collaborative Teaming (PIGS Face); Jargon Busting; Intro to Base Teams & BT #1	Syllabus (Bring a hard copy downloaded from Cougar Courses or access in class via computer/notebook)	
BT #1 9/06 (Before 9/13)	Base Team Meeting #1 – Norms, Names, Roles, and Collaboration	VT <i>The Inclusion Checklist</i> Ch. 5 Collaboration (pp. 47 – 51) Ch. 10 Collaborative Teaming Base Team Meeting Agenda #1	BT #1
Class #2 9/13	The IEP Process: Starting with the End in Mind – (Co-Teachers: <i>Diana Pastora Carson and Joaquin Carson</i>); Language matters - “Guidelines for reporting and writing about people with disabilities”	VT <i>The Inclusion Checklist</i> Ch. 7 Student-centered strengths-based assessment (pp. 57 – 60) Optional: BMS Ch. 16 – The Dimensions of Adulthood (p. 554 to p. 566 and p. 579 “Living the Promise” through p. 585).	Component # 1: Web Search of Important Sites and Class #2 Preview on Ethics
Class #3 9/20	The Special Education Cycle & IEP Process! Pre-Referral Processes – MTSS/RtI ² & SST; Non-discriminatory assessment; Eligibility determination; Disability categories; Introduction to Component #3: Basic Rights and the IEP Process; Overview of Base Team #2 – Mandated IEP Components [SMB, pp. 17 & 18]	<i>SERR</i> Chs. 2, 3, & 4 Evaluations/Assessments, Eligibility & IEP Process SST & MTSS/RtI ² readings: 1) SST by 1000 2) VT <i>The Inclusion Checklist</i> Ch. 11 MTSS/RtI ² (pp. 77 – 80) Ch. 12 PBS (pp. 81 – 85) Who are students with disabilities? TTWS (pp. 4 – 8)	Component #2: Assessment and Eligibility
Class #4 9/27	The IEP Process (continued): Eligibility; Types of IEPs; Timelines; Section 504; manifestation determination; PLOPS, Areas of Need, Goals; Intro to Procedural Rights; Intro to Component #4: Inclusive Education and Component #5: Baker’s Dozen	<i>SERR</i> Chs.1 & 3 Basic Rights, Eligibility Criteria, & IEP Process SPPD Ch. 4, pp. 105 – 117 (Posted on Cougar Courses)	Component #3: Basic Rights and the IEP Process
Class #5 10/04	Procedural Rights Practice; Introduction to procedurally correct and elegant goal writing (SMART/GLOC); Intro to Component #6: Related Services and Health Management	<i>SERR</i> Ch. 6 - Due Process & Compliance Procedures	Component #4: Baker’s Dozen of Procedural Rights
Class #6 10/11	Goal Writing – Practice, Practice! Co-Teacher: <i>Bill Fleming</i> , San Marcos Unified School District; Intro to Component #7: Ethical Dispositions	VT <i>The Inclusion Checklist</i> Ch. 13 (pp. 87 – 92) <i>SERR</i> Ch. 5 Related Services <i>SMART IEPs</i> (In “Supplemental Readings” folder on Cougar Courses & @ www.wrightslaw.com)	Component #5: Related Services and Health Management

Session Date	Topics	Supplemental readings to prepare for class in addition to Legal Brief readings	Legal Brief Component or Base Team Mtg. DUE
Class #7 10/18	Confidentiality, Communication (10 Commandments), & Family Diversity and Partnerships Co-Teacher: <i>Lisa Houghtelin</i> ; Intro to Components #8: Inclusive Education & IFS9	VT <i>The Inclusion Checklist</i> Ch. 2 Home-School Collaboration (pp. 29 – 32) <i>SERR</i> Ch. 6 Due Process	Component #6: Ethical Dispositions
BT #2 10/25 (Before 11/01)	Base Team Meeting #2 – Elements of IEP in local IEP forms	NCSEE IEP forms; IEP Required Content	BT #2
Class #8 11/01	A Journey Toward Excellence and Equity for All: The Past, Present, and Future Co-Teacher: <i>Richard Villa, Bayridge Consortium</i>	VT <i>The Inclusion Checklist</i> Introduction & Chs. 1 & 4 (pp. 9 – 27; 39 – 48)	Component #7: Inclusive Education – Redefining Roles and Responsibilities
Class #9 11/08	Transitions from Birth to Adulthood: IFSPs (Co-teachers: Julie Widman, Diana Vega – HOPE Infant Program) ITPs (Co-teachers: <i>Health Science High and Middle College Special Education Team, Chas Beam & Ashley Montferret</i>) Introduction to Component #9 Student Interview Jigsaw	<i>SERR</i> Chs. 10, 12, & 13 Transition, Early Intervention & Preschool Services VT <i>The Inclusion Checklist</i> Ch. 14 (pp. 93 – 98)	Component #8: IFSPs, Part C, and Preschool Services
Class #10 11/15	Developing ITP Goals and Activities through Student Interviews Co-teachers: <i>Health Science High and Middle College Special Education High School Students, Chas Beam & Ashley Montferret</i>) Base Team Mtg. #3 Overview Intro to Component #10: IDEIA 6 Principles	<i>SERR</i> Chs. 9 & 10 Interagency and Transition Services Bring 7 copies of Component #9 Transition Questions to class	Component #9: Transition Student Interview Jigsaw
11/22	THANKSGIVING VACATION		
BT #3 11/29 (Before 12/06)	Base Team Meeting #3 – Writing ITP Goals and Activities from Student Interview	Base Team Meeting #3 Materials	BT #3
Class #11 12/06	In-Class Base Team Meeting #4 IDEIA Six Principles Course Evaluation Celebration!	<i>SERR</i> Chapters 1 – 7 (Refer to chapters that relate to your assigned principle, e.g. Ch. 7 LRE)	Base Team Notebook Component #10: IDEIA Six Principles

GRADING STANDARDS

Grading Scale (in percentages):

A: 93-100 A-: 90-92 B+: 87-89 B: 83-86 B-: 80-82 C+: 78-79

NOTE: The minimum acceptable grade for a course in the professional education credential sequence is C+. A “B” average must be maintained for continuation in the program.

There is no Final Exam in this course

Late Assignments

All assignments are expected to be completed by the assigned due date. If you have extraordinary circumstances that impact completion of your assignments or have questions or concerns, please contact the instructor(s) immediately.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Weekly Class Attendance and Participation	(44 points)
Base Team Meetings	(16 points)
SERR PLUS Legal Brief	(40 points)
Total Maximum Points:	100 points

Class Attendance and Participation (11 classes at 4 maximum points/class = 44 points)

Regular and punctual attendance is required. This class is interactive in nature, and the in-class experiences and discussions are difficult if not impossible to recreate. Additionally, each class member is expected to practice exemplary collaborative teaming and participatory behavior. To reinforce the program’s commitment to the development of professional interpersonal skills, students earn points each class for: a) arriving on time; b) returning from breaks on time; c) staying for all of the class; d) fully participating and cooperating with classmates, instructors, and guests in accordance with agreed-upon “base team” and class norms; and e) completing and sharing weekly reflections. Participants who arrive late, depart early, engage in “non-collaborative” behavior, or fail to have assignments/reflections prepared on time can expect to receive less than the maximum points for a class or an assignment.

Base Team Meetings (3 “out of class” meetings at 5 or 6 points = 16 maximum points)

Base Teams, formulated at the beginning of the course, meet regularly and use the “Team Meeting Worksheet” format to practice collaborative teaming skills, complete assignments, and generally support one another. Each team is required to create a team notebook (e.g., 3-ring binder with dividers) that compiles Team Meeting worksheets, team products, and group processing outcomes.

Participation in base team meetings is mandatory; no team can meet without all members present. Documented attendance and participation in the prescribed agenda (via team meeting minutes and products) is worth **5 to 6 points per meeting** for each of the three out-of-class meetings. See each base team agenda to determine the products to be generated and the group processing to be conducted. Base Team Meeting #4 involves in-class instruction of key IDEA ideas and a celebration! Base Team agendas and needed materials are posted on Cougar Courses.

Base Team Meetings

Mtg. #1: Norms, Names, & Collaboration
Mtg. #2: IEP Elements in local IEP forms
Mtg. #3: Developing ITP Goals and Activities
In-Class Mtg. #4: In-Class Final Base Team Meeting

Social Skills Processing Procedures

(Forming Checklist)
(Functioning Checklist)
(Formulating Checklist)
 (“Are We Really a Team?” Checklist)

Special Education Rights & Responsibilities “Legal Brief” (10 entries for 40 maximum points)

Each class participant creates a 10-component special education rights and responsibilities “Legal Brief” by reading the text and examining websites, laws and regulations, chapters and books posted on Cougar Courses, and selected chapters of the September 2011 updated version of the parent-friendly *Special Education Rights and Responsibilities* (SERR) manual. To access the *SERR* document, go to the Disability Rights California (DRC) website (www.disabilityrightsca.org) homepage and select the *Publications & Resources* tab on the top right corner of the homepage. From the list of *Top ten Publications*, select the *Special Education Rights and Responsibilities* (SERR) manual.

For laws, regulations, or statements that you feel you must quote directly, cite sources fully, with quotations for direct quotes. In all cases, follow APA guidelines and the **CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy** and **Plagiarism** statement (at the end of this syllabus) for crediting sources.

Legal Brief Component #1: Web Search of Important Sites and Class #2 Preview on Ethics (5 points)

Part A: Web Search (4 points)

For Part 1 of this first Legal Brief Component, you will conduct a web search and produce a site review of the following four websites:

- 1) www.disabilityrightsca.org - Disability Rights California. Find and download the *SERR* manual accessed as described above. Examine information at each of the seven top tabs. At the “About Us & Contact Us” tab, take a look at the 2015 annual report - see what services this organization provides individuals with disabilities and their families.
- 2) <http://wrightslaw.com> – the Wrights Law resource site. This is a HUGE and busy website, so search it as if you are a parent whose child has just been identified as eligible for special education and who is hungry for resources. Be sure to look at links on the home page. At the left-hand scroll bar, see “Topics from A-Z” under **Wrightslaw** and the topics under the **Law Library** and **Topics** headers. Search topics related to special education and advocacy, and topics of interest to you, including RTI.
- 3) www.cec.sped.org - Council for Exceptional Children. Learn more about your profession at this website. Be sure to search around the “Policy and Advocacy,” “Standards,” “Special Ed Topics,” and “Resources.” At the “Standards” tab download and save the “PDF version” of the *12 Special Education Professional Ethical Principles* and the *Special Education Standards for Professional Practice*. Carefully study the 12 ethical principles and scan the standards for professional practice. We will be looking more deeply at the Ethical Principles for Legal Brief Component #4. [Note the 12 principles also appear in Figure 1.10 of your TTWS text.]
- 4) a website of your choosing from this syllabus

For EACH website, compose a 1-page double-spaced review of the website that includes:

- a) the website name, URL, and originator/author;
- b) a description of the information about ethics, law, and procedures in special education and your responsibilities as a special educator that is included at the site;
- c) a description of the resources that are useful to students and parents on advocacy and rights accessible at or from the site; and
- d) any additional resources and/or web links that would benefit special educators, general educators, parents, students, administrators, or the general public. (Who would benefit? How?)

Part B: Class #2 Preview on Ethics (1 point)

Websites are great. Real stories are even better! In order to access the stories for this part of the reflection, complete your subscription to Conversations that Matter located at <http://conversationsmatter.org/user>. This is your first introduction to this amazingly rich access to internationally known disability rights speakers. Once enrolled, click on the top left notebook tab labeled, PROGRAMME & PRESENTERS. Scroll down and select Norman Kunc. Select and watch the first two videos, *Fostering Ability through Opportunity – Part 1* and *Part 2*. After watching both videos, please answer the following questions: Based upon Norman’s words and experiences, what thoughts, feelings, and/or opinions about *your* ethical role as a special educator come to mind? As you work to advocate for educational *opportunity* for your students with IEPs, what will be the top 3 or 4 ethical principles that will guide your work? Why? (Please compose the equivalent of a 1-paragraph, double-spaced reflection for this part of Legal Brief Component #1.) Bring

your four site reviews and your responses to Norman Kunc to class to share with classmates. Please also post your Part A and B responses in the Component #1 “Submit Here” location.

Component #2: Assessment and Eligibility (4 points)

Please read *SERR* Ch. 2 (Evaluation & Assessment) and *SERR* Ch. 3 (Eligibility Criteria); SPPD Ch. 4, pages 102 – 106 and 125 – 129; and familiarize yourself with the 13 + 1 CA disability categories/eligibility criteria from the Los Angeles Unified Special Education Policies and Procedures July 2007 PDF (pp. 169-180) posted in the *Legal Brief Component #2 Assessment and Eligibility Resources* folder. Also use your 2016 TTWS 8th edition text to get to know the particular disabilities about which the parent in this assignment is concerned. Note the L.A. procedures and disability criteria also can be found with updates at <http://achieve.lausd.net/Page/3335>.

See also (1) Chapters 3 and 4 of the San Diego Unified School District (SDUSD) procedures manual accessible at <https://www.sandi.net/staff/special-education/special-education-procedures-manual-and-resources>, (2) the Federal Disability Definitions, and (3) the CA Special Education and Related Laws documents posted in the *Legal Brief Component #2 Assessment and Eligibility Resources* folder.

Also find assessment and eligibility timelines by searching California Code - Part 30 SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS [56000. – 56865.] To access this, go to <http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes.xhtml> and click on Education Code – EDC button. Give this site some time, as it takes awhile for links to come up. Here you will find in the top right corner two boxes. The one on the left is for the Code you will select: EDC. The one on the right is where you enter the number of the section of EDC you are seeking. First enter for Section, 56302.1 and read what it says about timelines. Next select and read 56321 with particular attention to section (a). Also enter and read 56325 with particular attention to part (a). Next enter and read 56343.5 regarding IEP timelines. Finally, enter and read 56504 regarding procedural safeguards. Have fun browsing California special education law and learning about your legal timeline responsibilities. There will be a quiz!

Given this input, compose your “advice” for the following scenario. A good friend approaches you for information about assessment and eligibility in special education. Recently, the head of special education services at her son’s school contacted her to request permission to conduct assessments for possible qualification for special education services. Your friend wants to know what to expect in the referral, assessment, and eligibility determination process – the steps, timelines, and so forth. In addition, your friend has done some preliminary research on the Internet and wants to know more about what a Specific Learning Disability and Emotional Disturbance qualification would be in schools. Your friend lives in the Los Angeles Unified School District. Based upon your readings, summarize your advice to your friend (i.e., answer her questions) in approximately 3 or more double-spaced pages as a letter to the family. Be sure to include a summary of the assessment process and timelines, highlighting parent rights, and a description of the eligibility criteria for the two categories using “parent-friendly,” jargon free language. Avoid using jargon, unless you have already defined the jargon term previously; and summarize information so a naïve reader can easily understand the information.

Please post your advice in the Component #2 “Submit Here” location.

Component #3: Basic Rights and the IEP Process (4 points)

Please read Chapter 1 - Basic Rights and Chapter 4 - IEP Process of the *SERR* manual as well as pages 105 – 117 of Chapter 4 of Smith, Polloway, Patton, & Dowdy (SPPD) posted in the “Readings for Legal Brief Components and Class” Cougar Courses file folder examine basic rights and components of the Individual Education Program (IEP) plan and the IEP development process. For this reflection, create a Double Baker’s Dozen (that would be 26 items) “list” of new or deeper learnings and understandings about the IEP process and student and family rights that you want to remember and have at your fingertips. Be sure to include enough information in each item in the list, so you know what it is you are trying to “recall.” Please summarize your learnings in your own words. For laws, regulations, or statements that you feel you must quote directly, please cite your source as you would in APA fashion. (See APA Writing Guidelines on the face page of Cougar Courses and in the *APA Manual 6th ed.* required text for this course). Please post your Double Baker’s Dozen in the Component #3 “Submit Here” location on Cougar Courses.

Component #4: Baker's Dozen of Procedural Safeguards (4 points)

As you skim Chapter 6 - Due Process/Compliance Procedures of the *SERR* manual, likely you will feel overwhelmed by its length, complexity, and detail. That is O.K. It is all good information on parental and student safeguards, AND it actually is translated into a more compact document - the Notice of Procedural Safeguards - which all parents, legal guardians, surrogate parents and students who are not conserved and who reach the age of majority (18) must be given at strategic points during the assessment and IEP process. To help you "digest" IDEA's procedural safeguards, often also referred to as "parental rights," this Legal Brief Component #4 has three parts or steps:

Step 1. Read carefully the local NCCSE *Notice of Procedural Safeguards*. As you read, please highlight (literally) all of the information that you consider important to remember and to highlight (verbally) in an IEP meeting for students and their families.

Step 2. Realizing that you cannot go over all of the content of the safeguards with a parent/guardian or student in a meeting, select 20 of your highlighted items that you believe are important to explain using lay (versus jargon) language to a student or to family members. *Create a hard copy of your explanations of these 20 safeguards.*

Step 3. From this list of 20, select the top 13 (yes, another Baker's Dozen) to include on a small "5 X 7 card" type of document that you can use as your procedural safeguards "*pocket cheat sheet*" as you explain these key safeguards to students and parents/guardians. Note: Please word process this content; just cut it down to size, as if it were a 5 X 7 card.

Please bring to class all 3 documents – (1) the highlighted safeguards, (2) your list of 20 safeguard points explained in lay language, and (3) your shrunken "pocket cheat sheet" of 13 of these 20 items. We will exchange cards in class and practice delivering safeguards to parents and students. You do not need to post anything at the Component #4 "Submit Here" location.

Component #5: Related Services and Health Management (4 points)

As special educators, we collaborate with a variety of related service professionals in including health professionals. This Legal Brief component examines related services and health issues (e.g., universal precautions). Read Chapter 13 (pp. 87 – 91) of the Villa and Thousand *The Inclusive Education Checklist* text and Figure 1.4 of the Turnbull, Turnbull, Wehmeyer, & Shogren (TTWS) text. Next skim Chapter 5 - Related Services of the *SERR* manual. Finally, in the Brown, McDonnell, & Snell (BMS) text carefully read pages 22 - 25 and pages 270 - 275 & 282 - 285 heading. Finally, skim pages 7 through 21 of the Health Framework for California Public Schools. Given this input, please respond to the following prompts in 3-4 double-spaced pages.

- (a) What are related services? Why would a child receive related services? What are related services of which you were unfamiliar prior to reading this material?
- (b) The VT Chapter 13 previews and Giangreco (on pages 22 through 25 of BMS) deeply examines three concepts or values for guiding team decisions regarding related services – a) more is not necessarily better, b) the fallacy of return on investment, and c) only as specialized as necessary. Describe in as much detail as you can, how you would explain these three values and establish them as decision-making guidelines within your IEP team.
- (c) Differentiate in your own words the two concepts – *educationally relevant* and *educationally necessary* - as it relates to related services. Provide your own example (not from the Brown, McDonnell, & Snell text) of something that might be proposed and considered *educationally relevant* as a related service, but likely would NOT be judged as *educationally necessary* for a student. Which of the SIX Giangreco questions on page 24 of BMS did you answer as "yes"? Why?
- (d) What do you now know about the health and safety procedures for which you are responsible, the role of the school nurse, or the school's role in promoting student health that you did not know before OR that you were reminded was essential for you (and all school staff) to know and remember. Identify at least three new learnings and/or reminders and why they are important.
- (e) Pages 270 - 275 & 282 - 285 of BMS examine basic care and emergency preparedness. As the school nurse, you have been charged with creating an emergency preparedness checklist for your

school's staff. In one page or the equivalent, create a comprehensive checklist that ensures that "all bases are covered" for an individual child and the school in general.

Please post your responses in the Component #5 "Submit Here" location for Component #5.

Component #6: My Personal Ethical Principles and Dispositions Alignment (4 points)

The School of Education requires that candidates self examine and "rate" themselves on the six SOE Professional Dispositions. Please find the Professional Disposition statements and rubric at the *Education Specialist Professional Dispositions Rubric* heading at the Education Specialist Clinical Practice website, <http://www.csusm.edu/soe/credential/specialeducation/clinicalpractice.html>

Given a reading of the Professional Dispositions statements and rubric, go to the Legal Brief Component #6 file folder on Cougar Courses. Here you will find a matrix of the 12 CEC Ethical Principles that will guide your practice as an Education Specialist. For your convenience, the SOE Professional Dispositions Rubric is also reproduced following the matrix.

Your task for this Legal Brief Component is to align the CEC Ethical Principles with the SOE Professional Dispositions. To accomplish this, for each of the CEC principles, first identify which of the SOE dispositions are addressed by the principle. There may be more than one disposition per ethical practice. Next, give yourself a rating for that CEC principle using the same 4-point scale as for the disposition rubric, with the scores of 3 and 4 being the target scores. Finally, provide two observable and measurable examples of behaviors you exhibit or actions you have taken that illustrate how you demonstrate the principle in your work as an educator. Please make each example as specific and detailed as you possibly can. Note that if you choose to give yourself a rating of 4 on a CEC Ethical Principle, at least one of the two examples should describe an advanced leadership behavior similar to the leadership behaviors described in italics for the *Meets Advanced Target* description. Please post the completed matrix in the Cougar Courses "Submit Here" location for Component #6 and also bring the completed matrix to class or have it accessible to share with classmates.

Component #7: Inclusive Education: Redefining Roles and Responsibilities (4 points)

As an Education Specialist, one of your important jobs and legal obligations in serving students eligible for special education is to ensure that those who work with students are clear about their roles. Education Specialists are particularly responsible for ensuring paraeducators know what they are to do and know how to do it with quality. For this Legal Brief Component #7, please read (1) Villa and Thousand *The Inclusive Education Checklist*, Ch. 1 (pp. 17 - 27); and Ch. 4 (pp. 39 - 45); (2) Brown, McDonnell, & Snell (BMS) Chapter 5, pages 137 (starting with Collaborative Teaming) through 138 (ending at Schedule for Instruction); and (3) all of Chapters 2 and 6 of the Nevin, Villa & Thousand (NVT) *Co-Teaching with Paraeducators* FREE text posted on Cougar Courses.

Given input from *all three sources*, in the equivalent of at least two to three double-spaced pages, use any medium you wish (e.g., checklist, brochure, action plan, job description for yourself, Prezi, PowerPoint) to create a document to remind you of best practices in paraeducator support and your responsibilities to train and supervise paraeducators. Your document must include at least the following:

- 1) YOUR OWN "best practices checklist" of how a paraeducator *best provides support to students* with disabilities. Note that the BMS text identifies several best practices. The examples in the NVT chapters directly and indirectly suggest others. Note that items 28 – 32 on page 45 of the VT text are examples of best practices in supporting students. Go beyond these (i.e., resist cutting and pasting). If you wish to create a checklist similar to those in the VT text, a blank checklist template is posted in the "Readings for Legal Brief Components and Class" Cougar Courses file folder for you to use.
- 2) YOUR action plan for **training** paraeducators inclusive of what would be the priority training topics and method(s) for imparting this information; and
- 3) YOUR job responsibilities and/or action plan for **supervising** paraeducators including how you would arrange for observations and coaching from you and others who co-teach with a paraeducator.

Note that this document is not only for you but also for *your paraeducators* as well as *other educators*, such as general educators, with whom your paraeducator likely will co-teach. So, keep this in mind as you think about the best format for presenting the above information in a clear and useful way.

Please post your product in the Cougar Courses "Submit Here" location for Component #7.

Component #8: IFSPs, Part C, and Preschool Services (4 points)

First please read in your Turnbull, Turnbull, Wehmeyer, & Shogren (TTWS) text, Chapter 1, pp. 10 - 11 ONLY on Part B and C of IDEIA.

Now, at the READINGS AND RESOURCES section of Cougar Courses, please find Component #8 and download and read in order from a) to e) the following readings:

- a) the *HOPE Overview and Parent Welcome Packet* for families receiving birth to age 3 services;
- b) the *Early Start Transition: A Guide for Parents* document, which gives an overview of the transition process that occurs from Part C to Part B services between the ages of 2 to 3 years;
- c) the *Special Education for Preschoolers: A Guide for Parents* document which examines Part B services, which start at age 3 for eligible students;
- d) the IFSP form for San Diego County 0 - 3 programs; and
- e) the IFSP form WITH DIRECTIONS for San Diego County 0 – 3 programs.

Also skim *SERR* Chapters 12 and 13 (Early Intervention & Preschool Services) for further clarification. Then respond to the following Part 1 and 2.

Part 1. Based upon an examination of these materials and the NCCSE IEP pages, compare and contrast the *purpose of* and the *elements required* in an Individual Family Service Plan (Part C, ages 0 to 3) versus an Individual Education Program (Part B, starting with age 3) plan. Feel free to construct a compare/contrast table or graphic if this helps you described the similarities and differences.

Part 2. When a child's IFSP "transition notification plan" is developed during a child's last year (i.e., from age 2 to 3) of Part C services, what are a) required transition planning activities and timelines and b) essential conversations with the family?

Your responses to Part 1 and 2 (in combination) should be the equivalent of 2 double-spaced pages and be posted at the Component #8 "Submit Here" location.

Component #9: Transition - Student Interview Jigsaw (3 points)

In preparation for this assignment, read *SERR* Chapter 10: Transition Services, including Vocational Education at least through Question #15. Also, starting at "Indicator 13 Requirements" heading on page 514 of the Brown, McDonnell, & Snell text, read through page 518 to learn about the legally required elements of an ITP, good versus bad ITP goals, and characteristics of good transition assessments. Finally, look in the Legal Brief Component #9 and Base Team Meeting #3 Transition Resources file folder at READINGS AND RESOURCES. The *Quickbook of Transition Assessments* is a comprehensive guide of assessment tools and methods that we recommend you examine before developing your questions.

In class, you will interview and collect data from a high school student with an IEP, so that you can develop the most relevant and meaningful ITP transition goals and activities for that student. To be prepared for this experience, **for this Legal Brief Component, you will redesign a transition survey and transform it into a student-friendly student interview.** You will be assigned one section of a survey to redesign into a student interview. Avoid "yes/no" questions; and use direct and jargon free language.

Please post your student interview at the Component #9 "Submit Here" location. Also, please **bring to class 7 hard copies** of your student interview questions for your assigned section. Place one in your class file folder for your instructors to use during your interview. The other copies will be used by you and your teammates to take notes during the interview. The high school student being interviewed may want the questions as well. You will use your interview questions to interview a student in class and be observed by classmates and your instructor(s) as you interview. Based upon the student's answers to your questions and the questions of other interviewers, you will then (in Base Team Meeting #3) develop post-secondary ITP goals with "linked" annual IEP goals to facilitate making the student's transition to post-secondary

education, training, employment and/or other adult life, recreation, and leisure post-secondary DREAMS come true!

Component #10: IDEIA Six Principles (4 points)

The Scenario:

Assume that you, a new Education Specialist at a new school site, have been assigned to prepare and deliver a brief 8-minute informational inservice at the beginning-of-the-year school site orientation. Your task is to familiarize teachers with ONE (or 2) of the “Six Principles” that are the ethical and legal underpinnings of IDEIA (i.e., FAPE or appropriate education, LRE, nondiscriminatory evaluation, procedural due process, parent and student participation, zero reject). You are to create an informational handout and assessment checklist that the teachers can use to examine their own and the schools’ practices regarding the principle.

You want to impress your new colleagues not only with your knowledge, but your engaging way of communicating and actively involving them in learning what otherwise might be considered “dry” legal information. The orientation is being held at 8 a.m. in the new library/media center, which WILL have state of the art technology, but now is equipped similarly to CSUSM’s University Hall 444. So, any media or Internet access needed should be accessible on your personal iPad, laptop, or other technological device you use.

The Readings and Other Possible Input:

To prepare, first read about the Campbell and Gonzales families on pages 27 – 29 of Chapter 2 of the Brown, McDonnell, & Snell text and study Figure 2-1 on page 30 of the same text. Then read about and take notes on YOUR assigned principle(s) included within a) pages 30 – 36 of Chapter 2 of the Brown, McDonnell, & Snell text and b) pages 12 – 21 of Chapter 1 of the Turnbull, Turnbull, Wehmeyer, & Shogren text. Additionally, consider reviewing class notes and PowerPoints, exploring Chapters 1 through 7 of the *SERR* manual relevant to your principle(s) as well as other websites. And recall what you already know about your principle from previous Legal Brief entries and your own professional experiences.

Checklist Product:

Given your readings and research on the assigned principle(s), create a brief (e.g., 1 to 2-page) narrative summary of the principle(s) similar to the introduction of each of the chapters in the Villa and Thousand *The Inclusive Education Checklist*. This summary should answer at least the following three questions:

- (1) What is the principle? What does it mean?
- (2) What important assurances does the principle provide a child and family for a child eligible for special education?
- (3) How does the principle impact the work of general educators and others who teach and work with students eligible for special education and their families?

Next, create a *Best Practice Checklist Assessment* similar to the checklists in *The Inclusive Education Checklist* chapters. Include at least 7 to 12 items stated in active, positive language that describe what school personnel, students, or others DO or what the practice “looks and sounds like” when ideally implemented. Use any checklist chapter as a model. (Note: Chapters 7 – 10 are great ones you haven’t seen yet). Please find in the Legal Brief Component #10 file folder under READINGS AND RESOURCES a blank and sample template for you to use to complete narrative and checklist this part of the assignment.

Note: If you are assigned two best practices, create two checklists with shorter descriptions and fewer assessment checklist items (e.g., 4 to 6).

Bring a copy for each member of your Base Team and your course instructors to take away.

Presentation of Product:

Given your research on the assigned principle(s) and the narrative and checklist you created for your assigned principle, create a presentation to teach your principle and introduce your Checklist Assessment. As you prepare, keep the following two success criteria in mind:

Criterion #1: Given your 8-minute instruction and checklist introduction, a naïve teacher will have a basic understanding of the principle, be able to answer each of the three questions above, and know what the principle looks and sounds like in action.

Criterion #2: Your instruction is engaging, meaningful, and memorable for a teacher at an 8 a.m. meeting. Make your 8 minutes interactive - include manipulatives, predictions, pneumonics, visual representations and symbols, a pre-test/post-test quiz, a case study or story, actual application of checklist items, and so forth.

You have eight (8) minutes to teach your principle to other base team members (as rehearsal for teaching it to your “general education” audience), so bring to class and/or have available for **each** teammate as well as your instructors the materials you have prepared. Please also post your products in the Component #10 “Submit Here” location.

Under RUBRICS FOR LEGAL BRIEF COMPONENTS, please find two rubrics – (1) the rubric for assessing the Component #10 inservice *content and materials*, and (2) the rubric teammates and instructors will use to assess the effectiveness of the instruction on the IDEIA principle. Poste here again is the template for your *Best Practice Checklist Assessment*.

EDMX 631 TRACKING FORM

NAME: _____ BASE TEAM NAME & MEMBERS: _____

Weekly Class Attendance, Punctuality & Participation

44 possible points

- Class #1 _____ (4)
- Class #2 _____ (4)
- Class #3 _____ (4)
- Class #4 _____ (4)
- Class #5 _____ (4)
- Class #6 _____ (4)
- Class #7 _____ (4)
- Class #8 _____ (4)
- Class #9 _____ (4)
- Class #10 _____ (4)
- Class #11 _____ (4)

Base Team Meetings & Notebook

16 possible points

- Base Team Meeting #1 _____ (5)
- Base Team Meeting #2 _____ (5)
- Base Team Meeting #3 _____ (6)

Rights and Responsibilities Legal Brief (& SERR Chapters)

40 possible points

- Component #1: Web Search & Prep for Class #2 Ethics _____ (5)
- Component #2: SERR Chs. 2 & 3 Evaluation/Assessment; Eligibility Criteria _____ (4)
- Component #3: SERR Chs. 1 & 4 Basic Rights & IEP Process _____ (4)
- Component #4: SERR Ch. 6 Baker's Dozen of Procedural Safeguards _____ (4)
- Component #5: SERR Ch. 5 Related Services & Health Management _____ (4)
- Component #6: My Personal Ethical Principles and Dispositions _____ (4)
- Component #7: Inclusive Schooling – Redefining Roles and Responsibilities _____ (4)
- Component #8: SERR Ch. 12 & 13 IFSP, Part C, & Preschool Services _____ (4)
- Component #9: Transition - Student Interview Jigsaw _____ (3)
- Component #10: SERR Chs. 1 – 7 IDEA Six Principles _____ (4)

A: 93-100 A-: 90-92 B+: 87-89 B: 83-86 B-: 80-82 C+: 78-79

EDUCATION SPECIALIST CREDENTIAL PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

TaskStream Enrollment and Postings

The School of Education uses TaskStream to manage candidates' TPE, clinical practice, signature assignment, and disposition assessments. Candidates must be enrolled in TaskStream throughout the Preliminary Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe Education Specialist program(s). Enrollment fees are paid by going to www.taskstream.com. Concurrent candidates must enroll for two years. After enrolling, Concurrent candidates access their Education Specialist program bucket - **Concurrent MS/ES Program 2016-2017** - by going to your home page, finding the Self-Enrollment area and clicking the *Enter Code* button. Then enter **Concurrent1617** as the program code. Add On candidates completing both the Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe credentials and/or the Master's degree should enroll for two years. After enrolling, Add On candidates access their Education Specialist program bucket - **Add-On Ed Specialist Credentials 2017-18** - by going to your home page, finding the Self-Enrollment area and clicking the *Enter Code* button. Then enter **AddOn1718** as the program code. For both Concurrent and Add On candidates, if your correct program appears, click the *Enroll* button. The Education Specialist program now will show up on your TaskStream home page when you log in. Be sure to remember your own exact enrollment name and password.

Authorization to Teach English Learners

The Concurrent credential program has been specifically designed to prepare teachers for the diversity of languages often encountered in California public school classrooms. The authorization to teach English learners is met through the infusion of content and experiences within the credential program. Concurrent candidates successfully completing this program receive a credential with authorization to teach English learners. (*Approved by CCTC in SB 2042 Program Standards, August 2002*). Add On candidates must hold or obtain the English Language Authorization before completing the course of study and clinical practice for the Education Specialist credential(s).

Preliminary Education Specialist Standards

The course objectives, assignments, and assessments are aligned with the CCTC standards for the Preliminary Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe Education Specialist Teaching credential and the Education Specialist Teaching Performance Expectations. This course is especially focused upon candidates' ability to demonstrate TPE 12 Professional, Legal, and Ethical Obligations.

Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) Competencies

The course objectives, assignments, and assessments have been aligned with the CTC standards for Preliminary Education Specialist Mild/Moderate Teaching credential. This course is designed to help teachers seeking a California teaching credential to develop the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to assist schools and district in implementing effective programs for all students. The successful candidate will be able to merge theory and practice in order to realize a comprehensive and extensive educational program for all students.

Expected Dispositions for the Education Profession

Education is a profession that has, at its core, certain dispositional attributes that must be acquired and developed. Teaching and working with learners of all ages requires not only specific content knowledge and pedagogical skills, but also positive attitudes about multiple dimensions of the profession. The School of Education has identified six dispositions that must be evident in teacher candidates: social justice and equity, collaboration, critical thinking, professional ethics, reflective teaching and learning, and life-long learning. These dispositions have observable actions that will be assessed throughout the preparation program. For each dispositional element, there are four levels of performance - *unacceptable*, *approaches target*, *meets target*, and *meets advanced target*. The rubric for the four levels of performance offers measurable behaviors and examples. The assessment is designed to provide candidates with ongoing feedback and opportunities to reflect upon their growth in professional dispositions. The dispositions and rubric are presented, explained and assessed in one or more designated courses in each program as well as in clinical practice. Candidates are expected to meet the level of *meets target* level during the program.

Teacher Performance Assessment

Beginning July 1, 2008, all California credential candidates must successfully complete a state-approved Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA), as part of the credential program of preparation. Beginning in fall 2015, for newly entering initial candidates, the CSUSM assessment system is the edTPA. To support your success in your credential program and with the TPA, SOE classes use common pedagogical language, lesson plans (lesson designs), and unit plans (unit designs). To further assist with your successful completion of the edTPA, programs include a capstone experience/class, where edTPA related questions and logistical issues are addressed. Additional support materials are available on the edTPA website at <http://edtpa.com>

Note: Add-On Education Specialist candidates who already hold a valid basic teaching credential are not responsible for taking the edTPA.

GENERAL CSUSM AND SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CONSIDERATIONS

School of Education Attendance Policy

Due to the dynamic and interactive nature of courses in the School of Education, all candidates are expected to attend all classes and participate actively. At a minimum, candidates must attend more than 80% of class time, or s/he may not receive a passing grade for the course at the discretion of the instructor. Individual instructors may adopt more stringent attendance requirements. Should the candidate have extenuating circumstances, s/he should contact the instructor as soon as possible. (*Adopted by the COE Governance Community, December, 1997*).

Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations

Candidates with disabilities who require reasonable accommodations must be approved for services by providing appropriate and recent documentation to the Office of Disable Student Services (DSS). This office is located in Craven Hall 4300, and can be contacted by phone at (760) 750-4905, or TTY (760) 750-4909. Candidates authorized by DSS to receive reasonable accommodations should meet with their instructor during office hours or, in order to ensure confidentiality, in a more private setting.

Graduate Writing Assessment Requirement

The California State University maintains a Graduation Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR) for master's candidates. This requirement must be achieved prior to Advancement to Candidacy. A master's candidate will satisfy the graduate writing requirement by receiving a passing score on a written product as assessed with the GWAR rubric. Toward the goal of providing opportunity for graduate students in the School of Education to satisfy the writing requirement, papers in graduate classes are expected to adhere to writing and format style guidelines described in the sixth edition of the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (aka APA Manual). This manual is a required across all graduate-level (600-level) courses.

CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy

Students will be expected to adhere to standards of academic honesty and integrity, as outlined in the Student Academic Honesty Policy. All assignments must be original work, clear and error-free. All ideas/material that are borrowed from other sources must have appropriate references to the original sources. Any quoted material should give credit to the source and be punctuated accordingly.

Academic Honesty and Integrity: Students are responsible for honest completion and representation of their work. Your course catalog details the ethical standards and penalties for infractions. There will be zero tolerance for infractions. If you believe there has been an infraction by someone in the class, please bring it to the instructor's attention. The instructor reserves the right to discipline any student for academic dishonesty, in accordance with the general rules and regulations of the university. Disciplinary action may include the lowering of grades and/or the assignment of a failing grade for an exam, assignment, or the class as a whole.

Incidents of Academic Dishonesty will be reported to the Dean of Students. Sanctions at the University level may include suspension or expulsion from the University.

Refer to the full Academic Honesty Policy at:

http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/Academic_Honesty_Policy.html

Plagiarism

As an educator, it is expected that each candidate (course participant) will do his/her own work, and contribute equally to group projects and processes. Plagiarism or cheating is unacceptable under any circumstances. If you are in doubt about whether your work is paraphrased or plagiarized see the Plagiarism Prevention for Students website <http://library.csusm.edu/plagiarism/index.html>. If there are questions about academic honesty, please consult the University catalog.

All work in this course must be the original work of the credential candidate. Scanning of student work via Turnitin™ software may be used to verify student authorship and accurate citation of copyrighted materials or thoughts, ideas, and/or work of others. The instructor may require that some assignments in the course be submitted using the built in Turnitin™ software feature. Students making unauthorized copies of copyrighted materials or microcomputer software will receive a failing grade.

All University Writing Requirement

This course ensures that the university's minimum 2,500-word per course writing requirement is met through the course assignments, reflections, and postings for Education Specialist standards assigned to this course.

Graduate Writing Requirements

The California State University maintains a Graduation Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR) for master's candidates. This requirement must be achieved prior to Advancement to Candidacy. A master's candidate will satisfy the graduate writing requirement by receiving a passing score on a written product as assessed with the GWAR rubric. Toward the goal of providing opportunity for graduate students in the School of Education to satisfy the writing requirement, papers in graduate classes are expected to adhere to writing and format style guidelines described in the sixth edition of the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (aka, *APA Manual*). This manual is a required across all graduate-level (600-level) courses.

Necessary Technical Competency Required of Students

Candidates are required to have the technical expertise to use online browsers (e.g., Firefox) to access information, use the Cougar Courses platform to access and download course content posted at Cougar Courses and submit assignments, and use various forms of technology (e.g., word processing, CSUSM electronic mail, presentation tools such as PowerPoint) to produce course assignments and instruct others for assignments requiring in-class instruction by candidates.

Please save a digital copy of all assignments for your use in your teaching portfolio. Most assignments are submitted online at Cougar Courses; some are submitted both online and in class; at least two assignments are submitted only in class. Details will be given for each assignment in class.

Contact Information for Technical Support Assistance

For technical support assistance, please contact the CSUSM Help Desk. See information on the various ways in which students may get technical support at <http://www.csusm.edu/sth/support/index.html>

Electronic Communication Protocol

Electronic correspondence is a part of your professional interactions. If you need to contact the instructor, e-mail is often the easiest way to do so. It is my intention to respond to all received e-mails in a timely manner. Please be reminded that e-mail and on-line discussions are a very specific form of

communication, with their own nuances and etiquette. For instance, electronic messages sent in all upper case (or lower case) letters, major typos, or slang, often communicate more than the sender originally intended. With that said, please be mindful of all e-mail and on-line discussion messages you send to your colleagues, to faculty members in the School of Education, or to persons within the greater educational community. All electronic messages should be crafted with professionalism and care.

Things to consider:

- Would I say in person what this electronic message specifically says?
- How could this message be misconstrued?
- Does this message represent my highest self?
- Am I sending this electronic message to avoid a face-to-face conversation?

In addition, if there is ever a concern with an electronic message sent to you, please talk with the author in person in order to correct any confusion.

Course Format

Per the CSUSM Online Instruction Policy, EDMX 631 is considered primarily as traditional (FT) instruction.

Credit Hour Policy Statement

Per the University Credit Hour Policy:

- Students are expected to spend a minimum of two hours outside of the classroom each week for each unit of credit engaged in learning.
- The combination of face-to-face time, out-of-class online and field time associated with the course totals to at least the minimum 45 hours per unit of credit.

BASE TEAM MEETING #1

Place of Meeting: _____
 Date: _____
 Time: _____

<u>Persons Present:</u>	<u>Absentees:</u>	<u>Others Who Need to Know:</u>
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

<u>Roles:</u>	<u>This Meeting</u>	<u>Next Meeting</u>
Recorder	_____	Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2
Timekeeper	_____	Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2
Jargon buster	_____	Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2
Equalizer	_____	Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2
"But" watcher	_____	Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2
Encourager	_____	Assigned at start of Base Team Mtg. #2

AGENDA

SUGGESTED ITEMS

SUGGESTED TIME LIMIT

- | | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Round robin quickly share a positive per person about your professional development becoming a credentialed special educator.
Review agenda and assign roles for this and next meeting. | 5 min |
| 2. Set Norms for Base Team Meetings:
Review the 5 norms you consider most critical identified on the Study Guide for Ch. 10, <i>Collaborative Teams: A Powerful Tool in School Restructuring</i>
"Round robin" share norms of all members (Recorder records publically so all can see.)
Agree upon at least 5 team norms. Be sure to define each norm, so it is observable and measurable (Recorder records) | 2 min

7 min

10 min |
| 3. Individually, complete the "Individual Assessment of Functions of Effective Team Members" | 3 min |
| 4. As a team, complete one composite "Team Summary Chart." The reader reads each function and team members raise hands for functions that are strengths. When complete, identify one functioning goal for your team based upon an analysis of the Team Summary Chart. Add this "functioning goal" to the bottom of your list of team norms. | 15 min |
| 5. As a team, COMPLETE QUESTIONS 3-8 OF THE STUDY GUIDE | 25 min |

SUGGESTED ITEMS	SUGGESTED TIME LIMIT
6. Give your team a name based upon what you have learned about each other in this first team meeting. Go crazy and come up with something fun that represents your team personality!	5 min
7. Group Processing: With the activity or meeting just completed in mind, rate your team as a whole, on the Forming Skills of the <i>Individual and Group Assessment of Collaborative Skills</i> . Share and discuss your ratings with team members. Select one processing method from Table 1 of the Ch. 10 <i>Collaborative Teaming</i> chapter that is least familiar to the group and use it to process the meeting.	8 min
TOTAL TIME	80 min

MINUTES OF OUTCOMES

<u>Action Items:</u>	<u>Person(s) Responsible?</u>	<u>By When?</u>
1. Read Smith et al., Ch. 4 before Meeting #2 Bring pages 106 – 110 of Smith et al., Ch. 4 to Meeting #2. Bring district IEP and Transition forms to Meeting #2.		
2. Carefully study the agenda for Base Team #2		

AGENDA BUILDING FOR NEXT MEETING

Date: _____ Location: _____

Time Start: _____ Time End: _____

Expected Agenda Items: **See agenda for Base Team Meeting #2**

STUDY GUIDE
for
Thousand & Villa, Chapter 10
Collaborative Teams: A Powerful Tool in School Restructuring

Directions:

Please read *Collaborative Teams: A Powerful Tool in School Restructuring* prior to Class #2 and your first base team meeting. Based upon your reading of this chapter, answer the following questions. All questions must be completed in writing **INDIVIDUALLY BEFORE YOUR FIRST BASE TEAM MEETING**. Please bring the collaborative teaming chapter and this study guide to your first Base Team meeting.

Base team members will collaborate in the first Base Team meeting to agree upon questions #1 and #2 and generate team answers to questions #3 through #8. ONE group study guide will be created by **each Base Team** and archived in the team's binder of minutes and products.

Name: _____ Team Name: _____

COMPLETE BY BASE TEAM MEETING #1:

1. Identify 5 team "norms" (i.e., rules not to be broken) that you consider most critical for you and your teammates to practice. Justify your selections.

2. Of the 13 suggested methods for processing presented in Table 1, identify the 2 that you find the most appealing or most challenging and that you would like to use to evaluate team functioning following in-class or out-of-class meetings or group activities.

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS (#3 - #8), JOT DOWN IDEAS AS YOU READ, SO THAT YOU HAVE SOMETHING TO CONTRIBUTE WHEN YOUR BASE TEAM MEETS TO GENERATE A TEAM ANSWER TO EACH QUESTION. BRING YOUR NOTES TO THE FIRST TEAM MEETING

3. What does "parity" mean within a collaborative team?

4. Identify 3 strategies for promoting *parity* among members of a team.

5. In what ways does the distribution of leadership roles among team members promote healthy team functioning?

6. Aside from the roles of Recorder and Timekeeper, what 3 roles do you consider most helpful in promoting productive team functioning? Why?

7. Identify 2 ways the *Collaborative Meeting Worksheet* (Figure 3) structures face-to-face interaction.

8. Identify 5 of your favorite questions on *The 'Are We Really a Team?' Worksheet* (Figure 4) for prompting team members to focus upon improving their "good team member" behaviors. What is your rationale for selecting these 5 questions?

AGENDA ITEM #1

Collaborative Team Roles and Role Definitions

Recorder	Reads the questions. Records all contributions on lined paper. Records agreed upon answer on answer sheet. Secures signatures within the time limit.
Timekeeper	Notifies the group of approaching time limits. Moves the group along to the next question or activity.
Jargon Buster	Signals (with a noisemaker) whenever a specialized “jargon” term that might not be understood or known by someone on the team is used. Prompted a jargon user to define the term or uses an analogous familiar lay term. Once defined, a jargon term then can be used.
Equalizer	Regulates the flow of communication to prompt equal airtime or all team members by encouraging participation of “quiet” members.
But Watcher	Helps the team defer judgment when generating ideas by monitoring and signaling members’ use of blocking or judgmental language such as, “Yes, but...”
Reader	Reads material out loud to the group as many times as needed and requested by group members.
Checker	Checks to make certain each member understands or agrees with agreements. Checks at any time during a discussion.

AGENDA ITEM #3 (BASE TEAM MEETING #1)
INDIVIDUAL SELF-ASSESSMENT OF
“FUNCTIONS OF EFFECTIVE TEAM MEMBERS”

Directions: Check off the team functions that you consider strengths or preferences.
 Team Member Name:

MY STRENGTHS	FUNCTIONS OF EFFECTIVE TEAM MEMBERS
	Assuming Leadership
	Being the Decision Maker
	Record Keeping
	Accepting Criticism
	Staying on the Topic
	Brainstorming Creatively
	Sharing Turf and Control
	Compromising
	Listening Quietly
	Summarizing
	Planning Specifics and Details
	Dealing with Conflict
	Being Diplomatic
	Analyzing Problems
	Doing Research
	Expressing Opposing Ideas
	Staying Positive when Disliking the Task
	Organizing
	Following Through on Group Decisions
	Trusting in People to Do a Quality Job
	Following Routines and Procedures
	Changing the Way to Do Things
	Delegating Tasks and Decisions
	Giving Others Recognition and Credit
	Weighing Pros and Cons
	Working with Open-Ended Activities
	Staying Aware of the Group's Feelings
	Tolerating Delays
	Meeting Deadlines
	Serving as a Spokesperson
	Setting Goals
	Evaluating Results

AGENDA ITEMS #4 (BASE TEAM MEETING #1)

TEAM SUMMARY CHART

Put the names of your team members across the top of the grid and have each member identify his/her areas of strength. If there are areas with no checks, decide how this function will be fulfilled. Use the results to establish a team goal.

FUNCTIONS OF EFFECTIVE TEAM MEMBERS	CHECK OFF STRENGTHS BY FUNCTION						
TEAM MEMBER NAMES							
Assuming Leadership							
Being the Decision Maker							
Record Keeping							
Accepting Criticism							
Staying on the Topic							
Brainstorming Creatively							
Sharing Turf and Control							
Compromising							
Listening Quietly							
Summarizing							
Planning Specifics and Details							
Dealing with Conflict							
Being Diplomatic							
Analyzing Problems							
Doing Research							
Expressing Opposing Ideas							
Staying Positive when Disliking the Task							
Organizing							
Following Through on Group Decisions							
Trusting in People to Do a Quality Job							
Following Routines and Procedures							
Changing the Way to Do Things							
Delegating Tasks and Decisions							
Giving Others Recognition and Credit							
Weighing Pros and Cons							
Working with Open-Ended Activities							
Staying Aware of the Group's Feelings							
Tolerating Delays							
Meeting Deadlines							
Serving as a Spokesperson							
Setting Goals							
Evaluating Results							
Encouraging Others to Participate							

AGENDA ITEM #5 (BASE TEAM MEETING #1)
Collaborative Teams: A Powerful Tool in Restructuring
(CONTINUED)

COMPLETE AS A TEAM

Our Names _____ Team Name _____

3. What does "parity" mean within a collaborative team?
4. Identify 3 strategies for promoting parity among members of a team.
5. In what ways does the distribution of leadership roles among team members promote healthy team functioning?
6. Aside from the roles of Recorder and Timekeeper, what 3 roles do you consider most critical to team functioning? Why?
7. Identify 3 ways the Collaborative Team Meeting Worksheet (Figure 2 at the very end of the chapter) structures face-to-face interaction.
8. Identify 5 of your favorite questions on *The 'Are We Really a Team?' Worksheet* (Figure 4) for prompting team members to focus upon improving their "good team member" behaviors. Why did you select these as a team?

MEETING AGENDA ITEM #7 (BASE TEAM MEETING #1)

Individual and Group Assessment of Collaboration Skills: Forming Skills

Name: _____ Team Name: _____

Directions for Individual Assessment

Reflect on your behavior while working as a member of your team. On a 5-point scale (1 = I never do; 5 = I always do), rate yourself on the following skills. Select and place a star next to the 2 to 4 skills that you wish to improve.

Directions for Group Assessment

Reflect on your team's functioning. On a 5-point scale (1 = We never do; 5 = We always do), rate your entire team on the following skills. Compare your ratings with those of your teammates and jointly select 2 - 4 skills to improve. Place an arrow next to the skills your team has selected.

Forming Skills
(Trust Building)

SELF

GROUP

_____	I/we arrive at meetings on time	_____
_____	I/we stay for the duration of the meeting	_____
_____	I/we participate(d) in the establishment of the group's goals	_____
_____	I/we shared individual personal goals	_____
_____	I/we encourage everyone to participate	_____
_____	I/we use member's names	_____
_____	I/we look at the speaker	_____
_____	I/we do not use "put-downs"	_____
_____	I/we use an appropriate volume and voice tone	_____