

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

Course & Section Nos.	EDMX 572
Course Title	Education Specialist – Clinical Practice: Special Education Settings
Class Roster No.	41061
Course Day(s)	Days: To Be Arranged
Time	Time: To Be Arranged
Course Location	Off Campus
Semester / Year	Fall 2017
Instructor	Mike Norman
Phone	760-750-4317
E-Mail	dnorman@csusm.edu
Office	University Hall 468B
Office Hours	By Appointment

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION MISSION & VISION STATEMENT

(Adopted by SOE Governance Community, January 2013)

Vision

To serve the educational needs of local, regional, and global communities, the School of Education advances innovative practice and leadership by generating, embracing, and promoting equitable and creative solutions.

Mission

The mission of the School of Education community is to collaboratively transform education. We:

- Create community through partnerships
- Promote and foster social justice and educational equity
- Advance innovative, student-centered practices
- Inspire reflective teaching and learning
- Conduct purposeful research
- Serve the school, college, university, and community

BASIC TENETS OF OUR CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

- Student-centered education
- Research and theory specific to the program field inform practice
- Connections and links between coursework and application
- Strong engagement between faculty and candidates
- Co-teaching clinical practice
- Culturally responsive pedagogy and socially just outcomes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COURSE DESCRIPTION	
Education Specialist — Clinical Practice: Special Education Setting	3
Credit Hour Policy Statement	3
CLINICAL PRACTICE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES	3
Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) Competencies	3
Expected Dispositions for the Education Profession	
MATERIALS NEEDED FOR CLASS	
TaskStream Enrollment and Postings	4
SUPERVISION REQUIREMENTS	5
Preliminary Education Specialist Clinical Practice Lesson Planning and Delivery Observation Form	7
Education Specialist Credential Candidate Observation of Assessment Administration and Interpretation	
Education Specialist Credential Candidate Observation of Individualized Education Program Meeting	11
Preliminary Education Specialist Clinical Practice Assessment Functional Behavior Assessment and Positive	
Behavior Intervention Plan	
Candidate and Cooperating Teacher TPE Weekly Conversations and Planning	15
Teacher Candidate Clinical Practice Weekly Reflection and Planning	
WHAT DOES CO-TEACHING LOOK LIKE? THE FOUR APPROACHES	
EDMX 572 / EDMX 573 Education Specialist Clinical Practice Weekly Professional Collaboration Log	18
RUBRIC	22
Grading Standards	24
Credit/No Credit	24
Final Exam Statement	24
PROFESSIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS	24
Conditions for Removal from School Site	25
GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS	25
Authorization to Teach English Learners	25
School of Education Attendance Policy – Clinical Practice	25
CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy	25
Plagiarism	
Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations	25
All University Writing Requirement	26
Course Format	
Necessary Technical Competency Required of Students	
Contact Information for Technical Support Assistance	
Electronic Communication Protocol	

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Education Specialist — Clinical Practice: Special Education Setting

Observations and teaching in special education settings in selected schools under the supervision of a credentialed special education teacher and university supervisor. Includes participation in a student teaching seminar. May not be taken for credit by students who have received credit for EDMX 562 OR EDMS 571. Prerequisite: Successful completion of program prescribed coursework.

Credit Hour Policy Statement

This Clinical Practice course is comprised of doing fieldwork at either elementary, middle or high school settings, under the supervision of a Cooperating Teacher and a University Supervisor.

- Clinical Practice in the Mild and Moderate areas is comprised of a minimum of 50 days of which 10 days must be full time assuming all of the duties of being a special educator.
- Students are expected to spend a minimum of 7 to 10 hours per week outside of the field experience in preparation to teach students.

CLINICAL PRACTICE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

The credential candidate will:

- assess and instruct students of various ages (kindergarten to 22 years of age) and cultural/linguistic backgrounds with mild/moderate disabilities (autism spectrum disorders, specific learning disabilities, mild to moderate intellectual disability, emotional disturbance, and other health impairments).
- demonstrate successful performance of the Mild/Moderate Education Specialist Teaching Performance Expectations as assessed using the Cal State San Marcos Mild/Moderate Teaching Performance Expectations Clinical Practice Assessment observation form.

Student learning outcome assessment methods:

Observations by university supervisor and cooperating teacher of clinical performance objectives and the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing (CCTC) Education Specialist Program Standards and Education Specialist Teaching Performance Expectations (ES TPEs).

Completion of an Individualized Transition Development Plan (ITDP) summarizing strengths and continued professional growth regarding the ES TPEs. The ITDP is developed with input from the candidate's University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher and is approved and signed by the candidate, the candidate's university supervisor, and the Education Specialist credential program coordinator or designee.

Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) Competencies

The course objectives, assignments, and assessments have been aligned with the CTC standards for Mild/Moderate or Moderate/Severe Credentials. This course is designed to help teachers seeking a California teaching credential to develop the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to assist schools and district in implementing effective programs for all students. The successful candidate will be able to merge theory and practice in order to realize a comprehensive and extensive educational program for all students. Teacher Candidates will be required to formally address the following Mild/Moderate TPEs in this course:

Mild/Moderate:

- Specific Pedagogical Skills for Subject Matter Instruction
- Monitoring Student Learning During Instruction
- Use of Assessments, Interpretation and Reporting
- Making Content Accessible
- Student Engagement
- Developmentally Appropriate Teaching Practices
- Teaching English Learners

- Learning About Students
- Instructional Planning
- Social Environment
- Professional, Legal and Ethical Obligations
- Professional Growth

Expected Dispositions for the Education Profession

Education is a profession that has, at its core, certain dispositional attributes that must be acquired and developed. Teaching and working with learners of all ages requires not only specific content knowledge and pedagogical skills, but positive attitudes about multiple dimensions of the profession. The School of Education has identified six dispositions that must be evident in teacher candidates: social justice and equity, collaboration, critical thinking, professional ethics, reflective teaching and learning, and life-long learning. These dispositions have observable actions that will be assessed throughout the preparation program. For each dispositional element, there are three levels of performance - unacceptable, initial target, and advanced target. The description and rubric for the three levels of performance offer measurable behaviors and examples.

The assessment is designed to provide candidates with ongoing feedback for their growth in professional dispositions and includes a self-assessment by the candidate. The dispositions and rubric are presented, explained and assessed in one or more designated courses in each program as well as in clinical practice. Based upon assessment feedback candidates will compose a reflection that becomes part of the candidate's Teaching Performance Expectation portfolio. Candidates are expected to meet the level of *initial target* during the program.

MATERIALS NEEDED FOR CLASS

The appropriate Cal State San Marcos Teaching Performance Expectations Clinical Practice Assessment and Individualized Transition Development Plan observation forms, and enrollment in TaskStream.

TaskStream Enrollment and Postings

The School of Education uses TaskStream to manage candidates' TPE, clinical practice, signature assignment, and disposition assessments. Candidates must be enrolled in TaskStream throughout the Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe Education Specialist program(s). Enrollment fees are paid by going to www.taskstream.com and registering for at least one year. Concurrent candidates and candidates completing both the Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe credentials should enroll for at least two years.

SUPERVISION REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Each candidate engages in a minimum of **50 days** of supervised clinical practicum in one or more school and/or community setting in which students with mild and moderate disabilities are being educated.
- 2. Each candidate is observed and provides documentation of the clinical experiences described in the TPEs through notations on the **Cal State San Marcos Clinical Practice Assessment Mild/Moderate Teaching Performance Expectations** observation form.
- 3. Each candidate is formally observed by and meets with a Clinical Practice University Supervisor **at least five times** inclusive of the exit interview to discuss clinical experiences and progress toward meeting Education Specialist Teaching Performance Expectations.
- 4. Each candidate is observed by the University Supervisor:
 - a. a) delivering formal lessons in a content area (at least 2). For these content lessons, a formal lesson plan using the Clinical Practice Lesson Plan Template (EDMX 572, 671, 672) shall be submitted electronically to the University Supervisor at least 24 hours prior to teaching the lesson.
 - b) conducting a formal assessment utilizing a standardized assessment instrument and submitting a comprehensive assessment report to the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor
 - c. c) conducting an IEP meeting (Triennial or Initial preferred)
 - d. d) analyzes a Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP) and explains involvement in its delivery (See these observation forms in this syllabus)
- 5. Each candidate meets with the Cooperating Teacher to initially examine and discuss TPE elements and how they could/will be met in clinical practice. Throughout clinical practice, the candidate is expected to collect a portfolio of artifacts (e.g., samples of assessments and assessment reports, lessons, IEP plans) to evidence TPE performance. Further in-depth conversations with the Cooperating Teacher regarding TPEs is prompted by the TPE Weekly Conversations and Planning form located in this syllabus. The candidate meets weekly with the Cooperating Teacher to reflect upon clinical experiences and progress toward meeting TPEs. As part of this meeting, the candidate prepares and e-mails to both the Cooperating Teacher and the Teacher Candidate the Clinical Practice Weekly Reflection and Planning form in this syllabus. Meetings between the Cooperating Teacher and the candidate are noted weekly on the Education Specialist Clinical Practice Professional Collaboration Log found in this syllabus.
- 6. Each candidate is formally observed by the Cooperating Teacher a minimum of four times. The University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher determine with the candidate the content focus of these observations, so that they complement the University Supervisor's formal lesson observations. A formal observation and follow-up conference may focus on instruction, assessment, IEP-related planning and implementation activities, and any other job-related responsibilities in which TPEs may be observed (e.g., training/supervising of paraeducators). These observations are noted at each visitation on the Education Specialist Clinical Practice Professional Collaboration Log found in this syllabus. Please see the Clinical Practice Handbook for more detail as to how these observations must be documented.
- 7. Each candidate, in conjunction with the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor, documents collaborative activities among the Education Specialist Teacher Candidate (TC), Cooperating Teacher (CT) and University Supervisor (US) to guide the TC to the successful completion of the Teacher Performance Expectations (TPE) and Professional Dispositional (PD) performance through observations, coaching meetings, written feedback, relevant activities, interactions, and communications. The *Education Specialist Clinical Practice Weekly Professional Collaboration Log* (found in this syllabus) shall be confidentially maintained (in digital or hard copy format) and accessible to all parties throughout the Clinical Practice (CP). Log entries are completed, reviewed, and signed by the TC and CT weekly and by the US at each visitation. The log is collected by the US at the completion of CP.

8.	Each candidate produces an Individualized Transition Development Plan that summarizes strengths and areas of need for continued professional growth and that is signed by the candidate, the candidate's university supervisor, and the Education Specialist credential program coordinator or designee.



Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

Preliminary Education Specialist Clinical Practice Lesson Planning and Delivery Observation Form

Teacher Candidate:		Date:
School Site:		Setting:
Supervisor:		
TPEs Observed:Instruction of S w/IEPs - TPE 1Monitor during instruction - TPE 2Interpret & use assessment - TPE 3Making content accessible - TPE 4Student engagement - TPE 5	Develop appropriate teaching - TPE 6Differentiation for ELs - TPE 7Learning about students - TPE 8Instructional planning - TPE 9Instructional time - TPE 10	Social environment - TPE 11Prof, legal, ethical obligations-TPE 12Reflective teaching & growth - TPE 13
	m Environment: (Check observed, ad ingClearly stated expectations its needs	
Works as a collaborative team m	Planning: (Check observed, add other nember to plan instructionLessons truction based upon assessed knowled	are based upon Common Core and/or
seen)Uses universal strategies that ar accommodations, modifications, and	sentation/Implementation of Supporre effective with a wide range of studerd supportsEffective use of instructible and consistentMaterials are consistentMaterials	ntsProvides individualized ional time
Implements student's positive be interestsEncourages involveme	nt and excellenceProvides feedba	lotivates students by connecting to their

Uses formal and informal assessments appropriatelyConsideration populationUtilizes formative and summative assessmentsCollects a Comments:	of appropriateness for diverse
TPE 4 & 5: Cognitive Outcome: (Check observed, add others as seen) Encourages and models critical thinking and problem-solving skillsF Comments:	osters analysis and synthesis
TPE 4, 5, 6, 11: Affective Outcome: (Check observed, add others as seenEncourages collaborative and independent learningPromotes commProvides meaningful and relevant curriculum Comments:	
TPE 1, 7, 8: Effective Outcome: (Check those observed, add others as seeRespectfully teaches and communicates with students from diverse popDemonstrates understanding, appreciation, sensitivity for cultural heritagePlans lessons that encourage respect for human diversity Comments:	ulations
TPEs 12 and 13: Professional Behavior: (Check those observed, add otheEffective communication with families, administrators, paraeducators, suRespects issues of confidentialityReflective practitionerAble and feedbackActively participates in school communitySeeks profession Comments:	pervisors and colleagues d willing to accept constructive critical
Other Supervisor Observations and Remarks:	
Teacher Candidate Goal/Focus for next observation:	
Teacher Candidate	Date:
Signature:University Supervisor	
Signature:	Date:
Date/Time Next Observation:	
Onogi valion.	



Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

Education Specialist Credential Candidate Observation of Assessment Administration and Interpretation

Organizational/Setting Data:	
Teacher Candidate:	Date/Time:
School Site:	Grade Level:
Supervisor:	
Type of Standardized Testing Instrument: (e.g. Woo	odcock-Johnson IV, WIAT, KTEA-3 etc.)
Content/Level: (Math, Reading Comprehension, other	ər)
Initial/Annual/Triennial/Transition/Other	
Purpose of Assessment: (screening, identification, pr	rogress monitoring, etc.):
Setting of Assessment: (Describe where administere	ed, physical room conducive for test environment)
Observation of Assessment Administration Skills Materials/Tools Present for Assessment: Test	
Time: (Begin – End)	tration of assessment: Explanation of test components estion Visual/noise/activity distractions minimized

Professional/Affective Demeanor with student: □Places stude □ Engage in observation of student during assessment □Mor	
Interpretation of Assessment Skills: Scoring/Compilation of data: Understands measurement co	mponents □Scores w/o bias □Plots scores correctly
Written Analysis of Results (Comprehensive Assessment Re □Avoids jargon □Includes strengths	port): □Accurate, complete, objective language used
Synthesizing data from this test with other assessment(s) ele • Accuracy of all findings • Includes ELL when indicated • The • Sensitive to legal aspects • Includes possible limitations of	noughtful interpretation • Follows logical sequence
Reflection and Skill Refinement Recommendations: Potential Goals for candidate:	
Additional Supervisor Remarks:	
Review/Debrief Meeting Verification Signatures:	
Teacher Candidate	Date:
Signature:University Supervisor Signature:	Date:
Signature.	



Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

<u>Education Specialist Credential Candidate Observation of Individualized Education Program Meeting</u> Observation Setting Data:

Teacher Candidate:	Date/Time of Mtg.:
School Name:	District:
Observer Name/Position:	
Type of meeting: ☐ Initial ☐ Annual	□Triennial □ Other Type/Specify:
IEP Team Attendees (List names / positions	of each):
•	
•	
	ose of meeting described • Copy of Agenda provided to all
 Introductions of all and description of purportion Time parameters reviewed Procedural 	ose of meeting described • Copy of Agenda provided to all Safeguards discussed • Copy of assessment results available for eachers for accurate information • Used jargon-free language nembers of IEP team • Interpreter seated at next to parent(s) to
Introductions of all and description of purpo Time parameters reviewed Procedural parent(s) Reviewed page 1 w/parent(s) /te throughout Maintained eye contact w all n translate as needed Observations/Recommendations:	ose of meeting described • Copy of Agenda provided to all Safeguards discussed • Copy of assessment results available for eachers for accurate information • Used jargon-free language nembers of IEP team • Interpreter seated at next to parent(s) to
Introductions of all and description of purpo Time parameters reviewed Procedural parent(s) Reviewed page 1 w/parent(s) /te throughout Maintained eye contact wall not translate as needed Observations/Recommendations: Meeting Component Delivery Observation Assessment Review Present levels described and interpreted for understanding encouraged/answered	ose of meeting described • Copy of Agenda provided to all Safeguards discussed • Copy of assessment results available for eachers for accurate information • Used jargon-free language nembers of IEP team • Interpreter seated at next to parent(s) to
Introductions of all and description of purpo Time parameters reviewed Procedural parent(s) Reviewed page 1 w/parent(s) /te throughout Maintained eye contact wall not translate as needed Observations/Recommendations: Meeting Component Delivery Observation Assessment Review Present levels described and interpreted for understanding	ose of meeting described • Copy of Agenda provided to all Safeguards discussed • Copy of assessment results available for eachers for accurate information • Used jargon-free language nembers of IEP team • Interpreter seated at next to parent(s) to ins (as handled by candidate): of performance reviewed and interpreted w/o jargon. • Assessments

• Description of Supplementary aids and services as needed •

Measurable annual goals established and agreed upon by all present. • Benchmarks and/or progress monito methods established • Student inclusion discussed in areas of • general education inclusion • extracurricular activities • non-academic activity recommendations
Comments/Recommendations:
•
•
•
Service Delivery Model & Placement • Assessment accommodations provided/described if needed • Supplemental Aids/assistive technology described/provided if needed • Other supplemental services describ as needed • Group decision regarding placement • Clear descriptions of services provided in specific placer options • All information in IEP accurately explained • Checked for parental understanding throughout meetin Parent(s) part of IEP Team discussion, not spectator(s)
Comments/Recommendations:
•
•
•
and documented in Team Meeting Notes page • All signatures obtained at close of meeting • Parent(s) provided copy of IEP documents at close of meeting (not next day)
Comments/Recommendations:
•
•
Overall IEP Team Meeting Administration & Management Observations:
Facilitation of meeting • confidence level • knowledge of material • professional demeanor • sensitivity to confidential concerns • Handled ethical points of concern appropriately • Placed IEP team members at ease
Comments/Recommendations:
•
•
•

Recommendations Developed by Team

Candidate strengths/competencies dem		
·		
Cooperating Teacher or other IEP Tean	n Professional Observations/Comments:	
Verification of IEP Competency Signa		
Credential Candidate/Print Name	Credential Candidate Signature	Date
Cooperating Teacher/Print Name	Cooperating Teacher Signature	Date
University Supervisor/Print Name	University Supervisor Signature	Date
*Next Observation of IEP Meeting as		======================================
Specific administration and delivery step	•	
•		
•		
•		
- -		



SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

Preliminary Education Specialist Clinical Practice Assessment Functional Behavior Assessment and Positive Behavior Intervention Plan **Teacher Candidate:** Date: School Site: Settina: Cooperating Teacher: Supervisor: Does the FBA/PBIP have these features? PART I - GENERAL STUDENT AND TEAM INFORMATION 1. ____ Student Information Identified 2. ____ Behavior of Concern (Briefly Stated) 3. ____ Reasons for Developing Behavior Support Plan Identified 4. ____ "Global" Contextual Background Information is Complete 5. ____ Assessment Techniques and Methods Use to Examine and Analyze Behavior are Determined PART II - FUNCTIONAL BEHAVIORAL ASSESSMENT Setting Events/Antecedents are Identified 7. ____ Specific Behavior (Operationally Defined) and Baseline Data Identified 8. ____ Consequences Identified 9. ____ Hypothesized Purpose of Behavior Identified 10. ____ Rationale for Hypothesized Function of Behavior is Identified PART III - POSITIVE BEHAVIOR INTERVENTION PLAN DEVELOPMENT 11. Intervention/Prevention Strategies (make problem behavior irrelevant) Setting Event Strategies Setting Event Strategies Address Hypothesized Function ____ Antecedent Strategies Antecedent Strategies Address Hypothesized Function 12. Intervention/Prevention Strategies (make problem behavior inefficient and replacement behavior effective) Teaching and Reinforcement of Replacement Behavior/Skills Identified Teaching and Reinforcement of Replacement Behavior/Skills Address Hypothesized Function _____ Strategies to Alter Consequences Identified __ Strategies to Alter Consequences Address Hypothesized Function 13. Intervention/Foundational Issues to Improve Quality of Life Strategies to Improve Quality of Life Identified _ Strategies to Improve Quality of Life Address Hypothesized Function Evaluation and Monitoring System Identified 15._____ People Involved and Responsibilities Identified 16. ____ Follow-Up Timeline Determined Teacher Candidate Involvement in PBIP Design and Delivery: **Supervisor Observations and Remarks: Teacher Candidate Signature:** Date: University Supervisor Date: Signature: Date/Time of Next

NORMAN, EDMX 572

Observation/Visitation:



SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

Candidate and Cooperating Teacher TPE Weekly Conversations and Planning

Teacher Candidate:			
Cooperating Teacher:			
University Supervisor:			
	Education Specialist Mild/Mode	rate Clinical Practice	:
	Teacher Candidate and Coo TPE Weekly Conversation		
Candidate TC:	Cooperating Teacher (CT):	University Sup	pervisor (US):
understanding of each TPE the candidate in the clinical items on the <i>Education Spe</i> practice that each set of TP	ire that both the candidate and the C expectation and that they expressly setting, the following timetable is re ecialist TPE Clinical Practice Assess PEs is examined. Please pay particular h-priority topics for research, discuss	discuss how each TF commended for examinate. Please indicate lar attention to the note.	PE could be demonstrated be nining and discussing TPE the week and date of clinical ed TPE elements, which
		Suggested Week	Week/Date Examined
TPE 1: Specific Pedagogie	cal Skills for Subject Matter Instruction		
	t Learning During Instruction	Week 1	
TPE 3: Interpretation and		Week 2	
	o assess and identify students whos		
	differences may be confused with a	disability	
TPE 4: Making Content A		Week 2	
TPE 5: Student Engagement		Week 2	
	Appropriate Teaching Practices	Week 3	
TPE 7: Teaching English		Week 3	
	orinciples/practices, has students ex		
	and uses primary language resource		
	guage skills, paraeducators) to deve		
	ehension and knowledge of core cur	riculum content	
TPE 8: Learning about Stu		Week 3	
TPE 9: Instructional Plann	<u> </u>	Week 4	
	trains, supervises, and/or uses para		
	onnel (e.g., related service providers	, peer tutors) to help	
students achieve goals			
TPE 10: Instructional Time		Week 4	
TPE 11: Social Environmer		Week 4	
	v to develop and implement a Positiv		Plan,
	contract, and participate in school-v	•	
TPE 12: Professional, Lega		Week 5	
	uses district guidelines to report su	spected	
	, neglect, or sexual harassment		
TPE 13: Professional Grow	rtn	Week 5	



Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

Teacher Candidate Clinical Practice Weekly Reflection and Planning

Directions: On Friday of each week of clinical practice, please complete and send this form to your University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher. Be sure to discuss the content of the reflection with your Cooperating Teacher in your weekly reflection and planning meeting. Name:_____ Week ____ Date_____ 1. This week the TPEs that I focused on were: 2. An "aha" moment in my teaching this week was: 3. I felt most confident and competent with my skills when: 4. My biggest challenge this week was: 5. The co-teaching approaches my Cooperating Teacher (CT) and I used this week were: ____I led ____CT led Supportive ____I led planning ____We jointly planned ____My CT planned Parallel __ Complementary ____I led, my CT complemented ____My CT led, I complemented ____Guided by CT _____We jointly planned & delivered instruction Team Questions I have; help I would like: _____ Requested focus of next observation/meeting: TPEs (specify): Co-teaching planning &/or implementation Instructional strategies _____ Classroom management/support _____ Differentiation of instruction Individualized Accommodations and Modifications _____ Supporting/Co-teaching with Paraeducators _____ Positive Behavior Supports Other (specify):



WHAT DOES CO-TEACHING LOOK LIKE? THE FOUR APPROACHES

Co-teaching has many faces. Teachers experienced in teaching in diverse classrooms report using four approaches to co-teaching – supportive, parallel, complementary, and team.

SUPPORTIVE

Supportive co-teaching is when one teacher takes the lead instructional role and the other(s) rotates among the students providing support. The co-teacher(s) taking the supportive role watches and listens as students work together, stepping in to provide one-to-one tutorial assistance when necessary while the other co-teacher continues to direct the lesson. Teachers new to co-teaching or who are short of planning time often begin with this approach.

PARALLEL

Parallel co-teaching is when two or more people work with different groups of students in different sections of the classroom. Co-teachers may rotate among the groups; and, sometimes there may be one group of students that works without a co-teacher for at least part of the time. Teachers new to co-teaching often begin with this approach. Key to parallel co-teaching is that each co-teacher eventually works with every student in the class.

COMPLEMENTARY

Complementary co-teaching is when co-teachers do something to enhance the instruction provided by the other co-teacher(s). For example one co-teacher might paraphrase the other co-teacher's statements or model note-taking skills on a transparency. Sometimes, one of the complementary co-teaching partners pre-teaches the small group social skill roles required for successful cooperative group learning and then monitors as students practice the roles during the lesson taught by the other co-teacher. As co-teachers gain in confidence and acquire knowledge and skills from one another, complementary co-teaching becomes a preferred approach.

TEAM

Team co-teaching is when two or more people do what the traditional teacher has always done – plan, teach, assess, and assume responsibility for all of the students in the classroom. Team co-teachers share leadership and responsibility in planning. When instruction, they simultaneously deliver lessons and are comfortable alternately taking the lead and being in the support or complementary role. Team co-teachers share lessons in ways that allow students to experience each teacher's expertise. For example, for a lesson on inventions in science, one co-teacher with interests is history might guide students to examine the impact of inventions on society at the time. The other, whose strengths are with the mechanisms involved, might explain and guide students in learning how the inventions work.

REMEMBER: The test of any successful co-teaching partnership is that the students view each teacher as equally knowledgeable and credible.

FROM: Villa, Thousand, & Nevin (2013). A *guide to co-teaching: New lessons and strategies to facilitate student learning* (3rd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.



EDMX 572 / EDMX 573 EDUCATION SPECIALIST CLINICAL PRACTICE WEEKLY PROFESSIONAL COLLABORATION LOG

This log is designed to document collaborative activities among the Education Specialist Teacher Candidate (TC), Cooperating Teacher (CT) and University Supervisor (US) to guide the TC to successful Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) and Professional Dispositional (PD) performance through observations, coaching meetings, written feedback, and relevant activities, interactions, and communications. The log shall be confidentially maintained (in digital or hard copy format) and accessible to all parties throughout the Clinical Practice (CP). Log entries are completed, reviewed, and signed by the TC and SP weekly and by the US at each visitation. The log is collected by the US at the completion of CP.

reacne	r Candidate (Name):	Cooperating Teacher (N	iame): (Jniversity Supervisor (N	ame):
Teacher	Candidate Responsibilities: Sign in/out on	Cooperating Teacher Res	ponsibilities: Guide the	University Supervisor Re	
campus	daily. By week, note the dates & days of CP (e.g.,	TC's TPE and PD growth. D	ocument collaborative	CT and SP to log and use.	Sign in/out each
Nov. 14 ^{tl}	1 – 18th, Days #14-#19 of CP). Document activities	activities (e.g. modeling, for	mal and informal	campus visit. Review log e	ntries since last visit.
(e.g., planning for instruction, instruction, formal/informal		observations, coaching and	feedback meetings)	Document observations, m	eetings, and other
assessm	ent, IEP involvement, co-teaching, meetings,	supporting the TC's progress in planning, instruction,		activities with the TC and SP regarding the TC's	
collabora	ating with staff, other work duties) guided/directed by	assessment, IEP & family in	volvement, PD, & TPE	PDs & progress toward TP	E achievement.
the SP.		achievement.			
	Teacher Candidate Directions: Briefly	Cooperating Teacher Dire		University Supervisor Dir	rections: Document
	list/describe clinical activities as suggested above	collaboration that occurs du		initial orientation, a minimu	
CP	and TPE foci during this time period.	period. Document weekly re	, –	observations, the post-observations	
		meetings and a minimum of	4 formal observations.	exit meeting, and additiona	I communications by
		☐ Informal Observation(s) o	of:	week. Date: Si	gnature:
		` '	Activities, TPEs)	☐ Observation of:	griature.
		☐ Formal Observation of:	Activities, TPES)	Doservation of.	
		☐ Formal Observation of.	(Activities TDEs)		(Note Activities, TPEs)
			_ (Activities, TPEs)	☐ Conference w/TC re:	(Note Activities, TFES)
		☐ Meeting(s) re:	(T : TDE)		
			(Topics, TPEs)	☐ Mat with CD	(A ativities TDEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re:		☐ Met with SP	(Activities, TPEs)
			(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Phone, e-mail, other cor	mmunications:
		☐ Other (Briefly describe):			_
					(Activities)
		Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		
		☐ Informal Observation(s) of	of:		gnature:
		,	Activities, TPEs)	☐ Observation of:	
		☐ Formal Observation of:			
			_ (Activities, TPEs)		(Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Meeting(s) re:		☐ Conference w/TC re:	
			(Topics, TPEs)		
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re:		☐ Met with SP	(Activities, TPEs)
			(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Phone, e-mail, other cor	mmunications:
		☐ Other (Briefly describe):			_
					(Activities)
		Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		, ,

Teacher Candidate Responsibilities: Sign in/out on campus daily. By week, note the dates & days of CP (e.g., Nov. 14 th – 18 th , Days #14-#19 of CP). Document activities (e.g., planning for instruction, instruction, formal/informal assessment, IEP involvement, co-teaching, meetings, collaborating with staff, other work duties) guided/directed by the SP.		Cooperating Teacher Responsibilities: Guide the TC's TPE and PD growth. Document collaborative activities (e.g. modeling, formal and informal observations, coaching and feedback meetings) supporting the TC's progress in planning, instruction, assessment, IEP & family involvement, PD, & TPE achievement.		University Supervisor Responsibilities: Orient CT and SP to log and use. Sign in/out each campus visit. Review log entries since last visit. Document observations, meetings, and other activities with the TC and SP regarding the TC's PDs & progress toward TPE achievement.	
Days of	Teacher Candidate Directions: Briefly list/describe clinical activities as suggested above and TPE foci during this time period.	Cooperating Teacher Directions: CHECK ALL collaboration that occurs during the designated time period. Document weekly reflective and planning meetings and a minimum of 4 formal observations.		University Supervisor Directions: Document initial orientation, a minimum of 4 formal observations, the post-observation conferences, exit meeting, and additional communications by week.	
		☐ Informal Observation(s) o ☐ (/ ☐ Formal Observation of: ☐ Meeting(s) re:	Activities, TPEs) _ (Activities, TPEs)	Date: Si ☐ Observation of: ☐ Conference w/TC re:	gnature: (Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re: ☐ Other (Briefly describe):	(Topics, TPEs)	☐ Met with SP ☐ Phone, e-mail, other cor	(Activities, TPEs) mmunications: - (Activities)
		Signature of CT:			gnature:
		☐ Formal Observation of: ☐ Meeting(s) re:	Activities, TPEs) _ (Activities, TPEs) (Topics, TPEs)	☐ Observation of: ☐ Conference w/TC re:	(Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re: ☐ Other (Briefly describe):	(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Met with SP☐ Phone, e-mail, other cor	_
		Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(Activities)
		☐ Informal Observation(s) o ☐ Formal Observation of:	f: Activities, TPEs)	Date: Si ☐ Observation of:	gnature:
		☐ Meeting(s) re:	(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Conference w/TC re:	(Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re: ☐ Other (Briefly describe):	(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Met with SP☐ Phone, e-mail, other cor	(Activities, TPEs) mmunications:
		Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(Activities)

Teacher Candidate Responsibilities: Sign in/out on campus daily. By week, note the dates & days of CP (e.g., Nov. 14 th – 18 th , Days #14-#19 of CP). Document activities (e.g., planning for instruction, instruction, formal/informal assessment, IEP involvement, co-teaching, meetings, collaborating with staff, other work duties) guided/directed by the SP.		Cooperating Teacher Responsibilities: Guide the TC's TPE and PD growth. Document collaborative activities (e.g. modeling, formal and informal observations, coaching and feedback meetings) supporting the TC's progress in planning, instruction, assessment, IEP & family involvement, PD, & TPE achievement.		University Supervisor Responsibilities: Orient CT and SP to log and use. Sign in/out each campus visit. Review log entries since last visit. Document observations, meetings, and other activities with the TC and SP regarding the TC's PDs & progress toward TPE achievement.	
Days of	Teacher Candidate Directions: Briefly list/describe clinical activities as suggested above and TPE foci during this time period.	Cooperating Teacher Directions: CHECK ALL collaboration that occurs during the designated time period. Document weekly reflective and planning meetings and a minimum of 4 formal observations.		University Supervisor Directions: Document initial orientation, a minimum of 4 formal observations, the post-observation conferences, exit meeting, and additional communications by week.	
		☐ Informal Observation(s) o ☐ (A ☐ Formal Observation of: ☐ Meeting(s) re:	f: Activities, TPEs) _ (Activities, TPEs)	Date: Si ☐ Observation of: ☐ Conference w/TC re:	gnature: (Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re: ☐ Other (Briefly describe):	(Topics, TPEs)	☐ Met with SP☐ Phone, e-mail, other cor	_
		Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(Activities)
			f: Activities, TPEs)	Date: Si ☐ Observation of:	gnature:
		☐ Formal Observation of: ☐ Meeting(s) re:	_ (Activities, TPEs)(Topics, TPEs)	☐ Conference w/TC re:	(Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re:	(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Met with SP☐ Phone, e-mail, other cor	(Activities, TPEs) mmunications:
		☐ Other (Briefly describe): Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(Activities)
			f: Activities, TPEs)	Date: Si ☐ Observation of:	gnature:
		☐ Formal Observation of: ☐ Meeting(s) re:	(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Conference w/TC re:	(Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re: ☐ Other (Briefly describe):	(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Met with SP☐ Phone, e-mail, other cor	(Activities, TPEs) mmunications:
		Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(Activities)

Teacher Candidate Responsibilities: Sign in/out on campus daily. By week, note the dates & days of CP (e.g., Nov. 14 th – 18 th , Days #14-#19 of CP). Document activities (e.g., planning for instruction, instruction, formal/informal assessment, IEP involvement, co-teaching, meetings, collaborating with staff, other work duties) guided/directed by the SP.		Cooperating Teacher Responsibilities: Guide the TC's TPE and PD growth. Document collaborative activities (e.g. modeling, formal and informal observations, coaching and feedback meetings) supporting the TC's progress in planning, instruction, assessment, IEP & family involvement, PD, & TPE achievement.		University Supervisor Responsibilities: Orient CT and SP to log and use. Sign in/out each campus visit. Review log entries since last visit. Document observations, meetings, and other activities with the TC and SP regarding the TC's PDs & progress toward TPE achievement.	
Week & Days of CP	Teacher Candidate Directions: Briefly list/describe clinical activities as suggested above and TPE foci during this time period.	Cooperating Teacher Directions: CHECK ALL collaboration that occurs during the designated time period. Document weekly reflective and planning meetings and a minimum of 4 formal observations.		University Supervisor Directions: Document initial orientation, a minimum of 4 formal observations, the post-observation conferences, exit meeting, and additional communications by week.	
		☐ Informal Observation(s) o(/	of: Activities, TPEs)		gnature:
		☐ Meeting(s) re:	_ (Activities, TPEs) (Topics, TPEs)	☐ Conference w/TC re:	(Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re:	(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Met with SP☐ Phone, e-mail, other con	(Activities, TPEs) nmunications:
		☐ Other (Briefly describe): Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(Activities)
		☐ Informal Observation(s) o ☐ (/	of: Activities, TPEs)	Date: Sig ☐ Observation of:	gnature:
		☐ Meeting(s) re:	_ (Activities, TPEs)	☐ Conference w/TC re:	(Note Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re:	(Activities, TPEs)	☐ Met with SP☐ Phone, e-mail, other con	(Activities, TPEs)
		☐ Other (Briefly describe): Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(Activities)

Definition of Terms: For the purposes of this log, the term, *informal*, means that communication with the TC is primarily verbal and formative in nature. The term, *formal*, means that communication with the TC is in written as well as verbal form and that it also is evaluative (e.g., provides feedback on progress) in nature. Please find formal observation and meeting forms at http://www.csusm.edu/education/ClinicalPractice/HandbookSPED.html

Additional Note: This log is designed to accommodate a 10-week (50-day) period, For the weeks of clinical practice that exceed 10 weeks, please record activities for the additional weeks on a copy of page 2 of this log.

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Engaging diverse communities through leading and learning for social justice.

www.csusm.edu/soe

RUBRIC

The following rubric describes the timeframe, conditions, and expected evaluated behaviors and artifacts for each of the 4 performance levels on the CSUSM Mild/Moderate ES TPE Clinical Practice Assessment instrument. It clarifies the performance standard or criteria represented by the Does Not Meet (1), Approaching (2), Meets (3), and Exceptional (4) clinical rating for a TPE element on the 4-point rating scale. The University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher can independently use this rubric and then confer as to the appropriate rating on a TPE element for a candidate they both are mentoring. A candidate must earn at least a Meets (3) score on each TPE element to get credit for the element in clinical practice.

element for a candidate they both are mentoring. A candidate must earn at least a Meets (3) score on each TPE element to get credit for the element in clinical practice.					
Does Not Meet (1)	Approaching (2)	Meets (3) (the standard)	Exceptional (4)		
(the standard)	(meeting the standard)		. , ,		
		Meets the standard as	Performs the standard as		
Requires significant more	Understands but requires	stated in the ES TPE at	stated in the ES TPE at an		
understanding, instruction,	additional coaching or	the level of a novice	exceptional level, well		
and/or experience	clarification	teacher	beyond novice		
Given the timeframe of the	Given the timeframe of the	Given the timeframe of the	Given the timeframe of the		
clinical practice	clinical practice	clinical practice	clinical practice		
experience (i.e., 50 days	experience (i.e., 50 days	experience (i.e., 50 days	experience (i.e., 50 days		
for Mild/Moderate clinical	for Mild/Moderate clinical	for Mild/Moderate clinical	for Mild/Moderate clinical		
practice and 35 days for	practice and 35 days for	practice and 35 days for	practice and 35 days for		
Moderate/Severe clinical	Moderate/Severe clinical	Moderate/Severe clinical	Moderate/Severe clinical		
practice) and the	practice) and the	practice) and the	practice) and the		
modeling, guided practice,	modeling, guided practice,	modeling, guided practice,	modeling, guided practice,		
and coaching provided by	and coaching provided by	and coaching provided by	and coaching provided by		
the Cooperating Teacher	the Cooperating Teacher	the Cooperating Teacher	the Cooperating Teacher		
and University Supervisor,	and University Supervisor,	and University Supervisor,	and University Supervisor,		
either or both the	either or both the	both the University	both the University		
University Supervisor	University Supervisor	Supervisor and	Supervisor and		
and/or Cooperating	and/or Cooperating	Cooperating Teacher	Cooperating Teacher		
Teacher's assess via their	Teacher assess via their	assess via their	assess via their		
observations and/or	observations and/or	observations and	observations and		
examination of the	examination of the	examination of the	examination of the		
artifacts (e.g., assessment report, observation report,	artifacts (e.g., assessment report, observation report,	artifacts (e.g., assessment report, observation report,	artifacts (e.g., assessment report, observation report,		
lesson plans) provided by	lesson plans) provided by	lesson plans) provided by	lesson plans) provided by		
the candidate for the given	the candidate for the given	the candidate for the given	the candidate for the given		
TPE element leads to the	TPE element that the	TPE element that there is	TPE element that there is		
conclusion that the	candidate:	adequate evidence to	ample evidence to		
candidate:		conclude that the	conclude that the		
	1) has demonstrated, but	candidate:	candidate:		
1) has demonstrates the	not yet consistently,				
knowledge and/or	the knowledge and/or	1) has consistently	1) has consistently		
practice articulated in	practice articulated in	demonstrated the	demonstrated the		
the TPE element	the TPE element	knowledge and/or	knowledge and/or		
criterion with little	criterion and requires	practice articulated in	practice articulated in		
frequency and will	and will benefit from	the TPE element	the TPE element		
require extensive	further modeling,	criterion with minimal	criterion without need		
modeling, coaching,	coaching, and/or	need for further	for further modeling,		
instruction, and	clarification to achieve	modeling, coaching or	coaching or		
clinical experience to	the criterion	clarification	clarification		

- potentially achieve the criterion performance
- 2) has provided few or no evidences that are assessed as (a) relevant examples, (b) appropriate to the content of the TPE element, or (c) adequate to demonstrate the criterion as stated in the TPE element
- the TPE element
 3) even when provided with prompting and direct questioning, has difficulty identifying ways in which the provided artifact(s) and/or his/her assessment, instruction, decision-making, and collaborative behaviors illustrate performance of the TPE element's criterion
- performance

 2) has provided some, but not yet sufficient, evidences that are (a) relevant examples, (b) appropriate to the content of the TPE element, and (c) adequate to demonstrate the criterion as stated in the TPE element

 3) requires some
 - requires some prompting, when asked to describe and explain ways in which the provided artifact(s) and/or his/her assessment, instruction, decision-making, and collaborative behaviors illustrate performance of the TPE element's criterion
- 2) has provided evidences that are (a) relevant examples, (b) appropriate to the content of the TPE element, and (c) adequate to demonstrate the criterion as stated in the TPE element
- 3) can describe and explain, with little to no prompting, ways in which the provided artifact(s) and/or his/her assessment, instruction, decision-making, and collaborative behaviors illustrate performance of the TPE element's criterion
- 2) has provided multiple evidences that are clearly (a) relevant examples, (b) appropriate to the content of the TPE element, and (c) adequate to demonstrate the criterion as stated in the TPE element
 3) can independently
- 3) can independently
 (without prompting)
 describe and explain
 in detail ways in which
 the provided artifact(s)
 and/or his/her
 assessment,
 instruction, decisionmaking, and
 collaborative
 behaviors illustrate
 performance of the
 TPE element's
 criterion

GRADING STANDARDS

Credit/No Credit

- 1. The candidate's University Supervisor, in collaboration with the Cooperating Teacher, prepares a Summary Form for EDMX Clinical Practice 572, based on observations and clinical practice experiences. This also includes the Cooperating Teacher's and may include the teacher candidate's feedback. The Teacher Performance Expectations are submitted, digitally, to the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor by the teacher candidate. The University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher collaborate and score the completed TPEs. When completed, the TPEs are presented to the teacher candidate at the exit meeting and all participants sign the documents. These documents serve as official verification of successful completion of Clinical Practice and are required for the University to be able to recommend a candidate for a credential at the end of the program.
- 2. A grade of CREDIT (CR) or NO CREDIT (NC) will be assigned for clinical practice experiences by the University Supervisor. If a credential candidate has not successfully met the Education Specialist Teacher Performance Expectations at an appropriate level, the candidate may be required to extend or repeat the experience.
- 3. If a candidate is unsuccessful in a clinical practice experience, a grade of NO CREDIT will be given. Granting of an additional opportunity for clinical practice will be made based on the circumstances under which the original NO CREDIT was given.
- 4. Should a candidate be in the potential situation of receiving NO CREDIT for clinical practice, the University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher <u>must complete a Statement of Concern (SOC)</u> as soon as possible and provide copies to the Program Coordinator. The documentation in the SOC, the action plan, and the follow up steps to the plan are key documents that are used to verify inadequate performance in clinical practice, if the action plan is not achieved.
- 5. Should a second clinical practice experience be recommended, the candidate must re-register for the clinical practice course prior to the new placement being made.

Final Exam Statement

EDMX 572 does not include a final examination. Ongoing assessment of the teacher candidate is completed by the University Supervisor.

PROFESSIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

- 1. "Person-first" language (e.g., "Student with Down Syndrome" rather than "Down Syndrome student") must be used throughout all written and oral assignments and discussions.
- 2. Word process all written plans for treatment and assessment. Keep an electronic copy of all of your work. You will want these for your records and for potential future use as professional portfolio entries.
- 3. Complete and submit all clinical experience documentation on the due dates for full credit. If you have extraordinary circumstances that impact timely submission, inform the university supervisor. Any time that you have questions or concerns, please contact the university supervisor immediately.
- 4. Profession behavior is expected at all school and community sites with educational and program personnel, students, families, and supervisory personnel.
- 5. Academic Honesty Policy and Plagiarism. Students are expected to adhere to standards of academic honesty and integrity, as outlined in the Student Academic Honesty Policy. All assignments must be original work, clear and error-free. All ideas/material that are borrowed from other sources must have appropriate references to the original sources. Any quoted material should give credit to the source and be punctuated accordingly. The instructor reserves the right to discipline any student for academic dishonesty, in accordance with the general rules and regulations of the university. Disciplinary action may include the lowering of a grade, a failing grade for the assignment or the class as a whole, or dismissal from the program or university.

Conditions for Removal from School Site

A candidate will be removed from the school site and a Statement of Concern documenting the situation will be written immediately if a candidate:

- 1. endangers students or others;
- 2. violates recognized codes of conduct, e.g. CSUSM Student Code of Conduct, CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy NEA Code of Ethics, CA Education Code Section 44932; and/or
- 3. is dismissed from the classroom or school site by the cooperating professional or site or district administrator.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Authorization to Teach English Learners

This credential program has been specifically designed to prepare teachers for the diversity of languages often encountered in California public school classrooms. The authorization to teach English learners is met through the infusion of content and experiences within the credential program, as well as additional coursework. Candidates successfully completing this program receive a credential with authorization to teach English learners. (Approved by CCTC in SB 2042 Program Standards, August 02)

School of Education Attendance Policy - Clinical Practice

All teacher candidates are expected to be present at their assigned school site as scheduled by program requirements and the specific school site calendar and teaching contract. Should the teacher candidate have extenuating circumstances, s/he should contact the University Supervisor and Program Coordinator as soon as possible.

CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy

Students will be expected to adhere to standards of academic honesty and integrity, as outlined in the Student Academic Honesty Policy. All assignments must be original work, clear and error-free. All ideas/material that are borrowed from other sources must have appropriate references to the original sources. Any quoted material should give credit to the source and be punctuated accordingly.

Academic Honesty and Integrity: Students are responsible for honest completion and representation of their work. Your course catalog details the ethical standards and penalties for infractions. There will be zero tolerance for infractions. If you believe there has been an infraction by someone in the class, please bring it to the instructor's attention. The instructor reserves the right to discipline any student for academic dishonesty, in accordance with the general rules and regulations of the university. Disciplinary action may include the lowering of grades and/or the assignment of a failing grade for an exam, assignment, or the class as a whole.

Incidents of Academic Dishonesty will be reported to the Dean of Students. Sanctions at the University level may include suspension or expulsion from the University.

Refer to the full Academic Honesty Policy at:

http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/Academic_Honesty_Policy.html

Plagiarism

As an educator, it is expected that each candidate (course participant) will do his/her own work, and contribute equally to group projects and processes. Plagiarism or cheating is unacceptable under any circumstances. If you are in doubt about whether your work is paraphrased or plagiarized see the Plagiarism Prevention for Students website http://library.csusm.edu/plagiarism/index.html. If there are questions about academic honesty, please consult the University catalog.

Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations

Students with disabilities who require reasonable accommodations must be approved for services by providing appropriate and recent documentation to the Office of Disabled Student Services (DSS). This office is located in Craven Hall 4300, and can be contacted by phone at (760) 750-4905, or TTY (760) 750-4909. Students authorized by DSS to receive reasonable accommodations should meet with their instructor during office hours or, in order to ensure confidentiality, in a more private setting.

All University Writing Requirement

This course ensures that the university's minimum 2,500-word per course writing requirement is met through the course assignments, comprehensive assessment report, reflections, and postings for Education Specialist standard.

Course Format

Clinical Practice is conducted in a Field-based environment. Teacher Candidates must be present in a Clinical Practice setting five days a week, full contract hours. This exceed requirements for 7 units.

Necessary Technical Competency Required of Students

This course requires Teacher Candidates to submit assignments electronically using Taskstream.

Contact Information for Technical Support Assistance

Customer support for TaskStream is available online, as well as the CSUSM Help Desk.

Electronic Communication Protocol

Electronic correspondence is a part of your professional interactions. If you need to contact the instructor, e-mail is often the easiest way to do so. It is my intention to respond to all received e-mails in a timely manner. Please be reminded that e-mail and on-line discussions are a very specific form of communication, with their own nuances and etiquette. For instance, electronic messages sent in all upper case (or lower case) letters, major typos, or slang, often communicate more than the sender originally intended. With that said, please be mindful of all e-mail and on-line discussion messages you send to your colleagues, to faculty members in the School of Education, or to persons within the greater educational community. All electronic messages should be crafted with professionalism and care.

Things to consider:

- Would I say in person what this electronic message specifically says?
- How could this message be misconstrued?
- · Does this message represent my highest self?
- Am I sending this electronic message to avoid a face-to-face conversation?

In addition, if there is ever a concern with an electronic message sent to you, please talk with the author in person in order to correct any confusion.