

www.csusm.edu/soe

Course & Section Nos.	EDMX 572	
Course Title	Education Specialist – Clinical Practice: Special Education Settings	
Class Roster No.	20970	
Course Day(s)	Days: To Be Arranged	
Time	Time: To Be Arranged	
Course Location	Off Campus	
Semester / Year	Spring 2018	
Instructor	Mike Norman	
Phone	760-750-4317	
E-Mail	dnorman@csusm.edu	
Office	University Hall	
Office Hours	By Appointment	

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION MISSION & VISION STATEMENT

(Adopted by SOE Governance Community, January 2013)

Vision

To serve the educational needs of local, regional, and global communities, the School of Education advances innovative practice and leadership by generating, embracing, and promoting equitable and creative solutions.

Mission

The mission of the School of Education community is to collaboratively transform education. We:

- Create community through partnerships
- Promote and foster social justice and educational equity
- Advance innovative, student-centered practices
- Inspire reflective teaching and learning
- Conduct purposeful research
- Serve the school, college, university, and community

BASIC TENETS OF OUR CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

- Student-centered education
- Research and theory specific to the program field inform practice
- Connections and links between coursework and application
- Strong engagement between faculty and candidates
- Co-teaching clinical practice
- Culturally responsive pedagogy and socially just outcomes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COURSE DESCRIPTION	3
Education Specialist — Clinical Practice: Special Education Setting	3
Credit Hour Policy Statement	3
CLINICAL PRACTICE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES	3
Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) Competencies	3
Expected Dispositions for the Education Profession	
MATERIALS NEEDED FOR CLASS	
TaskStream Enrollment and Postings	4
SUPERVISION REQUIREMENTS	
Preliminary Education Specialist Clinical Practice Lesson Planning and Delivery Observation Form	7
Education Specialist Credential Candidate Observation of Assessment Administration and Interpretation	
Education Specialist Credential Candidate Observation of Individualized Education Program Meeting	11
Preliminary Education Specialist Clinical Practice Assessment Functional Behavior Assessment and Positiv	ve 🗸
Behavior Intervention Plan	
Candidate and Cooperating Teacher TPE Weekly Conversations and Planning	15
Teacher Candidate Clinical Practice Weekly Reflection and Planning	16
WHAT DOES CO-TEACHING LOOK LIKE? THE FOUR APPROACHES	17
EDMX 572 / EDMX 573 Education Specialist Clinical Practice Weekly Professional Collaboration Log	18
RUBRIC	
Grading Standards	24
Credit/No Credit	24
Final Exam Statement	24
PROFESSIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS	24
Conditions for Removal from School Site	25
GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS	
Authorization to Teach English Learners	25
School of Education Attendance Policy – Clinical Practice	
CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy	25
Plagiarism	25
Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations Error! Bookmark not defi	ined.
All University Writing Requirement	
Course Format	
Necessary Technical Competency Required of Students	26
Contact Information for Technical Support Assistance	26
Electronic Communication Protocol	26

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Education Specialist — Clinical Practice: Special Education Setting

Observations and teaching in special education settings in selected schools under the supervision of a credentialed special education teacher and university supervisor. Includes participation in a student teaching seminar. *May not be taken for credit by students who have received credit for EDMX 562 OR EDMS 571. Prerequisite: Successful completion of program prescribed coursework.*

Credit Hour Policy Statement

This Clinical Practice course is comprised of doing fieldwork at either elementary, middle or high school settings, under the supervision of a Cooperating Teacher and a University Supervisor.

- Clinical Practice in the Mild and Moderate areas is comprised of a minimum of 50 days of which 10 days
 must be full time assuming all of the duties of being a special educator.
- Students are expected to spend a minimum of 7 to 10 hours per week outside of the field experience in preparation to teach students.

CLINICAL PRACTICE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

The credential candidate will:

- assess and instruct students of various ages (kindergarten to 22 years of age) and cultural/linguistic backgrounds with mild/moderate disabilities (autism spectrum disorders, specific learning disabilities, mild to moderate intellectual disability, emotional disturbance, and other health impairments).
- demonstrate successful performance of the Mild/Moderate Education Specialist Teaching Performance Expectations as assessed using the *Cal State San Marcos Mild/Moderate Teaching Performance Expectations Clinical Practice Assessment* observation form.

Student learning outcome assessment methods:

Observations by university supervisor and cooperating teacher of clinical performance objectives and the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing (CCTC) Education Specialist Program Standards and Education Specialist Teaching Performance Expectations (ES TPEs).

Completion of an Individualized Transition Development Plan (ITDP) summarizing strengths and continued professional growth regarding the ES TPEs. The ITDP is developed with input from the candidate's University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher and is approved and signed by the candidate, the candidate's university supervisor, and the Education Specialist credential program coordinator or designee.

Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) Competencies

The course objectives, assignments, and assessments have been aligned with the CTC standards for Mild/Moderate or Moderate/Severe Credentials. This course is designed to help teachers seeking a California teaching credential to develop the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary to assist schools and district in implementing effective programs for all students. The successful candidate will be able to merge theory and practice in order to realize a comprehensive and extensive educational program for all students. Teacher Candidates will be required to formally address the following Mild/Moderate TPEs in this course:

Mild/Moderate:

- Specific Pedagogical Skills for Subject Matter Instruction
- Monitoring Student Learning During Instruction
- Use of Assessments, Interpretation and Reporting
- Making Content Accessible
- Student Engagement
- Developmentally Appropriate Teaching Practices
- Teaching English Learners

- Learning About Students
- Instructional Planning
- Social Environment
- Professional, Legal and Ethical Obligations
- Professional Growth

Expected Dispositions for the Education Profession

Education is a profession that has, at its core, certain dispositional attributes that must be acquired and developed. Teaching and working with learners of all ages requires not only specific content knowledge and pedagogical skills, but positive attitudes about multiple dimensions of the profession. The School of Education has identified six dispositions that must be evident in teacher candidates: social justice and equity, collaboration, critical thinking, professional ethics, reflective teaching and learning, and life-long learning. These dispositions have observable actions that will be assessed throughout the preparation program. For each dispositional element, there are three levels of performance - *unacceptable*, *initial target*, and *advanced target*. The description and rubric for the three levels of performance offer measurable behaviors and examples.

The assessment is designed to provide candidates with ongoing feedback for their growth in professional dispositions and includes a self-assessment by the candidate. The dispositions and rubric are presented, explained and assessed in one or more designated courses in each program as well as in clinical practice. Based upon assessment feedback candidates will compose a reflection that becomes part of the candidate's Teaching Performance Expectation portfolio. Candidates are expected to meet the level of *initial target* during the program.

MATERIALS NEEDED FOR CLASS

The appropriate Cal State San Marcos Teaching Performance Expectations Clinical Practice Assessment and Individualized Transition Development Plan observation forms, and enrollment in TaskStream.

TaskStream Enrollment and Postings

The School of Education uses TaskStream to manage candidates' TPE, clinical practice, signature assignment, and disposition assessments. Candidates must be enrolled in TaskStream throughout the Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe Education Specialist program(s). Enrollment fees are paid by going to <u>www.taskstream.com</u> and registering for at least one year. Concurrent candidates and candidates completing both the Mild/Moderate and Moderate/Severe credentials should enroll for at least two years.

SUPERVISION REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Each candidate engages in a minimum of **50 days** of supervised clinical practicum in one or more school and/or community setting in which students with mild and moderate disabilities are being educated.
- 2. Each candidate is observed and provides documentation of the clinical experiences described in the TPEs through notations on the Cal State San Marcos Clinical Practice Assessment Mild/Moderate Teaching Performance Expectations observation form.
- 3. Each candidate is formally observed by and meets with a Clinical Practice University Supervisor **at least five times** inclusive of the exit interview to discuss clinical experiences and progress toward meeting Education Specialist Teaching Performance Expectations.
- 4. Each candidate is observed by the University Supervisor:
 - a) delivering formal lessons in a content area (at least 2). For these content lessons, a formal lesson plan using the Clinical Practice Lesson Plan Template (EDMX 572, 671, 672) shall be submitted electronically to the University Supervisor at least 24 hours prior to teaching the lesson.
 - b) conducting a formal assessment utilizing a standardized assessment instrument and submitting a comprehensive assessment report to the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor
 - c. c) conducting an IEP meeting (Triennial or Initial preferred)
 - d. d) analyzes a Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP) and explains involvement in its delivery (See these observation forms in this syllabus)
- 5. Each candidate meets with the Cooperating Teacher to initially examine and discuss TPE elements and how they could/will be met in clinical practice. Throughout clinical practice, the candidate is expected to collect a portfolio of artifacts (e.g., samples of assessments and assessment reports, lessons, IEP plans) to evidence TPE performance. Further in-depth conversations with the Cooperating Teacher regarding TPEs is prompted by the TPE Weekly Conversations and Planning form located in this syllabus. The candidate meets weekly with the Cooperating Teacher to reflect upon clinical experiences and progress toward meeting TPEs. As part of this meeting, the candidate prepares and e-mails to both the Cooperating Teacher and the Teacher Candidate the Clinical Practice Weekly Reflection and Planning form in this syllabus. Meetings between the Cooperating Teacher and the candidate are noted weekly on the Education Specialist Clinical Practice Professional Collaboration Log found in this syllabus.
- 6. Each candidate is formally observed by the Cooperating Teacher a minimum of four times. The University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher determine with the candidate the content focus of these observations, so that they complement the University Supervisor's formal lesson observations. A formal observation and follow-up conference may focus on instruction, assessment, IEP-related planning and implementation activities, and any other job-related responsibilities in which TPEs may be observed (e.g., training/supervising of paraeducators). These observations are noted at each visitation on the Education Specialist Clinical Practice Professional Collaboration Log found in this syllabus. Please see the Clinical Practice Handbook for more detail as to how these observations must be documented.
- 7. Each candidate, in conjunction with the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor, documents collaborative activities among the Education Specialist Teacher Candidate (TC), Cooperating Teacher (CT) and University Supervisor (US) to guide the TC to the successful completion of the Teacher Performance Expectations (TPE) and Professional Dispositional (PD) performance through observations, coaching meetings, written feedback, relevant activities, interactions, and communications. The *Education Specialist Clinical Practice Weekly Professional Collaboration Log* (found in this syllabus) shall be confidentially maintained (in digital or hard copy format) and accessible to all parties throughout the Clinical Practice (CP). Log entries are completed, reviewed, and signed by the TC and CT weekly and by the US at each visitation. The log is collected by the US at the completion of CP.

8. Each candidate produces an **Individualized Transition Development Plan** that summarizes strengths and areas of need for continued professional growth and that is signed by the candidate, the candidate's university supervisor, and the Education Specialist credential program coordinator or designee.

California State	University MARCOS
SCHOOL OF E	
Engaging diverse communities through le	
	www.csusm.edu/soe
Preliminary Education Specialist Clinical Practice Less	on Planning and Delivery Observation Form
Teacher Candidate:	Date:
School Site:	Setting:
Supervisor:	
TPEs Observed: Instruction of S w/IEPs - TPE 1Develop appropriate to	eaching - TPE 6 Social environment - TPE 11
Monitor during instruction - TPE 2Differentiation for ELs	
Interpret & use assessment - TPE 3Learning about studer	nts - TPE 8Reflective teaching & growth - TPE 13
Making content accessible - TPE 4Instructional planning	
Student engagement - TPE 5Instructional time - TP	E 10
TPE 5, 10 & 11: Rapport and Room Environment: (Chec	k observed, add others as seen)
Courteous, positive, active learningClearly stated e	expectationsRespectful interactions
Aware and responsive to students needs	
Comments:	

TPE 1, 4, 8, 9, & 10: Instructional Planning: (Check observed, add others as seen) ____Works as a collaborative team member to plan instruction ____Lessons are based upon Common Core and/or other standards/frameworks ____Instruction based upon assessed knowledge of student(s) Comments:

TPE 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 10: Lesson Presentation/Implementation of Support: (Check observed, add others as seen)

____Uses universal strategies that are effective with a wide range of students ____Provides individualized accommodations, modifications, and supports ____Effective use of instructional time

Instruction/feedback/support is clear and consistent ____Materials are organized and used effectively **Comments:**

TPE 1, 6, 9, & 11: Student Motivation and Behavioral Support: (Check observed, add others as seen)
___Implements student's positive behavior support/intervention plan ____Motivates students by connecting to their
interests ___Encourages involvement and excellence ___Provides feedback to student ____Uses reinforcement
and other positive strategies ___Collects and analyses data related to positive behavior support/intervention plan
Comments:



www.csusm.edu/soe

Education Specialist Credential Candidate Observation of Assessment Administration and Interpretation

Organizational/Setting Data:

Teacher Candidate:	Date/Time:
School Site:	Grade Level:
Supervisor:	

Type of Standardized Testing Instrument: (e.g. Woodcock-Johnson IV, WIAT, KTEA-3 etc.)

Content/Level: (Math, Reading Comprehension, other)

Initial/Annual/Triennial/Transition/Other

Purpose of Assessment: (screening, identification, progress monitoring, etc.):

Setting of Assessment: (Describe where administered, physical room conducive for test environment)

Observation of Assessment Administration Skills:

Materials/Tools Present for Assessment:
□ Test Timer
□ Paper
□ Pencil
□ Other items

Time: (Begin – End)

Critical teaching behaviors observed during administration of assessment:

Explanation of test components

Divide test into sections
Inform student of next question
Visual/noise/activity distractions minimized

Professional/Affective Demeanor with student:
Places student at ease
Describes the structure of test
Generation of student during assessment
Monitored breaks as needed
Provides timeframe

Interpretation of Assessment Skills:

Scoring/Compilation of data: Understands measurement components Scores w/o bias Plots scores correctly

Written Analysis of Results (Comprehensive Assessment Report): □Accurate, complete, objective language used □Avoids jargon □Includes strengths

Synthesizing data from this test with other assessment(s) elements into to written report for IEP form:

- Accuracy of all findings Includes ELL when indicated Thoughtful interpretation Follows logical sequence
- Sensitive to legal aspects Includes possible limitations of assessment

Reflection and Skill Refinement Recommendations:

Potential Goals for candidate:

Additional Supervisor Remarks:

Review/Debrief Meeting Verification Signatures:

Teacher Candidate Signature:	Date:	
University Supervisor Signature:	Date:	



www.csusm.edu/soe

Education Specialist Credential Candidate Observation of Individualized Education Program Meeting Observation Setting Data:

0	ndidate: I Name: bserver osition:			Date/Time of Mtg.: District:	
Type of meeting:	Initial	□ Annual	□Triennial	□ Other Type/Specify:	
IEP Team Attende	es (List nam	nes / positions o	f each):		
:					

Introduction & Agenda Details Observed (as handled by candidate):

Introductions of all and description of purpose of meeting described
 Time parameters reviewed
 Procedural Safeguards discussed
 Copy of Agenda provided to all
 Copy of assessment results available for parent(s)
 Reviewed page 1 w/parent(s) /teachers for accurate information
 Used jargon-free language throughout
 Maintained eye contact w all members of IEP team
 Interpreter seated at next to parent(s) to translate as needed

Observations/Recommendations:

•

Meeting Component Delivery Observations (as handled by candidate):

Assessment Review • Present levels of performance reviewed and interpreted w/o jargon. • Assessments described and interpreted for understanding by all • Questions regarding assessment process encouraged/answered

Comments/Recommendations:

Recommendations Developed by Team • Description of Supplementary aids and services as needed • Measurable annual goals established and agreed upon by all present. • Benchmarks and/or progress monitoring methods established • Student inclusion discussed in areas of • general education inclusion • extracurricular activities • non-academic activity recommendations

Comments/Recommendations:

- •

Service Delivery Model & Placement • Assessment accommodations provided/described if needed • Supplemental Aids/assistive technology described/provided if needed • Other supplemental services described as needed • Group decision regarding placement • Clear descriptions of services provided in specific placement options • All information in IEP accurately explained • Checked for parental understanding throughout meeting • Parent(s) part of IEP Team discussion, not spectator(s)

Comments/Recommendations:

•

Next Steps & Closing Signatures • Description of timeline for service delivery to begin; where and how managed • Team Meeting Notes read back to parent(s) prior to obtaining signatures • Offer of FAPE included and documented in Team Meeting Notes page • All signatures obtained at close of meeting • Parent(s) provided copy of IEP documents at close of meeting (not next day)

Comments/Recommendations:

• _____

Overall IEP Team Meeting Administration & Management Observations:

Facilitation of meeting • confidence level • knowledge of material • professional demeanor
• sensitivity to confidential concerns • Handled ethical points of concern appropriately • Placed IEP team members at ease

Comments/Recommendations:

•

Candidate strengths/competencies demonstrated during meeting:

- •

Cooperating Teacher or other IEP Team Professional Observations/Comments:

Verification of IEP Competency Signatures:

Credential Candidate/Print Name	Credential Candidate Signature	Date
Cooperating Teacher/Print Name	Cooperating Teacher Signature	Date
University Supervisor/Print Name	University Supervisor Signature	Date
*Next Observation of IEP Meeting as I	required by School or Supervisor:	Date Time
Specific administration and delivery step	s and competencies to be addressed:	
•		
•		



www.csusm.edu/soe

Preliminary Education Specialist Clinical Practice Assessment Functional Behavior Assessment and Positive Behavior Intervention Plan

Teacher Candidate:	Date:	
School Site:	Setting:	
Cooperating Teacher:	Supervisor:	

Does the FBA/PBIP have these features?

PART I - GENERAL STUDENT AND TEAM INFORMATION

- 1. _____ Student Information Identified
- 2. _____ Behavior of Concern (Briefly Stated)
- 3. _____ Reasons for Developing Behavior Support Plan Identified
- 4. _____ "Global" Contextual Background Information is Complete
- 5. _____ Assessment Techniques and Methods Use to Examine and Analyze Behavior are Determined

PART II - FUNCTIONAL BEHAVIORAL ASSESSMENT

- 6. ____ Setting Events/Antecedents are Identified
- 7. ____ Specific Behavior (Operationally Defined) and Baseline Data Identified
- 8. ____ Consequences Identified
- 9. _____ Hypothesized Purpose of Behavior Identified
- 10. _____ Rationale for Hypothesized Function of Behavior is Identified

PART III - POSITIVE BEHAVIOR INTERVENTION PLAN DEVELOPMENT

- 11. Intervention/Prevention Strategies (make problem behavior irrelevant)
 - _____ Setting Event Strategies
 - _____ Setting Event Strategies Address Hypothesized Function
 - _____ Antecedent Strategies
 - _____ Antecedent Strategies Address Hypothesized Function
- 12. Intervention/Prevention Strategies (make problem behavior inefficient and replacement behavior effective)
 - _____Teaching and Reinforcement of Replacement Behavior/Skills Identified
 - _____Teaching and Reinforcement of Replacement Behavior/Skills Address Hypothesized Function
 - _____ Strategies to Alter Consequences Identified
 - _____ Strategies to Alter Consequences Address Hypothesized Function
- 13. Intervention/Foundational Issues to Improve Quality of Life
 - Strategies to Improve Quality of Life Identified
 - _____ Strategies to Improve Quality of Life Address Hypothesized Function
- 14. ____ Evaluation and Monitoring System Identified
- 15.____ People Involved and Responsibilities Identified
- 16. _____ Follow-Up Timeline Determined

Teacher Candidate Involvement in PBIP Design and Delivery:

Supervisor Observations and Remarks:

Teacher Candidate Signature:	Date:	
University Supervisor Signature:	 Date:	
Date/Time of Next Observation/Visitation:		



www.csusm.edu/soe

University Supervisor (US):

Candidate and Cooperating Teacher TPE Weekly Conversations and Planning

Cooperating Teacher (CT):

Teacher Candidate:	
Cooperating Teacher:	
University Supervisor:	
	Education Specialist Mild/Moderate Clinical Practice
	Teacher Candidate and Cooperating Teacher TPE Weekly Conversations and Planning

TPE Instructions: To ensure that both the candidate and the Cooperating Teacher have a clear and shared understanding of each TPE expectation and that they expressly discuss how each TPE could be demonstrated by the candidate in the clinical setting, the following timetable is recommended for examining and discussing TPE items on the *Education Specialist TPE Clinical Practice Assessment*. Please indicate the week and date of clinical

practice that each set of TPEs is examined. Please pay particular attention to the noted TPE elements, which have been identified as high-priority topics for research, discussion, and application in clinical practice.

	00	k/Date Examined
TPE 1: Specific Pedagogical Skills for Subject Matter Instructio		
TPE 2: Monitoring Student Learning During Instruction	Week 1	
TPE 3: Interpretation and Use of Assessments	Week 2	
TPE 3.1 Know how to assess and identify students whose	cultural, ethnic,	
gender, or linguistic differences may be confused with a d	isability	
TPE 4: Making Content Accessible	Week 2	
TPE 5: Student Engagement	Week 2	
TPE 6: Developmentally Appropriate Teaching Practices	Week 3	
TPE 7: Teaching English Learners	Week 3	
TPE 7.2 Using ELD principles/practices, has students exp	ress understanding	
in a variety of ways and uses primary language resources		
students' primary language skills, paraeducators) to devel		
language and comprehension and knowledge of core curr		
TPE 8: Learning about Students	Week 3	
TPE 9: Instructional Planning	Week 4	
TPE 9.3 Effectively trains, supervises, and/or uses para		
other personnel (e.g., related service providers,		
students achieve goals		
TPE 10: Instructional Time	Week 4	
TPE 11: Social Environment	Week 4	
TPE 11.4 Knows how to develop and implement a Positive		
an individual student contract, and participate in school-wi	•	
TPE 12: Professional, Legal, and Ethical Obligations	Week 5	
TPE 12.3 Knows and uses district guidelines to report sus	pected	
cases of child abuse, neglect, or sexual harassment		
TPE 13: Professional Growth	Week 5	

Candidate TC:



www.csusm.edu/soe

Teacher Candidate Clinical Practice Weekly Reflection and Planning

Directions: On Friday of each week of clinical practice, please complete and send this form to your University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher. Be sure to discuss the content of the reflection with your Cooperating Teacher in your weekly reflection and planning meeting.

Name:	Week Date						
1.	1. This week the TPEs that I focused on were:						
2.	An "aha" moment in my teaching this week was:						
3.	I felt most confident and competent with my skills when:						
4.	My biggest challenge this week was:						
5.	The co-teaching approaches my Cooperating Teacher (CT) and I used this week were:						
Sı	upportiveI ledCT led						
Pa	arallelI led planningWe jointly plannedMy CT planned						
C	omplementaryI led, my CT complementedMy CT led, I complemented						
Те	Guided by CTWe jointly planned & delivered instruction						
Questio	ons I have; help I would like:						
Reques	sted focus of next observation/meeting:						
·	TPEs (specify):						
	Co-teaching planning &/or implementation Instructional strategies						
	Classroom management/support Differentiation of instruction						
Individualized Accommodations and Modifications							
	Supporting/Co-teaching with Paraeducators Positive Behavior Supports						
	Other (specify):						



WHAT DOES CO-TEACHING LOOK LIKE? THE FOUR APPROACHES

Co-teaching has many faces. Teachers experienced in teaching in diverse classrooms report using four approaches to co-teaching – supportive, parallel, complementary, and team.

SUPPORTIVE

Supportive co-teaching is when one teacher takes the lead instructional role and the other(s) rotates among the students providing support. The co-teacher(s) taking the supportive role watches and listens as students work together, stepping in to provide one-to-one tutorial assistance when necessary while the other co-teacher continues to direct the lesson. Teachers new to co-teaching or who are short of planning time often begin with this approach.

PARALLEL

Parallel co-teaching is when two or more people work with different groups of students in different sections of the classroom. Co-teachers may rotate among the groups; and, sometimes there may be one group of students that works without a co-teacher for at least part of the time. Teachers new to co-teaching often begin with this approach. Key to parallel co-teaching is that each co-teacher eventually works with every student in the class.

COMPLEMENTARY

Complementary co-teaching is when co-teachers do something to enhance the instruction provided by the other co-teacher(s). For example one co-teacher might paraphrase the other co-teacher's statements or model note-taking skills on a transparency. Sometimes, one of the complementary co-teaching partners pre-teaches the small group social skill roles required for successful cooperative group learning and then monitors as students practice the roles during the lesson taught by the other co-teacher. As co-teachers gain in confidence and acquire knowledge and skills from one another, complementary co-teaching becomes a preferred approach.

TEAM

Team co-teaching is when two or more people do what the traditional teacher has always done – plan, teach, assess, and assume responsibility for all of the students in the classroom. Team co-teachers share leadership and responsibility in planning. When instruction, they simultaneously deliver lessons and are comfortable alternately taking the lead and being in the support or complementary role. Team co-teachers share lessons in ways that allow students to experience each teacher's expertise. For example, for a lesson on inventions in science, one co-teacher with interests is history might guide students to examine the impact of inventions on *society* at the time. The other, whose strengths are with the mechanisms involved, might explain and guide students in learning *how* the inventions work.

REMEMBER: The test of any successful co-teaching partnership is that the students view each teacher as equally knowledgeable and credible.

FROM: Villa, Thousand, & Nevin (2013). A guide to co-teaching: New lessons and strategies to facilitate student learning (3rd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.



EDMX 572 / EDMX 573 EDUCATION SPECIALIST CLINICAL PRACTICE WEEKLY PROFESSIONAL COLLABORATION LOG

This log is designed to document collaborative activities among the Education Specialist Teacher Candidate (TC), Cooperating Teacher (CT) and University Supervisor (US) to guide the TC to successful Teacher Performance Expectation (TPE) and Professional Dispositional (PD) performance through observations, coaching meetings, written feedback, and relevant activities, interactions, and communications. The log shall be confidentially maintained (in digital or hard copy format) and accessible to all parties throughout the Clinical Practice (CP). Log entries are completed, reviewed, and signed by the TC and SP weekly and by the US at each visitation. The log is collected by the US at the completion of CP.

	r Candidate (Name):	Cooperating Teacher (Name):	University Supervisor (Name):
campus Nov. 14 th (e.g., pla assessm collabora the SP.	Candidate Responsibilities: Sign in/out on daily. By week, note the dates & days of CP (e.g., – 18 th , Days #14-#19 of CP). Document activities nning for instruction, instruction, formal/informal ent, IEP involvement, co-teaching, meetings, ting with staff, other work duties) guided/directed by	Cooperating Teacher Responsibilities: G TC's TPE and PD growth. Document collab activities (e.g. modeling, formal and informa observations, coaching and feedback meeti supporting the TC's progress in planning, in assessment, IEP & family involvement, PD, achievement.	orative alCT and SP to log and use. Sign in/out each campus visit. Review log entries since last visit. Document observations, meetings, and other activities with the TC and SP regarding the TC's PDs & progress toward TPE achievement.
	Teacher Candidate Directions: Briefly	Cooperating Teacher Directions: CHECK	
Days of CP	list/describe clinical activities as suggested above and TPE foci during this time period.	collaboration that occurs during the designa period. Document weekly reflective and plar	
		meetings and a minimum of 4 formal observ	vations. exit meeting, and additional communications by week.
		□ Informal Observation(s) of:	Date: Signature:
		(Activities, TPEs)) Observation of:
		(Activities, TPI	Es) (Note Activities, TPEs)
		□ Meeting(s) re:	□ Conference w/TC re:
			opics, TPEs)
		□ Modeling/Coaching re:	Met with SP (Activities, TPEs) Decrea e mail ether communications:
		(Activities, T	PEs)
			(Activities)
			ure of TC:
		□ Informal Observation(s) of:	Date: Signature:
		(Activities, TPEs)) Observation of:
		(Activities, TPI	Es) (Note Activities, TPEs)
		□ Meeting(s) re:	□ Conference w/TC re:
			ppics, TPEs)
		Modeling/Coaching re: (Activities, T	
		□ Other (Briefly describe):	
			(Activities)
		Signature of CT: Signat	ure of TC:

Teacher Candidate Responsibilities: Sign in/out on campus daily. By week, note the dates & days of CP (e.g., Nov. 14 th – 18 th , Days #14-#19 of CP). Document activities (e.g., planning for instruction, instruction, formal/informal assessment, IEP involvement, co-teaching, meetings, collaborating with staff, other work duties) guided/directed by the SP.		Cooperating Teacher Responsibilities: Guide the TC's TPE and PD growth. Document collaborative activities (e.g. modeling, formal and informal observations, coaching and feedback meetings) supporting the TC's progress in planning, instruction, assessment, IEP & family involvement, PD, & TPE achievement.	University Supervisor Responsibilities: Orient CT and SP to log and use. Sign in/out each campus visit. Review log entries since last visit. Document observations, meetings, and other activities with the TC and SP regarding the TC's PDs & progress toward TPE achievement.		
Days of	Teacher Candidate Directions: Briefly list/describe clinical activities as suggested above and TPE foci during this time period.	Cooperating Teacher Directions: CHECK ALL collaboration that occurs during the designated time period. Document weekly reflective and planning meetings and a minimum of 4 formal observations.	University Supervisor Directions: Document initial orientation, a minimum of 4 formal observations, the post-observation conferences, exit meeting, and additional communications by		
		□ Informal Observation(s) of: (Activities, TPEs)	week. Date: Signature: Observation of:		
		Formal Observation of: (Activities, TPEs) Meeting(s) re: (Tanica, TPEs)	(Note Activities, TPEs)		
		(Topics, TPEs)	 Met with SP (Activities, TPEs) Phone, e-mail, other communications: 		
		Other (Briefly describe): Signature of CT: Signature of TC:	(Activities)		
		Informal Observation(s) of: (Activities, TPEs)	Date: Signature: Observation of: Obser		
		 Formal Observation of: (Activities, TPEs) Meeting(s) re: 	(Note Activities, TPEs)		
		(Topics, TPEs (Activities, TPEs))		
		 Other (Briefly describe): Signature of CT: Signature of TC: 	(Activities)		
		Informal Observation(s) of: (Activities, TPEs)	Date: Signature:		
		 Formal Observation of: (Activities, TPEs) Meeting(s) re: 	(Note Activities, TPEs)		
		(Topics, TPEs))		
		□ Other (Briefly describe): Signature of CT: Signature of TC:	(Activities)		

Teacher Candidate Responsibilities: Sign in/out on campus daily. By week, note the dates & days of CP (e.g., Nov. 14 th – 18 th , Days #14-#19 of CP). Document activities (e.g., planning for instruction, instruction, formal/informal assessment, IEP involvement, co-teaching, meetings, collaborating with staff, other work duties) guided/directed by the SP.		Cooperating Teacher Responsibilities: Guide the TC's TPE and PD growth. Document collaborative activities (e.g. modeling, formal and informal observations, coaching and feedback meetings) supporting the TC's progress in planning, instruction, assessment, IEP & family involvement, PD, & TPE achievement.	University Supervisor Responsibilities: Orient CT and SP to log and use. Sign in/out each campus visit. Review log entries since last visit. Document observations, meetings, and other activities with the TC and SP regarding the TC's PDs & progress toward TPE achievement.		
Days of	Teacher Candidate Directions: Briefly list/describe clinical activities as suggested above and TPE foci during this time period.	Cooperating Teacher Directions: CHECK ALL collaboration that occurs during the designated time period. Document weekly reflective and planning meetings and a minimum of 4 formal observations.	University Supervisor Directions: Document initial orientation, a minimum of 4 formal observations, the post-observation conferences, exit meeting, and additional communications by week.		
		□ Informal Observation(s) of: (Activities, TPEs)	Date: Signature:		
		Formal Observation of: (Activities, TPEs) Meeting(s) re: (Tables, TPEs)	(Note Activities, TPEs)		
		(Topics, TPEs □ Modeling/Coaching re: (Activities, TPEs)	 Met with SP (Activities, TPEs) Phone, e-mail, other communications: 		
		Other (Briefly describe): Signature of CT: Signature of TC:	(Activities)		
		Informal Observation(s) of: (Activities, TPEs)	Date: Signature: Observation of: Obser		
		 Formal Observation of: (Activities, TPEs) Meeting(s) re: 	(Note Activities, TPEs)		
		(Topics, TPEs)		
		Other (Briefly describe):Signature of CT: Signature of TC:	(Activities)		
		Informal Observation(s) of: (Activities, TPEs)	Date: Signature:		
		 Formal Observation of: (Activities, TPEs) Meeting(s) re: 	(Note Activities, TPEs)		
		(Topics, TPEs (Activities, TPEs))		
		Other (Briefly describe): Signature of CT: Signature of TC:	(Activities)		

Teacher Candidate Responsibilities: Sign in/out on campus daily. By week, note the dates & days of CP (e.g., Nov. 14 th – 18 th , Days #14-#19 of CP). Document activities (e.g., planning for instruction, instruction, formal/informal assessment, IEP involvement, co-teaching, meetings, collaborating with staff, other work duties) guided/directed by the SP.		Cooperating Teacher Responsibilities: Guide the TC's TPE and PD growth. Document collaborative activities (e.g. modeling, formal and informal observations, coaching and feedback meetings) supporting the TC's progress in planning, instruction, assessment, IEP & family involvement, PD, & TPE achievement.		University Supervisor Responsibilities: Orient CT and SP to log and use. Sign in/out each campus visit. Review log entries since last visit. Document observations, meetings, and other activities with the TC and SP regarding the TC's PDs & progress toward TPE achievement.		
Days of	Teacher Candidate Directions: Briefly list/describe clinical activities as suggested above and TPE foci during this time period.	Cooperating Teacher Directions: CHECK ALL collaboration that occurs during the designated time period. Document weekly reflective and planning meetings and a minimum of 4 formal observations.		University Supervisor Directions: Document initial orientation, a minimum of 4 formal observations, the post-observation conferences, exit meeting, and additional communications by week.		
		□ Informal Observation(s) o	f:	Date:	Signature:	
		(Activities, TPEs)	Observation of:		
		□ Formal Observation of:	(Activities, TPEs)		(Note Activities, TPEs)	
		Meeting(s) re:	_ (Activities, TFES)	□ Conference w/TC re:		
		g(c) ! c:	(Topics, TPEs)			
		Modeling/Coaching re:		Met with SP	(Activities, TPEs)	
			(Activities, TPEs)	□ Phone, e-mail, other of	communications:	
		□ Other (Briefly describe):			(Activities)	
		Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(//0/////03)	
		Informal Observation(s) o	<u> </u>	Date:	Signature:	
		(Activities, TPEs)	Observation of:		
		□ Formal Observation of:			(Note Activities, TPEs)	
		☐ Meeting(s) re:	_(Activities, TPEs)	□ Conference w/TC re:	(Note Activities, TPES)	
		\square Meeting(s) ie.	(Topics, TPEs)			
		☐ Modeling/Coaching re:	(10p100; 11 20)	☐ Met with SP	(Activities, TPEs)	
			(Activities, TPEs)	□ Phone, e-mail, other of	communications:	
		□ Other (Briefly describe):				
		Signature of CT:	Signature of TC:		(Activities)	
			Signature of TC.	l		

Definition of Terms: For the purposes of this log, the term, *informal*, means that communication with the TC is primarily verbal and formative in nature. The term, *formal*, means that communication with the TC is in written as well as verbal form and that it also is evaluative (e.g., provides feedback on progress) in nature. Please find formal observation and meeting forms at http://www.csusm.edu/education/ClinicalPractice/HandbookSPED.html

Additional Note: This log is designed to accommodate a 10-week (50-day) period, For the weeks of clinical practice that exceed 10 weeks, please record activities for the additional weeks on a copy of page 2 of this log.



www.csusm.edu/soe

RUBRIC

The following rubric describes the timeframe, conditions, and expected evaluated behaviors and artifacts for each of the 4 performance levels on the *CSUSM Mild/Moderate ES TPE Clinical Practice Assessment* instrument. It clarifies the performance standard or criteria represented by the Does Not Meet (1), Approaching (2), Meets (3), and Exceptional (4) clinical rating for a TPE element on the 4-point rating scale. The University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher can independently use this rubric and then confer as to the appropriate rating on a TPE element for a candidate they both are mentoring. A candidate must earn at least a Meets (3) score on each TPE element to get credit for the element in clinical practice.

Does Not Meet (1)	Approaching (2)	Meets (3) (the standard)	Exceptional (4)
(the standard)	(meeting the standard)	Meets the standard as	Performs the standard as
Requires significant more	Understands but requires	stated in the ES TPE at	stated in the ES TPE at an
understanding, instruction,	additional coaching or	the level of a novice	exceptional level, well
and/or experience	clarification	teacher	beyond novice
Given the timeframe of the	Given the timeframe of the	Given the timeframe of the	Given the timeframe of the
clinical practice	clinical practice	clinical practice	clinical practice
experience (i.e., 50 days	experience (i.e., 50 days	experience (i.e., 50 days	experience (i.e., 50 days
for Mild/Moderate clinical	for Mild/Moderate clinical	for Mild/Moderate clinical	for Mild/Moderate clinical
practice and 35 days for	practice and 35 days for	practice and 35 days for	practice and 35 days for
Moderate/Severe clinical	Moderate/Severe clinical	Moderate/Severe clinical	Moderate/Severe clinical
practice) and the	practice) and the	practice) and the	practice) and the
modeling, guided practice,	modeling, guided practice,	modeling, guided practice,	modeling, guided practice,
and coaching provided by	and coaching provided by	and coaching provided by	and coaching provided by
the Cooperating Teacher	the Cooperating Teacher	the Cooperating Teacher	the Cooperating Teacher
and University Supervisor,	and University Supervisor,	and University Supervisor,	and University Supervisor,
either or both the	either or both the	both the University	both the University
University Supervisor	University Supervisor	Supervisor and	Supervisor and
and/or Cooperating	and/or Cooperating	Cooperating Teacher	Cooperating Teacher
Teacher's assess via their	Teacher assess via their	assess via their	assess via their
observations and/or	observations and/or	observations and	observations and
examination of the	examination of the	examination of the	examination of the
artifacts (e.g., assessment	artifacts (e.g., assessment	artifacts (e.g., assessment	artifacts (e.g., assessment
report, observation report,	report, observation report,	report, observation report,	report, observation report,
lesson plans) provided by	lesson plans) provided by	lesson plans) provided by	lesson plans) provided by
the candidate for the given	the candidate for the given	the candidate for the given	the candidate for the given
TPE element leads to the	TPE element that the	TPE element that there is	TPE element that there is
conclusion that the	candidate:	adequate evidence to	ample evidence to
candidate:	1) has demonstrated, but	conclude that the	conclude that the
1) has demonstrates the	not yet consistently ,	candidate:	candidate:
knowledge and/or	the knowledge and/or	1) has consistently	1) has consistently
practice articulated in	practice articulated in	demonstrated the	demonstrated the
the TPE element	the TPE element	knowledge and/or	knowledge and/or
criterion with little	criterion and requires	practice articulated in	practice articulated in
frequency and will	and will benefit from	the TPE element	the TPE element
require extensive	further modeling,	criterion with minimal	criterion without need
modeling, coaching,	coaching, and/or	need for further	for further modeling,
instruction, and	clarification to achieve	modeling, coaching or	coaching or
clinical experience to	the criterion	clarification	clarification

		1					
	potentially achieve the		performance	2)	has provided	2)	has provided multiple
	criterion performance	2)	has provided some ,		evidences that are (a)		evidences that are
2)	has provided few or		but not yet sufficient,		relevant examples,		clearly (a) relevant
	no evidences that are		evidences that are (a)		(b) appropriate to the		examples, (b)
	assessed as (a)		relevant examples, (b)		content of the TPE		appropriate to the
	relevant examples, (b)		appropriate to the		element, and (c)		content of the TPE
	appropriate to the		content of the TPE		adequate to		element, and (c)
	content of the TPE		element, and (c)		demonstrate the		adequate to
	element, or (c)		adequate to		criterion as stated in		demonstrate the
	adequate to		demonstrate the		the TPE element		criterion as stated in
	demonstrate the		criterion as stated in	3)	can describe and		the TPE element
	criterion as stated in		the TPE element	,	explain, with little to	3)	can independently
	the TPE element	3)	requires some		no prompting, ways	,	(without prompting)
3)	even when provided	- /	prompting, when asked		in which the provided		describe and explain
-,	with prompting and		to describe and explain		artifact(s) and/or		in detail ways in which
	direct questioning,		ways in which the		his/her assessment,		the provided artifact(s)
	has difficulty		provided artifact(s)		instruction, decision-		and/or his/her
	identifying ways in		and/or his/her		making, and		assessment.
	which the provided		assessment,		collaborative		instruction. decision-
	artifact(s) and/or		instruction, decision-		behaviors illustrate		making, and
	his/her assessment,		making, and		performance of the		collaborative
	instruction, decision-		collaborative behaviors		TPE element's		behaviors illustrate
					criterion		performance of the
	making, and collaborative		illustrate performance of the TPE element's		CITEROT		TPE element's
	behaviors illustrate		criterion				criterion
	performance of the						
	TPE element's						
	criterion						

GRADING STANDARDS

Credit/No Credit

- 1. The candidate's University Supervisor, in collaboration with the Cooperating Teacher, prepares a Summary Form for EDMX Clinical Practice 572, based on observations and clinical practice experiences. This also includes the Cooperating Teacher's and may include the teacher candidate's feedback. The Teacher Performance Expectations are submitted, digitally, to the Cooperating Teacher and University Supervisor by the teacher candidate. The University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher collaborate and score the completed TPEs. When completed, the TPEs are presented to the teacher candidate at the exit meeting and all participants sign the documents. These documents serve as official verification of successful completion of Clinical Practice and are required for the University to be able to recommend a candidate for a credential at the end of the program.
- 2. A grade of CREDIT (CR) or NO CREDIT (NC) will be assigned for clinical practice experiences by the University Supervisor. If a credential candidate has not successfully met the Education Specialist Teacher Performance Expectations at an appropriate level, the candidate may be required to extend or repeat the experience.
- 3. If a candidate is unsuccessful in a clinical practice experience, a grade of NO CREDIT will be given. Granting of an additional opportunity for clinical practice will be made based on the circumstances under which the original NO CREDIT was given.
- 4. Should a candidate be in the potential situation of receiving NO CREDIT for clinical practice, the University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher <u>must complete a Statement of Concern (SOC)</u> as soon as possible and provide copies to the Program Coordinator. The documentation in the SOC, the action plan, and the follow up steps to the plan are key documents that are used to verify inadequate performance in clinical practice, if the action plan is not achieved.
- 5. Should a second clinical practice experience be recommended, the candidate must re-register for the clinical practice course prior to the new placement being made.

Final Exam Statement

EDMX 572 does not include a final examination. Ongoing assessment of the teacher candidate is completed by the University Supervisor.

PROFESSIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

- 1. "Person-first" language (e.g., "Student with Down Syndrome" rather than "Down Syndrome student") must be used throughout all written and oral assignments and discussions.
- 2. Word process all written plans for treatment and assessment. Keep an electronic copy of all of your work. You will want these for your records and for potential future use as professional portfolio entries.
- 3. Complete and submit all clinical experience documentation on the due dates for full credit. If you have extraordinary circumstances that impact timely submission, inform the university supervisor. Any time that you have questions or concerns, please contact the university supervisor immediately.
- 4. Profession behavior is expected at all school and community sites with educational and program personnel, students, families, and supervisory personnel.
- 5. Academic Honesty Policy and Plagiarism. Students are expected to adhere to standards of academic honesty and integrity, as outlined in the Student Academic Honesty Policy. All assignments must be original work, clear and error-free. All ideas/material that are borrowed from other sources must have appropriate references to the original sources. Any quoted material should give credit to the source and be punctuated accordingly. The instructor reserves the right to discipline any student for academic dishonesty, in accordance with the general rules and regulations of the university. Disciplinary action may include the lowering of a grade, a failing grade for the assignment or the class as a whole, or dismissal from the program or university.

Conditions for Removal from School Site

A candidate will be removed from the school site and a Statement of Concern documenting the situation will be written immediately if a candidate:

- 1. endangers students or others;
- 2. violates recognized codes of conduct, e.g. CSUSM Student Code of Conduct, CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy NEA Code of Ethics, CA Education Code Section 44932; and/or
- 3. is dismissed from the classroom or school site by the cooperating professional or site or district administrator.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Authorization to Teach English Learners

This credential program has been specifically designed to prepare teachers for the diversity of languages often encountered in California public school classrooms. The authorization to teach English learners is met through the infusion of content and experiences within the credential program, as well as additional coursework. Candidates successfully completing this program receive a credential with authorization to teach English learners. *(Approved by CCTC in SB 2042 Program Standards, August 02)*

School of Education Attendance Policy – Clinical Practice

All teacher candidates are expected to be present at their assigned school site as scheduled by program requirements and the specific school site calendar and teaching contract. Should the teacher candidate have extenuating circumstances, s/he should contact the University Supervisor and Program Coordinator as soon as possible.

CSUSM Academic Honesty Policy

Students will be expected to adhere to standards of academic honesty and integrity, as outlined in the Student Academic Honesty Policy. All assignments must be original work, clear and error-free. All ideas/material that are borrowed from other sources must have appropriate references to the original sources. Any quoted material should give credit to the source and be punctuated accordingly.

Academic Honesty and Integrity: Students are responsible for honest completion and representation of their work. Your course catalog details the ethical standards and penalties for infractions. There will be zero tolerance for infractions. If you believe there has been an infraction by someone in the class, please bring it to the instructor's attention. The instructor reserves the right to discipline any student for academic dishonesty, in accordance with the general rules and regulations of the university. Disciplinary action may include the lowering of grades and/or the assignment of a failing grade for an exam, assignment, or the class as a whole.

Incidents of Academic Dishonesty will be reported to the Dean of Students. Sanctions at the University level may include suspension or expulsion from the University.

Refer to the full Academic Honesty Policy at: http://www.csusm.edu/policies/active/documents/Academic_Honesty_Policy.html

<u>Plagiarism</u>

As an educator, it is expected that each candidate (course participant) will do his/her own work, and contribute equally to group projects and processes. Plagiarism or cheating is unacceptable under any circumstances. If you are in doubt about whether your work is paraphrased or plagiarized see the Plagiarism Prevention for Students website http://library.csusm.edu/plagiarism/index.html. If there are questions about academic honesty, please consult the University catalog.

Students with Disabilities Requiring Reasonable Accommodations

Students with disabilities who require reasonable accommodations must seek approval for services by providing appropriate and recent documentation to the Office of Disability Support Services (DSS). This office is in Craven Hall 4300, contact by phone at (760) 750-4905, or TTY (760) 750-4909. Students authorized by DSS to receive reasonable accommodations should meet with their instructor during office hours. Alternatively, in order to ensure confidentiality, in a more private setting.

All University Writing Requirement

This course ensures that the university's minimum 2,500-word per course writing requirement is met through the course assignments, comprehensive assessment report, reflections, and postings for Education Specialist standard.

Course Format

Clinical Practice is conducted in a Field-based environment. Teacher Candidates must be present in a Clinical Practice setting five days a week, full contract hours. This exceed requirements for 7 units.

Necessary Technical Competency Required of Students

This course requires Teacher Candidates to submit assignments electronically using Taskstream.

Contact Information for Technical Support Assistance

Customer support for TaskStream is available online, as well as the CSUSM Help Desk.

Electronic Communication Protocol

Electronic correspondence is a part of your professional interactions. If you need to contact the instructor, e-mail is often the easiest way to do so. It is my intention to respond to all received e-mails in a timely manner. Please be reminded that e-mail and on-line discussions are a very specific form of communication, with their own nuances and etiquette. For instance, electronic messages sent in all upper case (or lower case) letters, major typos, or slang, often communicate more than the sender originally intended. With that said, please be mindful of all e-mail and on-line discussion messages you send to your colleagues, to faculty members in the School of Education, or to persons within the greater educational community. All electronic messages should be crafted with professionalism and care.

Things to consider:

- Would I say in person what this electronic message specifically says?
- How could this message be misconstrued?
- Does this message represent my highest self?
- Am I sending this electronic message to avoid a face-to-face conversation?

In addition, if there is ever a concern with an electronic message sent to you, please talk with the author in person in order to correct any confusion.